

# How People, Place, and Technology Fit: Participatory Methods to Support Community Resilience in Ocean Renewable Energy Siting

## Background

- Maine's renewable energy targets depend on ocean renewable energy, especially the deployment of floating offshore wind.
- Relatively little is known about the potential ecological, economic and social impacts of floating wind in the Gulf of Maine.

## Place-Technology Fit

Place-technology fit refers to the degree to which a given energy project is suited to a place. Suitability is determined by

- place-specific cultures, economies and ecologies,
- the beliefs people hold about land and seascapes,
- place as a locus of meaning and attachment,
- energy system knowledge and needs, and
- social and cultural identities.

## Methods

- Coproduced method selection: surveys and participatory mapping eliminated based on feedback, interviews emphasized.
- 42 semi-structured interviews
- Interviews conducted in southern, midcoast, and Downeast Maine regions over the last year.

## Acknowledgements

We thank all who contributed to this project, including Carla Guenther, Maine Center for Coastal Fisheries, Jocelyn Runnebaum, The Nature Conservancy of Maine, and participants. Financial support was provided by Northeast Sea Grant Consortium, R/22-24-NESGR-Leslie (HL, PI; JRM, co-PI).



Jessica Reilly-Moman<sup>1,2</sup> & Heather Leslie<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Maine Darling Marine Center, <sup>2</sup>Klima Consulting, <sup>3</sup>UMaine School of Marine Sciences

## Key Takeaways:

- The **wide spectrum** of nuanced **perspectives** on offshore wind go **unshared**.
- Opposing sides (for or against wind) **share many values**.
- Influential values include **responsibilities** to past and future **legacies**, deep commitments to **family, community and the state, & nested individualist and collectivist cultures**.
- Distrust of process comes from inherent **uncertainties, poor past communication, many key unanswered questions, faceless developers, & lack of agency**.

## Broad Needs:

- **Community visioning** processes
- Structures to acknowledge **grief and loss**
- **Basic (K-12) education** in electric power systems
- Better methods for **dealing with uncertainty** in outcomes, clear **boundaries for harm**

## To Improve Engagement Processes, Communities Need:

- Agency
- Transparency
- Recognition of context
- Questions answered from expert witnesses
- Novel methods of engagement based in building long-term relationships
- Shared personal stories that connect people to place, each other, and their work

## Community Indicators of Fit:

1. Living memory of **industrial heritage**;
2. New industry clearly meets a **basic local need** (jobs, housing) without harming **community cohesion**;
3. Fit with the **community's vision** as determined by deliberative process with **strong leadership**;
4. Community has **resources to advocate for agency / justice**; and
5. Project supports wider portshed.

Image courtesy Bella David and TNC Maine

Additional findings and strategies will be organized in a toolkit, available in 2025. For more information, contact [Jessica.reillymoman@maine.edu](mailto:Jessica.reillymoman@maine.edu)