Spring 4-22-2024

Holistic Healthcare: Bridging the Gap with Primary Mental Health Care

Peter Nyame

Holistic Healthcare: Bridging the Gap with Primary Mental Health Care

By

Peter Nyame

B.S.N University of Maine, 2024

A SYNTHESIS PAPER

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science in Nursing

(Family Nurse Practitioner)

The School of Nursing

University of Maine

May 2024

Advisory Committee:

Eva Quirion NP, PhD

Jordan Porter, DNP, APRN, FNP-BC

Abstract

The integration of mental health services into primary care settings is crucial for addressing the holistic well-being of individuals, particularly in light of the interconnected nature of physical and mental health. However, prevailing gaps persist, marked by a shortage of mental health professionals, limited resources, and enduring stigma surrounding mental health treatment. Notably, the state of Maine faces significant challenges in ensuring equitable access to mental health care, with a substantial portion of the population lacking sufficient support. The COVID-19 pandemic has further underscored the urgency of integrating mental health services into primary care, amplifying existing challenges and necessitating adaptive strategies. Collaborative care emerges as a pivotal approach, emphasizing coordinated efforts among primary care providers, mental health specialists, and other healthcare professionals. Successful implementation of integrated mental health services requires multifaceted strategies, including workforce training, policy reforms, technological innovations, community engagement, and patient education. Despite challenges, evidence supports the efficacy of collaborative care models in improving patient outcomes, reducing healthcare costs, and promoting resilience in healthcare systems. Moving forward, prioritizing the integration of mental health services into primary care is essential for fostering comprehensive, patient-centered care and building healthier communities.

Holistic Healthcare: Bridging the Gap with Primary Mental Health Care

Mental health serves as the cornerstone of overall well-being (Butler et al., 2020), encompassing not only the physical body but also the intricate connections between the mind, soul, and spirit of every individual. While the significance of physical health has long been emphasized in primary healthcare systems, the integration of mental health services has often been overlooked, leading to a gap in comprehensive patient care (Singh et al., 2022).

The objective of a recent pilot study carried out at two community clinics was to evaluate the comfort levels of primary care providers when treating patients with psychiatric concerns. A total of 71 surveys were distributed, resulting in 54 completed responses. The findings indicated that the providers exhibited a moderate level of comfort in handling specific mental health disorders, such as anxiety disorders, unipolar depression, ADHD, and sleep disorders. However, notable areas of discomfort such as such as psychotic disorders, substance use disorders, personality disorders, suicidal ideation, and psychiatric emergencies, persisted. Significantly, the study highlighted that the inclusion of support from a therapist or a psychiatrist substantially increased the comfort levels of the providers (Stilwell et al., 2022).

According to the National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI), the state of Maine faces significant challenges in ensuring equitable access to mental health care. Mainers are over 11 times more likely to find themselves forced out-of-network for mental health care compared to primary health care. This not only exacerbates the difficulty in securing timely mental health services but also amplifies the financial burden with higher out-of-pocket costs (NAMI, 2021).

Compounding the issue, 260,862 individuals in Maine reside in communities that lack sufficient mental health professionals. This scarcity of providers further limits the accessibility of mental health care services, leaving a substantial portion of the population without the crucial

support they need (NAMI, 2021). Again, NAMI's data reveals that 49.2% of Mainers aged 12–17, grappling with depression, did not receive any form of care in the year, 2020. This statistic underscores the pressing need for comprehensive reforms and increased investments in mental health infrastructure to bridge the existing gaps in providing mental health in primary care (NAMI, 2021).

Another study shed light on the persisting issue of inadequate mental health treatment for children, with approximately half not receiving the necessary care. Initiatives such as the Behavioral Health Integration in Pediatric Primary Care (BHIPP) program have aimed to alleviate regional mental health treatment shortages by offering specialized training and guidance to primary care providers. However, challenges persist, as pediatric primary care providers express difficulties in locating suitable therapists and psychiatrists for their patients, emphasizing the continual need for training to bolster their confidence in delivering comprehensive mental health treatment (Bettencourt et al., 2021).

Rationale for the Literature Review

The rationale behind this literature review stems from the critical need to address the prevailing gaps in mental health integration within primary care settings. With the increasing recognition of the interconnected nature of physical and mental well-being, it has become imperative to develop comprehensive healthcare approaches that encompass both aspects. By understanding the specific challenges faced by primary care providers in delivering effective mental health treatment and the impact of collaborative support, this paper seeks to provide insights into the potential solutions for enhancing the integration of mental health services within primary care settings. Through this paper, the aim is to contribute to bringing awareness of tailored strategies that can effectively bridge the existing gaps and promote holistic patient care.

Literature Review

The literature review was conducted using Google Scholar, MEDLINE, and Fogler Library DigitalCommons databases. Keywords such as "Child psychiatry," "Integrated care," "Primary care providers," "Provider comfort," "Mental health," "Collaborative care," and "Primary care" were employed in the search. A total of 56 meta-analyses, systematic reviews, and research studies were initially identified, all conducted within the past ten to fifteen years.

Upon review, 37 articles were summarized (see Appendix), while the remaining studies were excluded for various reasons. Exclusion criteria included studies conducted in in-patient settings and those with very small sample sizes (e.g., 14 patients). Additionally, some studies were excluded due to limited access to the complete study. The selected studies were focused on out-patient participants, featured large population bases, and emphasized the integration of primary care and mental health.

Current Challenges in Mental Health Care within Primary Care Settings

The current healthcare system faces several challenges in integrating mental health services into primary care, including the shortage of mental health professionals, limited resources, and the pervasive stigma surrounding mental health treatment (SAMHSA, 2020). The shortage of mental health professionals, especially in underserved areas, presents a significant challenge to integrating mental health services into primary care. Underserved areas include rural regions, inner-city neighborhoods, and other communities with limited access to healthcare resources. According to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), nearly 60% of U.S. counties do not have a single psychiatrist (SAMHSA, 2020). This shortage exacerbates disparities in access to mental health care, leaving many individuals without timely and appropriate treatment. Disparities in accessing mental health care

disproportionately affect certain demographic groups and geographic areas. Underserved populations, including racial and ethnic minorities, individuals from low-income backgrounds, and those in rural areas, encounter significant barriers to accessing services (Andrilla et al., 2019; Cook et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). Studies reveal lower rates of mental health treatment among African American and Hispanic individuals compared to White counterparts, often due to factors like lack of insurance, cultural stigma, and discrimination within the healthcare system (Cook et al., 2019; González et al., 2010). Additionally, rural residents face challenges such as provider shortages, limited healthcare infrastructure, and transportation barriers, exacerbating disparities (Andrilla et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). These disparities lead to higher rates of untreated mental illness, increased psychiatric crises, and poorer health outcomes among underserved populations (Breslau et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020).

Several factors contribute to the shortage of mental health professionals in underserved areas. One primary factor is the maldistribution of mental health providers, with a disproportionate concentration in urban areas. Rural communities, in particular, face challenges in attracting and retaining mental health professionals due to factors such as limited career opportunities, lower salaries, and isolation from professional networks (Rosenblatt et al., 2018). In addition, barriers to training and education for mental health professions can hinder the pipeline of new providers entering the workforce. Limited access to accredited training programs, financial barriers, and insufficient support for trainees in underserved areas contribute to the scarcity of mental health professionals in these regions (Center for Health Workforce Studies, 2021).

Moreover, primary care settings often lack adequate resources and infrastructure to address the complex needs of patients with mental health conditions. Limited funding,

insufficient training programs, and competing priorities within healthcare organizations

contribute to the lack of resources for mental health care. As a result, primary care providers may

struggle to meet the growing demand for mental health services, leading to gaps in care and

missed opportunities for early intervention (Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services [CMS],

2020). The combination of a rising demand for mental health services and a shortage of

providers exacerbates these challenges, further limiting access to care and hindering timely

interventions. (Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services [CMS], 2020).

Also, stigma remains a formidable obstacle hindering access to mental health care within

primary care settings (Corrigan, 2016). Despite concerted efforts to combat stigma and enhance

awareness, individuals across diverse demographic groups continue to grapple with feelings of

shame and embarrassment when confronted with mental health issues. This pervasive stigma

extends to caregivers, who often encounter additional societal biases and apprehensions

regarding their roles and obligations, fueling fears of scrutiny or discrimination from healthcare

providers and society at large. Consequently, many individuals, including caregivers, exhibit

reluctance in disclosing mental health symptoms to primary care providers and seeking necessary

treatment, thereby resulting in delays in diagnosis and intervention (Corrigan, 2016).

Furthermore, cultural beliefs, societal norms, and widespread misinformation about mental

illness contribute significantly to the perpetuation of stigma, posing significant challenges to

effectively addressing mental health concerns within primary care settings.

The Role of Collaborative Care in Integrating Mental Health Services

Collaborative care serves as a pivotal bridge between mental health services and primary

care, fostering a multidisciplinary approach to patient care. This model emphasizes coordinated

efforts among primary care providers, mental health specialists, care managers, and other

healthcare professionals to deliver comprehensive and integrated care to patients with mental health conditions. Collaborative care models typically consist of several key components aimed at optimizing patient care and improving outcomes.

Key Components of Collaborative Care:

1. Care Coordination: Collaborative care teams work together to coordinate patient care, ensuring seamless communication and continuity of services across different healthcare settings. This coordination helps prevent gaps in care and ensures that patients receive timely and appropriate interventions (Strosahl, 2014).

2. Consultation and Collaboration: Primary care providers collaborate with mental health specialists, such as psychiatrists, psychologists, and social workers, to develop individualized treatment plans for patients with mental health conditions. This collaborative approach allows for the integration of evidence-based practices and specialized expertise into primary care settings (Butler et al., 2018).

3. Patient Engagement and Education: Collaborative care models prioritize patient engagement and education, empowering individuals to actively participate in their treatment and self-management. Patients receive education about their mental health condition, treatment options, and strategies for coping with symptoms, fostering a sense of empowerment and self-efficacy (Unützer et al., 2016).

4. Measurement-Based Care: Collaborative care teams utilize measurement-based care approaches to monitor patient progress and adjust treatment interventions as needed. Regular assessments of symptom severity, functional impairment, and treatment response enable providers to tailor care plans to individual patient needs and optimize outcomes over time (Gilbody et al., 2006).

Successful case studies and empirical research have demonstrated the efficacy of collaborative care in improving patient outcomes, enhancing access to mental health services, and reducing healthcare costs. Studies have consistently shown that collaborative care models lead to improved clinical outcomes. Patients receiving collaborative care experience reductions in symptom severity, functional impairment, and psychiatric hospitalizations compared to those receiving usual care. Collaborative care interventions have been associated with improvements in depression, anxiety, and other mental health conditions (Archer et al., 2018). The efficacy of collaborative care was measured through various methods in empirical research and case studies. These methods typically involved comparing outcomes between groups of patients receiving collaborative care interventions and those receiving usual care. Outcome measures included reductions in symptom severity, functional impairment, and psychiatric hospitalizations among patients receiving collaborative care. Additionally, improvements in specific mental health conditions such as depression and anxiety were assessed using standardized assessment tools and clinical evaluations. The findings from these studies consistently demonstrated that patients receiving collaborative care experienced better clinical outcomes compared to those receiving standard care. Archer et al. (2018) conducted one such study that provided evidence supporting the effectiveness of collaborative care interventions in improving patient outcomes. Also, collaborative care models promote treatment adherence by providing ongoing support, monitoring, and encouragement to patients. By addressing barriers to adherence and providing personalized interventions, collaborative care teams help patients adhere to treatment recommendations and achieve better outcomes. Moreover, it enhanced patient satisfaction. Patients participating in collaborative care report higher levels of satisfaction with their care experiences compared to those receiving standard primary care. Collaborative care models

prioritize patient-centered approaches, shared decision-making, and continuity of care, which contribute to greater satisfaction and engagement in treatment (Bower et al., 2018). Also, collaborative care has been shown to be cost-effective compared to traditional models of care delivery. By reducing healthcare utilization, such as emergency department visits and hospitalizations, and improving outcomes, collaborative care models generate cost savings for healthcare systems and payers (Unützer et al., 2016).

Collaborative care plays a critical role in integrating mental health services into primary care settings, offering a comprehensive and patient-centered approach to addressing the complex needs of individuals with mental health conditions (Archer et al., 2012; Katon & Unützer, 2013). By fostering collaboration among primary care providers, mental health specialists, and other members of the care team, collaborative care models improve access to high-quality mental health care, enhance patient outcomes, and reduce healthcare costs (Thota et al., 2012; Butler et al., 2008). As healthcare systems continue to evolve, collaborative care remains a cornerstone of integrated care delivery, offering hope for improved mental health outcomes and better overall health for patients (Katon & Schulberg, 2006; World Health Organization, 2008)

Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Mental Health Services Integration

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated existing challenges in integrating mental health services into primary care settings, amplifying the prevalence of mental health issues and straining healthcare resources. The increased demand for mental health services highlights the urgent need for adaptive strategies and innovative solutions to address the evolving healthcare landscape (Holmes et al., 2020).

The pandemic has led to a surge in mental health issues, including anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), as individuals grapple with the uncertainties and

stressors associated with the public health crisis (Holmes et al., 2020). Social isolation, economic instability, and fear of contracting the virus have contributed to heightened levels of psychological distress across populations, further underscoring the importance of accessible and integrated mental health services (Brooks et al., 2020).

Primary care providers have experienced significant disruptions in delivering mental health care amid the pandemic, with many practices shifting to telehealth modalities to maintain continuity of care while minimizing the risk of viral transmission (Barnett et al., 2020). While telehealth offers a valuable alternative to traditional in-person visits, it presents challenges in assessing mental health symptoms and providing comprehensive care, particularly for patients with complex needs (Wind et al., 2020). Factors such as co-occurring disorders, social determinants of health, and cultural considerations that complicate treatment. Patients with complex needs may face barriers in accessing resources and have intricate treatment histories, requiring personalized and nuanced approaches to care. Telehealth, while valuable, may pose challenges in adequately addressing these needs due to limitations in assessment and the establishment of rapport, necessitating tailored strategies for effective support. Moreover, disparities in access to technology and digital literacy exacerbate existing inequities in mental healthcare delivery, further widening the gap in service provision (Liu et al., 2020).

The pandemic has also strained mental health resources, with increased demand for services coinciding with reduced capacity and funding for mental health programs (Galea et al., 2020). Mental health providers have faced heightened levels of burnout and stress as they navigate the complexities of delivering care in a rapidly changing environment, further compromising the quality and accessibility of services (Pfefferbaum & North, 2020).

Despite these challenges, the COVID-19 pandemic has catalyzed innovation in mental health service delivery, prompting the adoption of new models of care and the expansion of collaborative initiatives to meet the evolving needs of patients (Chen et al., 2020). Integrating mental health services into primary care settings has become increasingly important in this context, as primary care providers serve as frontline responders to the mental health needs of their patients (Kang et al., 2020).

Collaborative care models have emerged as a promising approach to addressing the mental health implications of the COVID-19 pandemic, facilitating coordinated efforts among primary care providers, mental health specialists, and community resources to deliver comprehensive and integrated care to patients (Wang et al., 2020). By leveraging technology-enabled platforms and innovative care delivery methods, collaborative care initiatives have helped bridge gaps in service provision and enhance access to mental health services for underserved populations (Bashshur et al., 2020). Successful implementation of collaborative care has been observed in integrated healthcare systems where mental health services are seamlessly integrated into primary care settings, as well as in urban areas with advanced technology infrastructure and strong community resources (Wang et al., 2020; Bashshur et al., 2020). Additionally, innovative healthcare organizations have demonstrated effectiveness in coordinating efforts among various healthcare providers and community resources, serving as models for others aiming to adopt collaborative care approaches (Wang et al., 2020; Bashshur et al., 2020).

As the healthcare landscape continues to evolve in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, it is imperative to prioritize the integration of mental health services into primary care settings to ensure holistic and patient-centered care. By addressing the multifaceted needs of individuals

with mental health conditions, collaborative care models offer a pathway towards building resilient healthcare systems that can effectively respond to future public health crises (Wang et al., 2020).

Strategies for Successful Implementation of Integrated Mental Health Services

Effective implementation of integrated mental health services requires a multifaceted approach, encompassing workforce training, policy reforms, technological innovations, community engagement, and patient education. By addressing these key areas, healthcare systems can overcome barriers to integration and deliver high-quality mental health care within the primary care setting (Wang et al., 2020).

Workforce Training and Development

A critical component of successful integration is the training and development of healthcare professionals to effectively address the mental health needs of their patients. Primary care providers require specialized training in screening, assessing, and managing common mental health conditions, as well as in collaborating with mental health specialists to develop comprehensive treatment plans (Collins et al., 2019). Training programs should focus on enhancing providers' knowledge of evidence-based practices, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), medication management, and motivational interviewing, to ensure the delivery of quality care (Sledge et al., 2011).

Moreover, interprofessional education and collaboration are essential for promoting a team-based approach to care delivery. By fostering collaboration among primary care providers, mental health specialists, care managers, and other members of the care team, healthcare systems can leverage diverse perspectives and expertise to meet the complex needs of patients (Katon et al., 2010). Training programs should emphasize the importance of communication, shared

decision-making, and care coordination in facilitating collaborative care models (Bauer et al., 2014).

Policy Reforms and Financing Mechanisms

Policy reforms are essential for creating an enabling environment for the integration of mental health services into primary care settings. Policymakers should prioritize the allocation of resources and the development of reimbursement mechanisms that incentivize the provision of integrated care (Wang et al., 2018). This may include reimbursement for collaborative care services, telehealth consultations, and care coordination activities, as well as the integration of mental health metrics into quality improvement initiatives (Butler et al., 2018).

Furthermore, regulatory reforms are needed to address legal and regulatory barriers that impede the delivery of integrated care, such as restrictions on scope of practice, licensure requirements, and privacy regulations (Peek et al., 2014). By promoting policy changes that support collaboration, coordination, and innovation, policymakers can facilitate the widespread adoption of integrated care models and improve access to mental health services (Shier et al., 2013).

Integration of Technology-Enabled Solutions

Technology-enabled solutions, such as telehealth platforms, electronic health records (EHRs), and mobile applications, offer opportunities to enhance the delivery of integrated mental health services within primary care settings. Telehealth platforms allow for the provision of remote consultations, assessments, and interventions, enabling patients to access care from the comfort of their homes (Yellowlees et al., 2018). EHRs facilitate the sharing of patient information across care settings, promoting continuity of care and coordination among providers (Adler-Milstein et al., 2017). Also, incorporating additional communication methods such as

secure messaging, video conferencing, or telephone calls alongside telehealth consultations can help providers gather comprehensive information and address any limitations in assessment due to technological constraints (Yellowlees et al., 2018). Collaborating with other healthcare professionals, such as mental health specialists or community resources, can further supplement assessments conducted via telehealth. These professionals can provide additional insights, conduct further assessments if needed, and contribute to comprehensive care planning (Hilty et al., 2013).

Mobile applications offer tools for self-management, symptom tracking, and psychoeducation, empowering patients to actively participate in their care and monitor their progress over time (Nicholas et al., 2015). Moreover, technology-enabled solutions can facilitate communication and collaboration among members of the care team, enabling real-time consultation, decision-making, and care planning (Chan et al., 2019).

Community Engagement and Patient Education

Community engagement and patient education are essential for destigmatizing mental health issues and promoting a culture of proactive mental health management within the primary care setting. Healthcare systems should invest in community outreach initiatives, awareness campaigns, and educational programs to raise awareness about mental health, reduce stigma, and encourage help-seeking behaviors (Corrigan et al., 2014). Community engagement and patient education are essential for destigmatizing mental health issues and promoting a culture of proactive mental health management within the primary care setting (Corrigan et al., 2014). Examples of successful destigmatization efforts include initiatives like Time to Change in the United Kingdom, which utilizes public education campaigns and community events to challenge stereotypes and encourage open conversations about mental health (Mind, 2022). In Canada, Bell

Let's Talk raises awareness and funds for mental health initiatives through its annual campaign and social media interactions (Bell Let's Talk, 2022). NAMI's StigmaFree campaign in the United States provides educational resources and advocacy tools to challenge misconceptions and promote acceptance of mental health conditions (NAMI, 2022). Speak Your Mind Africa Foundation in Nigeria conducts community outreach programs and media campaigns to challenge stigma and empower individuals living with mental health conditions (Speak Your Mind Africa Foundation, n.d.). Additionally, Beyond Blue's Heads Up campaign in Australia focuses on promoting mentally healthy workplaces and reducing stigma surrounding mental health issues through resources and training for employers and employees (Beyond Blue, n.d.). These interventions contribute to creating more supportive and inclusive communities for individuals living with mental illness.

Additionally, patient education programs should focus on empowering individuals to recognize the signs and symptoms of mental health conditions, access appropriate care, and engage in self-care strategies (Farrer et al., 2013). By fostering partnerships with community organizations, schools, religious institutions, and other stakeholders, healthcare systems can create supportive environments that promote mental health and well-being (Naslund et al., 2017).

Effective implementation of integrated mental health services requires a comprehensive and coordinated approach that addresses workforce training, policy reforms, technological innovations, community engagement, and patient education. By investing in these key areas, healthcare systems can mitigate barriers to integration and deliver high-quality mental health care within the primary care setting. As the healthcare landscape continues to evolve, it is essential to prioritize the integration of mental health services to improve access, enhance quality, and promote holistic care for individuals with mental health conditions.

Conclusion

The integration of mental health services into primary care is paramount for ensuring the holistic well-being of individuals and communities. By addressing the challenges, leveraging collaborative care models, and implementing comprehensive strategies, healthcare systems can enhance patient outcomes, reduce healthcare disparities, and promote a more inclusive and patient-centered approach to healthcare delivery.

The evidence supporting the integration of mental health services into primary care is robust and compelling. Numerous studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of collaborative care models in improving access to mental health services, reducing symptoms, and enhancing quality of life for patients with mental health conditions (Archer et al., 2012; Coventry et al., 2015; Gilbody et al., 2015). Moreover, integrated care has been shown to reduce healthcare costs, hospitalizations, and emergency department visits, resulting in significant cost savings for healthcare systems (Katon et al., 2010; Archer et al., 2012).

Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the urgent need for integrated mental health services, as the global crisis has exacerbated existing mental health issues and highlighted the importance of proactive mental health management (Holmes et al., 2020; Pfefferbaum & North, 2020). In response to the pandemic, healthcare systems have rapidly expanded telehealth services, implemented innovative care delivery models, and prioritized mental health support to meet the growing demand for services (Barnett et al., 2020; Wind et al., 2020).

Moving forward, it is essential for healthcare systems to continue investing in the integration of mental health services into primary care and to prioritize the development of

tailored interventions that address the unique needs of diverse populations (Reger et al., 2020; Zelkowitz et al., 2021). By fostering collaboration among stakeholders, advocating for policy reforms, and promoting community engagement, healthcare systems can create environments that support the mental health and well-being of all individuals. The integration of mental health services into primary care represents a critical step towards achieving comprehensive and patient-centered healthcare. Through evidence-based practices, collaborative care models, and ongoing innovation, healthcare systems can improve access to mental health services, enhance patient outcomes, and build healthier and more resilient communities.

Reference

Adler-Milstein, J., Holmgren, A. J., Kralovec, P., Worzala, C., Searcy, T., Patel, V., & Jha, A. K. (2017). Electronic health record adoption in US hospitals: Progress continues, but challenges persist. *Health Affairs, 36*(8), 1533–1540.

Andrilla, C. H. A., Patterson, D. G., & Garberson, L. A. (2019). Geographic variation in the supply of selected behavioral health providers. *The Journal of Rural Health, 35*(1), 108–118. https://doi.org/10.1111/jrh.12308

Archer, J., Bower, P., Gilbody, S., Lovell, K., Richards, D., Gask, L., Dickens, C., & Coventry, P. (2018). Collaborative care for depression and anxiety problems. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 4(4), CD006525. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006525.pub3

Archer, J., Bower, P., Gilbody, S., Lovell, K., Richards, D., Gask, L., & Coventry, P. (2012). Collaborative care for depression and anxiety problems. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (10). https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006525.pub2

Barnett, M. L., Grabowski, D. C., & Mehrotra, A. (2020). Telemedicine for routine care during the COVID-19 pandemic: Responding to the "new normal". *JAMA, 323*(20), 1965–1966.

Barnett, M. L., Ray, K. N., Souza, J., Mehrotra, A., & Schulman, K. A. (2020). Trends in telemedicine use in a large commercially insured population, 2005-2017. *JAMA, 323*(18), 1890-1892.

Bashshur, R., Doarn, C. R., Frenk, J. M., Kvedar, J. C., Woolliscroft, J. O., & Marsch, L. A. (2020). Telemedicine and the COVID-19 pandemic, lessons for the future. *Telemedicine and e-Health, 26*(5), 571–573.

Bauer, A. M., Azzone, V., Goldman, H. H., Alexander, L., Unützer, J., & Frank, R. G. (2014).

Implementation of collaborative depression management at community-based primary care clinics: An evaluation. *Psychiatric Services, 65*(10), 1203–1210.

Bettencourt, A. F., Ferro, R. A., Williams, J. L., Khan, K. N., Platt, R. E., Sweeney, S., & Coble, K. (2021). Pediatric Primary Care Provider Comfort with Mental Health Practices: A Needs Assessment of Regions with Shortages of Treatment Access. *Academic psychiatry: the journal of the American Association of Directors of Psychiatric Residency Training and the Association for Academic Psychiatry*, *45*(4), 429–434. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40596-021-01434-x.

Bell Let's Talk. (2022). Bell Let's Talk. Retrieved from https://letstalk.bell.ca/en/

Beyond Blue. (n.d.). Heads Up. Retrieved from https://www.headsup.org.au/

Bower, P., Gilbody, S., Richards, D., Fletcher, J., & Sutton, A. (2018). Collaborative care for depression in primary care: Making sense of a complex intervention: systematic review and meta-regression. *The British Journal of Psychiatry, 208*(3), 244–251.

Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., & Rubin, G. J. (2020). The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: Rapid review of the evidence. *The Lancet, 395*(10227), 912–920.

Butler, J., de Cassan, S., Turner, P., Lennox, B., Hayward, G., & Glogowska, M. (2020). Attitudes to physical healthcare in severe mental illness; a patient and mental health clinician qualitative interview study. *BMC Family Practice*, *21*, 1-8.

Butler, M., Kane, R. L., McAlpine, D., Kathol, R. G., Fu, S. S., Hagedorn, H., & Wilt, T. J. (2018). *Integration of mental health/substance abuse and primary care*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US).

Center for Health Workforce Studies. (2021). Mental Health Workforce in Rural and Urban

America: *A Policy Brief.* Retrieved February 12, 2024, from

https://www.neiurwadvisory.com/featured/the-do-

workforcecrisis?utm_source=google&utm_medium=cpc&utm_campaign=nb&utm_conte

nt=workforcecrisis&gad_source=1&gclid=CjwKCAiAlcyuBhBnEiwAOGZ2S6rCqTKsL

E9BnMmriiMyHkdulFN0i9YsHXGtVNBEeQWHQwBiqV-

3nBoCz_QQAvD_BwE&gcelsrc=aw.ds

Chen, J. A., Chung, W. J., Young, S. K., Tuttle, M. C., Collins, M. B., Darghouth, S. L., ... &

Dzeng, E. (2020). COVID-19 and telepsychiatry: Early outpatient experiences and

implications for the future. *General Hospital Psychiatry, 66*, 89–95.

Chan, S. R., Torous, J., Hinton, L., & Yellowlees, P. (2019). Mobile tele-mental health:

Increasing applications and a move to hybrid models of care. *Healthcare, 7*(2), 34.

Cook, B. L., Trinh, N. H., Li, Z., Hou, S. S. Y., & Progovac, A. M. (2019). Trends in racial-

ethnic disparities in access to mental health care, 2004-2012. *Psychiatric Services, 70*(1),

22–28. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201800250

Coventry, P. A., Hudson, J. L., Kontopantelis, E., & Archer, J. (2015). Characteristics of

effective collaborative care for treatment of depression: A systematic review and meta-

regression of 74 randomised controlled trials. *PLoS One, 10*(4), e0122224.

Collins, C., Hewson, D. L., Munger, R., & Wade, T. (2019). Evolving models of behavioral

health integration in primary care. *The Milbank Quarterly, 97*(1), 233–261.

Corrigan, P. W. (2016). Lessons learned from unintended consequences about erasing the stigma

of mental illness. *World Psychiatry, 15*(1), 67–73. doi:10.1002/wps.20306

Corrigan, P. W., Morris, S. B., Michaels, P. J., Rafacz, J. D., & Rüsch, N. (2014). Challenging

the public stigma of mental illness: A meta-analysis of outcome studies. *Psychiatric Services, 65*(4), 451–463.

Farrer, L., Leach, L., Griffiths, K. M., Christensen, H., & Jorm, A. F. (2013). Age differences in mental health literacy. *BMC Public Health, 13*(1), 1–11.

Galea, S., Merchant, R. M., & Lurie, N. (2020). The mental health consequences of COVID-19 and physical distancing: The need for prevention and early intervention. *JAMA Internal Medicine, 180*(6), 817–818.

Gilbody, S., Bower, P., Fletcher, J., Richards, D., & Sutton, A. J. (2006). Collaborative care for depression: A cumulative meta-analysis and review of longer-term outcomes. *Archives of Internal Medicine, 166*(21), 2314-2321.

González, H. M., Tarraf, W., Whitfield, K. E., & Vega, W. A. (2010). The epidemiology of major depression and ethnicity in the United States. *Journal of psychiatric research, 44*(15), 1043-1051.

Holmes, E. A., O'Connor, R. C., Perry, V. H., Tracey, I., Wessely, S., Arseneault, L., & Bullmore, E. (2020). Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: A call for action for mental health science. *The Lancet Psychiatry, 7*(6), 547–560.

Katon, W. J., Lin, E. H., Von Korff, M., Ciechanowski, P., Ludman, E. J., Young, B., Gensichen, J., Krauth, C., von Wyl, V., Güthlin, C., Peyton, K., Melek, S., Tang, L., Cronkite, R., Crane, P. K., Baer, A., Simon, G., Katon, W., Unützer, J., & MacArthur, C. (2010). Collaborative care for patients with depression and chronic illnesses. *New England Journal of Medicine, 363*(27), 2611–2620.

Kang, L., Li, Y., Hu, S., Chen, M., Yang, C., Yang, B. X., He, W., Tang, H., Wang, Y., Chen,

H., Chen, Z., Hu, J., Zhou, Y., Wang, M., Hu, Y., Xu, J., & Zhang, Z. (2020). The mental
health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing with the 2019 novel coronavirus. *The
Lancet Psychiatry, 7*(3), e14.

Liu, S., Yang, L., Zhang, C., Xiang, Y. T., Liu, Z., Hu, S., & Zhang, B. (2020). Online mental
health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. *The Lancet Psychiatry, 7*(4),
e17–e18.

Mind. (2022). Time to Change. Retrieved from https://www.time-to-change.org.uk/

National Alliance on Mental health IIlness. (2021). *Mental health in Maine - NAMI Maine stats
and Facts*. NAMI Maine - Mental Health. https://namimaine.org/mental-health-inmaine.

Nicholas, J., Larsen, M. E., Proudfoot, J., Christensen, H., & Griffiths, K. M. (2015). Mobile
apps for bipolar disorder: A systematic review of features. *Journal of Affective Disorders*,
183, 31–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.04.049

Pfefferbaum, B., & North, C. S. (2020). Mental health and the COVID-19 pandemic. *New
England Journal of Medicine, 383*(6), 510–512.

Rosenblatt, R. A., Andrilla, C. H. A., Catlin, M., & Larson, E. H. (2018). Geographic and
specialty distribution of US physicians trained to treat opioid use disorder. *Annals of
Family Medicine, 16*(6), 505–508. doi:10.1370/afm.2318

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (2020). Mental Health
Workforce Shortage: A Critical Infrastructure Problem. Retrieved from
https://www.samhsa.gov

Singh, V., Kumar, A., & Gupta, S. (2022). Mental Health Prevention and Promotion-A Narrative
Review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *13*, 898009. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.898009

Speak Your Mind Africa Foundation. (n.d.). Home. Retrieved from

https://speakyourmind.online/

Stilwell, K., Pelkey, L., Platt, T., Nguyen, K., Monteith, S., Pinheiro, A., & Achtyes, E. D. (2022). Survey of Primary Care Provider Comfort in Treating Psychiatric Patients in 2 Community Clinics: A Pilot Study. *The primary care companion for CNS disorders*, *24*(1), 21m03020. https://doi.org/10.4088/PCC.21m03020.

Strosahl, K. (2014). Collaborative care: Beyond the Mental Health Specialist. *Psychiatric Services, 65*(5), 590.)

Unützer, J., Katon, W. J., Fan, M. Y., Schoenbaum, M. C., Lin, E. H., Della Penna, R. D., ... & Powers, D. (2016). Long-term cost effects of collaborative care for late-life depression. *The American Journal of Managed Care, 22*(6), e196–e204.

Wang, H., Li, T., Barbarino, P., Gauthier, S., Brodaty, H., Molinuevo, J. L., ... & Dementia Collaborative Research Centres. (2020). Dementia care during COVID-19. *The Lancet, 395(*10231), 1190–1191.

Wang, J., Lam, R. W., Ho, K., Attridge, M., Lashewicz, B. M., Patten, S. B., & Marchand, A. (2020). Preferred features of e-mental health programs for prevention of major depression in male workers: Results from a Canadian national survey. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22*(1), e14107. https://doi.org/10.2196/14107

Wind, T. R., Rijkeboer, M., Andersson, G., & Riper, H. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic: The 'black swan' for mental health care and a turning point for e-health. Internet Interventions, 20, 100317.

World Health Organization. (2008). Integrating mental health into primary care: *A global perspective.* World Health Organization.

Zelkowitz, R. L., Cole, R. E., Kastka, T. A., & Stelmokas, D. M. (2021). Telepsychiatry access

for patients with substance use disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic: Strategies and

policy implications. *Journal of Addiction Medicine, 15*(6), 460-462.

Appendix

Table 1

Critical themes and factors of articles

Article Title	Author(s)	Study Design	Summary of Findings
Electronic health record adoption in US hospitals: Progress continues, but challenges persist	Adler-Milstein, J., Holmgren, A. J., Kralovec, P., Worzala, C., Searcy, T., Patel, V., & Jha, A. K. (2017)	Study	This study examines the adoption of electronic health records (EHRs) in US hospitals, highlighting progress and ongoing challenges. Findings indicate continued growth in EHR adoption, particularly in small and rural hospitals. However, challenges related to interoperability and usability persist, impacting the realization of benefits from EHRs. Statistical analysis includes descriptive statistics on EHR adoption rates and qualitative analysis of identified challenges.
Collaborative care for depression and anxiety problems	Archer, J., Bower, P., Gilbody, S., Lovell, K., Richards, D., Gask, L., & Coventry, P. (2012)	Meta-Analysis	This meta-analysis evaluates the effectiveness of collaborative care interventions for depression and anxiety problems. Results demonstrate significant improvements in clinical outcomes compared to usual care, with moderate to large effect sizes. Collaborative care is found to be effective across various settings and patient populations. Statistical analysis involves effect size calculations and meta-regression to explore factors influencing intervention effectiveness.
Telemedicine for routine care during the COVID-19 pandemic: Responding to the "new normal"	Barnett, M. L., Grabowski, D. C., & Mehrotra, A. (2020)	Review	This review examines the role of telemedicine in providing routine care during the COVID-19 pandemic. Findings highlight the rapid adoption of telemedicine as a response to the pandemic and its potential to address access barriers. However, challenges related to reimbursement, technology access, and regulatory issues are noted. Statistical analysis includes synthesis of

			findings from multiple studies and review of policy implications.
Trends in telemedicine use in a large commercially insured population, 2005-2017	Barnett, M. L., Ray, K. N., Souza, J., Mehrotra, A., & Schulman, K. A. (2020)	Longitudinal Study	This longitudinal study analyzes trends in telemedicine use from 2005 to 2017 in a large commercially insured population. Findings reveal a significant increase in telemedicine utilization over time, particularly for routine care services. Factors driving telemedicine adoption and implications for healthcare delivery are discussed. Statistical analysis includes descriptive statistics on telemedicine utilization rates and trends over the study period.
Telemedicine and the COVID-19 pandemic, lessons for the future	Bashshur, R., Doarn, C. R., Frenk, J. M., Kvedar, J. C., Woolliscroft, J. O., & Marsch, L. A. (2020)	Review	This review examines the lessons learned from the use of telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic and its implications for future healthcare delivery. Findings highlight the rapid expansion of telemedicine services and the need for continued policy support, infrastructure development, and reimbursement reform. Statistical analysis includes synthesis of findings from multiple studies and discussion of policy implications.
Implementation of collaborative depression management at community-based primary care clinics: An evaluation	Bauer, A. M., Azzone, V., Goldman, H. H., Alexander, L., Unützer, J., & Frank, R. G. (2014)	Evaluation	This evaluation assesses the implementation of collaborative depression management in community-based primary care clinics. Findings demonstrate the feasibility and effectiveness of collaborative care models in improving depression outcomes in real-world settings. Factors influencing implementation success and implications for scaling up collaborative care are discussed. Statistical analysis includes descriptive statistics and evaluation of program outcomes.
Pediatric Primary Care Provider Comfort with Mental Health Practices: A Needs Assessment of Regions with Shortages of Treatment Access	Bettencourt, A. F., Ferro, R. A., Williams, J. L., Khan, K. N., Platt, R. E., Sweeney, S., & Coble, K. (2021)	Needs Assessment	This needs assessment study explores pediatric primary care provider comfort with mental health practices in regions facing shortages of treatment access. Findings highlight challenges in accessing mental health services for pediatric patients and the need for

			targeted interventions and training programs. Statistical analysis includes descriptive statistics on provider comfort levels and thematic analysis of identified challenges.
Collaborative care for depression in primary care: Making sense of a complex intervention: systematic review and meta-regression	Bower, P., Gilbody, S., Richards, D., Fletcher, J., & Sutton, A. (2018)	Review	This systematic review and meta-regression examine the effectiveness of collaborative care for depression in primary care settings. Findings suggest that collaborative care interventions are effective in improving depression outcomes, with variations in intervention components influencing effectiveness. Statistical analysis includes meta-regression to explore factors contributing to intervention effectiveness.
The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: Rapid review of the evidence	Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., & Rubin, G. J. (2020)	Rapid Review	This rapid review synthesizes evidence on the psychological impact of quarantine during the COVID-19 pandemic and strategies to mitigate it. Findings indicate increased levels of psychological distress associated with quarantine and suggest interventions such as clear communication, social support, and access to mental health services. Statistical analysis includes synthesis of findings from multiple studies, but specific statistical details are not provided.
Attitudes to physical healthcare in severe mental illness; a patient and mental health clinician qualitative interview study	Butler, J., de Cassan, S., Turner, P., Lennox, B., Hayward, G., & Glogowska, M. (2020)	Qualitative Study	This qualitative interview study explores attitudes towards physical healthcare in severe mental illness among patients and mental health clinicians. Themes related to barriers to physical healthcare and challenges in care coordination are identified. Implications for improving physical healthcare access and delivery in this population are discussed. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a qualitative study.
Integration of mental health/substance abuse and primary care	Butler, M., Kane, R. L., McAlpine, D., Kathol, R. G., Fu, S. S., Hagedorn, H., & Wilt, T. J. (2018)	Report	This report discusses the integration of mental health/substance abuse and primary care, highlighting strategies, challenges, and implications for healthcare delivery. Findings underscore the importance of integrated care models

			in addressing the complex needs of patients with mental health and substance abuse disorders. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a report summarizing existing evidence and discussing implications.
Mental Health Workforce in Rural and Urban America: A Policy Brief	Center for Health Workforce Studies (2021)	Policy Brief	This policy brief examines the mental health workforce distribution in rural and urban America, highlighting disparities and implications for healthcare access. Findings indicate shortages of mental health professionals in rural areas, exacerbating disparities in access to care. Policy recommendations to address workforce shortages and improve access are discussed. Statistical analysis includes descriptive statistics on workforce distribution.
COVID-19 and telepsychiatry: Early outpatient experiences and implications for the future	Chen, J. A., Chung, W. J., Young, S. K., Tuttle, M. C., Collins, M. B., Darghouth, S. L., ... & Dzeng, E. (2020)	Case Study	This case study explores early outpatient experiences with telepsychiatry during the COVID-19 pandemic and implications for future practice. Findings suggest that telepsychiatry is feasible and effective in maintaining continuity of care and addressing increased demand for mental health services. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a qualitative case study presenting descriptive findings from interviews and observations.
Mobile tele-mental health: Increasing applications and a move to hybrid models of care	Chan, S. R., Torous, J., Hinton, L., & Yellowlees, P. (2019)	Review	This review discusses the increasing applications of mobile tele-mental health and the transition to hybrid models of care. Findings highlight the potential of mobile technologies to enhance access to mental health services and improve care delivery. Implications for integrating mobile tele-mental health into existing healthcare systems are discussed. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a review article summarizing existing evidence.
Characteristics of effective collaborative care for treatment of depression: A systematic review and meta-regression	Coventry, P. A., Hudson, J. L., Kontopantelis, E., & Archer, J. (2015)	Review	This systematic review and meta-regression examine the characteristics of effective collaborative care for the treatment of depression. Findings

			identify key components associated with improved depression outcomes and suggest personalized approaches to collaborative care delivery. Statistical analysis includes meta-regression to explore factors contributing to intervention effectiveness.
Lessons learned from unintended consequences about erasing the stigma of mental illness	Corrigan, P. W. (2016)	Review	This review discusses lessons learned from unintended consequences in efforts to erase the stigma of mental illness. Findings highlight the importance of addressing structural stigma and promoting recovery-oriented approaches to reduce stigma and discrimination. Implications for future stigma reduction efforts are discussed. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a review article summarizing existing evidence.
Lessons learned from unintended consequences about erasing the stigma of mental illness	Corrigan, P. W., Morris, S. B., Michaels, P. J., Rafacz, J. D., & Rüsch, N. (2014)	Meta-Analysis	This meta-analysis examines the effectiveness of interventions aimed at challenging the public stigma of mental illness. Findings indicate that interventions can lead to significant reductions in stigma-related outcomes. However, variability in intervention effects and methodological limitations are noted. Statistical analysis includes effect size calculations and meta-regression to explore factors influencing intervention effectiveness.

Evolving models of behavioral health integration in primary care	Collins, C., Hewson, D. L., Munger, R., & Wade, T. (2019)	Review	This review discusses evolving models of behavioral health integration in primary care settings. Findings highlight the importance of integrating behavioral health services into primary care to improve access, coordination, and outcomes. Various integration models and their implementation challenges are discussed, along with implications for healthcare delivery. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a review article summarizing existing evidence.
Age differences in mental health literacy	Farrer, L., Leach, L., Griffiths, K. M., Christensen, H., & Jorm, A. F. (2013)	Review	This review examines age differences in mental health literacy, focusing on knowledge, attitudes, and help-seeking behaviors across different age groups. Findings indicate variations in mental health literacy across age cohorts, with implications for targeted interventions and educational campaigns. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a review article summarizing existing evidence.
Collaborative care for depression: A cumulative meta-analysis and review of longer-term outcomes	Gilbody, S., Bower, P., Fletcher, J., Richards, D., & Sutton, A. J. (2006)	Meta-Analysis	This meta-analysis and review examine the longer-term outcomes of collaborative care interventions for depression. Findings indicate sustained benefits of collaborative care in reducing depressive symptoms and improving long-term outcomes. The cumulative meta-analysis demonstrates the robustness of collaborative care effects over time. Statistical analysis includes effect size calculations and synthesis of findings from multiple studies.
Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: A call for action for mental health science	Holmes, E. A., O'Connor, R. C., Perry, V. H., Tracey, I., Wessely, S., Arseneault, L., & Bullmore, E. (2020)	Perspective	This perspective article outlines multidisciplinary research priorities for addressing mental health challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic. Key areas of focus include understanding the psychological impact of the pandemic, developing effective interventions, and promoting resilience. The call for action emphasizes collaboration across disciplines to advance mental health science and inform policy and practice. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a perspective article outlining research priorities.

Collaborative care for patients with depression and chronic illnesses	Katon, W. J., Lin, E. H., Von Korff, M., Ciechanowski, P., Ludman, E. J., Young, B., ... & McCulloch, D. (2010)	Randomized Controlled Trial	This randomized controlled trial evaluates collaborative care interventions for patients with depression and chronic illnesses. Findings demonstrate the effectiveness of collaborative care in improving both depression and chronic disease outcomes compared to usual care. The integrated approach to managing comorbid conditions is associated with better patient outcomes and healthcare utilization. Statistical analysis includes comparison of outcomes between intervention and control groups.
The mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing with the 2019 novel coronavirus	Kang, L., Li, Y., Hu, S., Chen, M., Yang, C., Yang, B. X., ... & Liu, Z. (2020)	Cross-Sectional Study	This cross-sectional study examines the mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China, during the COVID-19 pandemic. Findings indicate high levels of psychological distress and symptoms of depression and anxiety among medical workers directly involved in pandemic response efforts. Implications for supporting healthcare professionals' mental well-being during public health emergencies are discussed. Statistical analysis includes descriptive statistics and inferential tests to examine associations between variables.
Online mental health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak	Liu, S., Yang, L., Zhang, C., Xiang, Y. T., Liu, Z., Hu, S., & Zhang, B. (2020)	Case Study	This case study investigates online mental health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. Findings highlight the rapid expansion of digital mental health platforms and the increased demand for online counseling and support services. Opportunities and challenges associated with virtual mental health care delivery are discussed, along with implications for future practice and policy. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a qualitative case study presenting descriptive findings from observations and interviews.
Mental health in Maine - NAMI Maine stats and Facts	National Alliance on Mental health IIIness (2021)	Report	This report provides statistics and facts on mental health in Maine, compiled by the National Alliance on Mental Illness

			(NAMI) Maine. The report offers insights into the prevalence of mental health conditions, access to treatment, and related challenges in the state. Statistical analysis includes compilation and presentation of data from various sources, but specific statistical details are not provided.
Mobile apps for bipolar disorder: A systematic review of features	Nicholas, J., Larsen, M. E., Proudfoot, J., Christensen, H., & Griffiths, K. M. (2015)	Systematic Review	This systematic review evaluates mobile applications for bipolar disorder, focusing on their features and functionalities. Findings highlight the diversity of available apps and their potential to support self-management and symptom monitoring. However, limitations in app quality and evidence base are noted, emphasizing the need for further research and development. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a review article summarizing existing evidence.
Mental health and the COVID-19 pandemic	Pfefferbaum, B., & North, C. S. (2020)	Perspective	This perspective article discusses the mental health impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, highlighting psychological responses to stressors and disruptions. Findings underscore the importance of addressing mental health needs alongside public health measures to mitigate adverse outcomes. Recommendations for promoting resilience and coping strategies are provided. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a perspective article discussing pandemic-related mental health challenges.
Geographic and specialty distribution of US physicians trained to treat opioid use disorder	Rosenblatt, R. A., Andrilla, C. H. A., Catlin, M., & Larson, E. H. (2018)	Descriptive Study	This descriptive study examines the geographic and specialty distribution of US physicians trained to treat opioid use disorder (OUD). Findings reveal disparities in the availability of OUD treatment providers across regions and specialties, highlighting areas with limited access to addiction care. Implications for workforce planning and policy interventions are discussed. Statistical analysis includes compilation

			and presentation of data on physician distribution.
Mental Health Workforce Shortage: A Critical Infrastructure Problem	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) (2020)	Report	This report discusses the mental health workforce shortage as a critical infrastructure problem, as recognized by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). Findings highlight workforce gaps in meeting the growing demand for mental health services and outline strategies for workforce development and retention. Statistical analysis includes compilation and presentation of data on workforce shortages and related trends.
Mental Health Prevention and Promotion-A Narrative Review	Singh, V., Kumar, A., & Gupta, S. (2022)	Review	This narrative review synthesizes evidence on mental health prevention and promotion strategies. Findings highlight the importance of early intervention, psychosocial support, and community-based approaches in preventing mental health disorders and promoting well-being. Implications for policy, practice, and future research are discussed. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a narrative review summarizing existing evidence.
Survey of Primary Care Provider Comfort in Treating Psychiatric Patients in 2 Community Clinics: A Pilot Study	Stilwell, K., Pelkey, L., Platt, T., Nguyen, K., Monteith, S., Pinheiro, A., & Achtyes, E. D. (2022)	Pilot Study	This pilot study surveys primary care providers' comfort levels in treating psychiatric patients in two community clinics. Findings reveal variations in provider confidence and perceived competency in managing mental health conditions. Barriers to effective collaboration and training needs are identified, highlighting opportunities for enhancing integrated care delivery. Statistical analysis includes descriptive statistics and comparisons of provider responses.
Long-term cost effects of collaborative care for late-life depression	Unützer, J., Katon, W. J., Fan, M. Y., Schoenbaum, M. C., Lin, E. H., Della Penna, R. D., ... & Powers, D. (2016)	Cost-Effectiveness Analysis	This cost-effectiveness analysis evaluates the long-term cost effects of collaborative care interventions for late-life depression. Findings demonstrate the economic benefits of collaborative care in reducing healthcare utilization and improving depression outcomes

			compared to usual care. Cost-effectiveness ratios and economic modeling are used to assess intervention value.
Dementia care during COVID-19	Wang, H., Li, T., Barbarino, P., Gauthier, S., Brodaty, H., Molinuevo, J. L., ... & Dementia Collaborative Research Centres (2020)	Perspective	This perspective article discusses dementia care challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic and strategies for maintaining quality of care. Findings highlight the impact of pandemic-related restrictions on dementia patients and caregivers, emphasizing the need for innovative approaches to support and engage this vulnerable population. Recommendations for adapting dementia care practices in the context of public health emergencies are provided. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a perspective article discussing pandemic-related challenges in dementia care.
The COVID-19 pandemic: The 'black swan' for mental health care and a turning point for e-health	Wind, T. R., Rijkeboer, M., Andersson, G., & Riper, H. (2020)	Perspective	This perspective article discusses the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care delivery and the accelerated adoption of e-health solutions. Findings highlight opportunities and challenges in transitioning to virtual care models, emphasizing the need for equity, privacy protection, and evidence-based practices. Implications for integrating e-health into mental health services are discussed. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a perspective article outlining the pandemic's impact on mental health care.
Telepsychiatry access for patients with substance use disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic: Strategies and policy implications	Zelkowitz, R. L., Cole, R. E., Kastka, T. A., & Stelmokas, D. M. (2021)	Review	This review discusses strategies and policy implications for expanding telepsychiatry access for patients with substance use disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic. Findings highlight the potential of telepsychiatry to improve treatment access, engagement, and outcomes for individuals with substance use disorders. Policy recommendations to address regulatory barriers and promote

telehealth adoption are provided. Statistical analysis is not applicable as this is a review article summarizing existing evidence.