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Dear Le Forum,

My husband has passed away, but I want to continue receiving Le Forum.
I read every page of the paper. We were both Franco-American and proud of it! Please continue mailing Le Forum to the same address.

Merci!
Cecile Vigue
Fairfield, ME

Dear Cecile;
I wish to extend to you my deepest sympathies!
I will certainly continue to send you Le Forum, no worries there!
Thank you for your continued support!

Le Forum

Dear Le Forum,

I’ve been getting Le Forum for awhile. Can’t remember when I last paid. I’m sending you a check to keep getting it and pay for the past.
I enjoy it. Some good articles.

Thank You!
Yours truly,
David Lemay
Dover Foxcroft, ME

Dear David;
You are paid until April of 2017. I corrected your mailing label. It now reads correctly. Year/Month of subscription renewal. It appears on your mailing label below your address.

Thank you for your continued support!

Le Forum

Dear Le Forum,

I am subscribing to Le Forum again this year. Can you please begin by sending me Vol. 38 #2, Printemps/Spring 2016 Issue? I like to have them handy for when I find related material in my research on Ancestry.com.
Enclosed is a check for 4 issues.

Thank You,
Yvonne Causey
Woonsocket, RI

Merci Yvonne!

Dear Le Forum,

J’ai envoyé un chèque pour mon abonnement et le chèque a été changé à la fin du mois de déc. Je n’ai pas reçu encore mon petit magazine, j’ai téléphoné la semaine dernière j’ai mis mon message sur votre enregistreuse.
Voulez vous vérifier. J’attends de vos nouvelles bientôt. Je ne veux pas manquer ma subscription que j’ai payer.

Merci,
Claudette Desjardins
Presque Isle, ME

Chère Mme Desjardins,
Je vous remercie d’avoir écrit au Forum. Je regrette que vous n’avez pas réçu une réponse du Centre Franco-Américain dans une façon opportune. C’est moi seule qui est responsable pour la publication du Forum mais j’étais absente pendent quelques mois pour des raisons personnelles. Malheureusement, personne ne prenait ma place.
Récemment j’ai retourné au travail et je viens de finir la publication du Forum (l’édition hiver, en retard!). Vous recevrez votre copie en quelques jours, aussi bien que les éditions printemps et été dans les mois à venir.

Téléphonez-moi (581-3789), je vous en prie, si vous avez des questions ou aucun problème.

Merci bien,
Le Forum

Dear Le Forum,

The (Vol. 38 #3) edition, was one of the best! A lot from the St. John River Valley.
Joe Arsenault’s letter clearly described what Francos faced throughout our history and the present as well.

Bon travail et bonne chance!

Sincerely,
Ken Soucy
Pinellas Park, FL

Merci Ken!

Dear Le Forum,

I enjoy “Le Forum” every time I receive it. You do a good job, and it’s always interesting. As you know, I like the printed copy, which tells you what age bracket I’m in.

I especially liked the two stories by Anne Lucey (Vol. 38 #2), “Memere: The Life of a Franco-American Woman”, that were reprinted from 1987, in your Spring Issue (2016). My wife especially enjoyed them, as she lived in that era in Biddeford, and her father and sister both worked at the Saco-Lowell mill.

Biddeford was very French then. My wife, Priscilla’s parents were both born there, but both sets of her grandparents, (Hanna and Hamel) were born in Quebec. She lived through those times and remembers when the mills started closing. She graduated from St. Joseph High School in 1957 as valedictorian of her class and made her speech entirely in French (without notes). The nuns were good teachers, and you really learned a subject, (read, write and speak), and not just put in time in class to later claim that you had four years of French.

We visited many of her relatives in Quebec over the years. There was a lot of discrimination in Maine throughout those years. The legislature even passed a law in 1919 making speaking French in school a punishable offense. Some schools, such as St. Joseph in Biddeford, and Ste Agathe, where I went to school, ignored those laws, and we thank them for it. Knowing a second language, (able to read, write and speak it), is such an asset to have.

Sincerely,
Marc Chassé
Fort Kent, ME

Merci Marc!
When Charoon and Luk (my host families) had cautioned me not to use the road by the Buddhist Wat (temple) near our home late at night for fear the spirits of those interred there would invade my body and my mind, I had done so anyway. So when I told them I was going to Vang Village alone, they were concerned, but did not try to dissuade me. I was eager and ready to travel alone to get a feel for the people and their ways without the filter of my good hosts’ comments and explanations. I wanted to come and go as I pleased.

I had arrived in Thailand nearly four months earlier in January 1962, spoke Thai fairly well, and was familiar with the local Lao dialect. My trips outside Udorn had been with Thai friends and colleagues, who tended to be overly protective. I understood their concern and I appreciated their caring—I was their friend—but I was oblivious to another reason for their protectiveness. Not only was I their friend, I was a guest of the Thai government and one of “Kennedy’s Kids,” as the first Peace Corps Volunteers were often called. If something had happened to me, it would have been embarrassing to them, to the Trade School, and to their government.

I was not always sensitive to the acute need to avoid embarrassment, to save face in Thailand, since I come from a northern Maine mix of French-Quebec-Cajun stock where concern for saving face is easily trumped by a strong independent streak. My Thai hosts sensed my need for independence much earlier than I sensed their desire to care for me, and to protect me from an incident that could have caused embarrassment.

School was out and nothing much was happening when I took off on my bicycle to visit the small village of Vang, about five or six miles from Udorn. I had traveled to Vang earlier with Charoon and Luk, and the village headman, Mr. Kasem, had invited me to return.

The going was slow and the day was hot. Temperatures often exceeded 100 degrees Fahrenheit during the hot season—temperatures I had not known in Lille, Maine, or anywhere else. Yet the idea of pedaling and pushing a bicycle for an hour and a half in high heat didn’t dampen my enthusiasm. The extreme cold of northern Maine and the extreme heat of the tropics never bothered me much. I think adapting to extreme temperatures is as much a state of mind as it is a state of biology.

I pedaled to the outskirts of Udorn on paved and graveled streets, continued on dirt roads for about two miles, then turned sharply off the road on a path that meandered across rice paddies and through partially wooded areas, giving me an occasional shady moment. Keeping myself and my bicycle upright on the ridges separating the rice paddies was difficult, and walking alongside my bike on the narrow ridges was almost impossible.

During the hour-and-a-half trek to the village, I thought of my good fortune at being a Peace Corps Volunteer in Thailand. The people of the northeast were very friendly and gracious. They welcomed me into their homes and shared their food. I had been told during orientation in Bangkok that the northeast was a hotbed of communist infiltration from Laos, but I never sensed any animosity during my two-year stint.

My trip to Vang Village was a direct result of moving from the Teacher Training College residence to my new home near the Trade School. It was Charoon and Luk who had earlier taken me to Vang Village to meet their friends. They went out of their way to teach me about northeast Thailand Village life, and to introduce me to people outside my immediate circle of colleagues and friends in Udorn. I was growing and learning in Thailand as I had envisioned when I volunteered for the Peace Corps in March, 1961.

After bicycling and walking for about an hour-and-a-half, I arrived at the village. When the children saw me, they came running and waved (to bow and put the hands together as in prayer) deeply. They remembered me from my earlier visit, but I was still an oddity—maybe the first white person (falang) to visit their village...and one who spoke some Lao dialect. I was something of a celebrity, as were other volunteers in the first Peace Corps groups, especially those who lived outside the larger cities.

I was pleased to be in the partial shade of the village, set among scattered coconut and fruit trees. The houses were on stilts to keep them dry during the rainy season. This had the salutary effect of providing ample air circulation throughout the house and creating something akin to, but not quite, a cool breeze. I found the traditional Thai home comfortable, even during the hot season.

Mr. Kasem, Vang’s headman, invited me and the villagers who had gathered into (Continued on page 5)
language skills improved, I joined in the repartee, the jokes and the plays on words. I found this kind of entertainment similar to my growing-up-days in Lille when televisions were few, movie houses were distant, and entertainment was something we did for ourselves among family and friends.

Upon arriving in the village I had noticed a few babies who looked ill and listless on their mothers’ hips or lying in the makeshift small hammocks. While eating and drinking, I saw more small babies who were sick. I asked on of the mothers, “What’s wrong with your baby?” “Our babies are sick. We don’t know what to do? Can you help?” “I don’t know anything about medicine and don’t think I can do anything to help your babies. I’m not a doctor.”

More out of curiosity than anything else, I asked if I could touch the babies on their foreheads to check their temperatures. (Thailand people do not normally touch the upper part of a person’s body since they believe that’s their most divine part. This less so for a baby or small child but I didn’t want to take a chance on offending by touching without permission.) I could tell that the babies had very high temperatures, but that was all I could tell. I had a few pamphlets on tropical disease at my home in Udorn and without saying it, I resolved to try to do something for the babies upon my return.

After eating too much sticky rice and drinking too much Mekong. I left the village and reached home by early evening to the relief of my host families. During my return trek, I thought of asking for help from a U.S. marine doctor I had met in Udorn’s small Catholic Church. He was part of a contingent of about 1,200 marines ordered to Udorn by President John Kennedy to “send a message” to the Pathet Lao – communists in nearby Laos. The marines were camped near the small Udorn airport, also used as a base for Air America, the CIA’s (Central Intelligence Agency) air force, which flew regularly to the jungles of Laos, but that’s another story.

I had stayed away from the marine camp because I was afraid to be identified with the military and the CIA. However, the disturbing images of the sick babies made me break my rule. I went to camp, told the marine doctor about the babies and asked if he could go to the village with me. He could not leave his post, nor could he diagnose the illness from what I had told him, but he gave me a large jar of aspirin saying, “It won’t hurt the babies and might help them. It will help lower their body temperatures.”

Next day I returned to the village with my large jar of aspirin. I had tied the jar with elastic cords to the carrier over the rear wheel of my bike but it kept slipping and I had to stop often to tighten the cords. It took me a long time to reach Vang. Mr. Kasem, the village headman and the other villagers were surprised to see me again so soon.

I told them I had some medicine and that maybe it might lower the babies’ temperatures and help them heal. We split the adult-size aspirin tablets in half and I gave about 10 days-worth of tablets to the mother for each sick baby. I instructed them on the dosage, the number of times each day and told them to give the medicine until it ran out. While returning to Udorn, a new worry came to me: What if one or more of the babies die? Will they blame me for the death or deaths? Maybe what I had done was not such a good idea. I feared the unknown reac-

(Continued on page 6)
tion of the villagers should a baby die, and I thought of the potential harm publicity about this might have on the young Peace Corps.

But my fears were unjustified, as most fears are. A few weeks later when I returned to the village, I found a bunch of healthy babies. Mr. Kasem and the other villagers greeted me very warmly, thanked me, and gave me too much credit for saving their babies. I emphasized that their babies had gotten better because of the great care they had lavished on them, but they insisted, “Your medicine saved our babies.” This was an occasion for celebration and they brought out the sticky rice, spicy fish and Mekong whiskey.

I felt Tom Dooleyish. Dr. Tom Dooley, who had attended the University of Notre Dame and the University of St. Louis Medical School, had become very famous for his work in North Vietnam and Laos in the 1950’s. He had written a number of popular books about his work, setting up hospitals and ministering to the health needs of many people in remote Laotian villages. He was considered a forerunner of the Peace Corps Volunteer by many people. I was inspired by his life work. He died of cancer in his early ‘30s. Later, in a more cynical time, the sheen of his accomplishments and adventures were considerably dulled.

I climbed the stairs to Mr. Kasem’s home, squatted with the other men around the rice, fish sauce and Mekong whiskey while the women prepared more food for the feast. This celebration was going to last a while so I paced myself with the whiskey. There was always a thing about trying to get the falang (foreigner) tipsy, if not drunk. I suppose that made them feel superior.

We talked and joked and laughed. They wondered how hard it must be for me to be away from my family. It was difficult for them to understand why I would leave my rich country. (Sometimes a mother would offer her baby to me to take to America for a better life. I had a hard time believing she was serious.) I told them I loved my country, that we had poor people in America too, and that I appreciated the benefits and beauty of the Thais and their way of life. I told them I missed my family and friends but I had a new large family here. I said that learning their language and culture, their enjoyable conversations, their play on words, and their great sense of humor made my life with them good. Still it was difficult for them to understand me and the culture of volunteerism from which I came.

Meanwhile the women had killed a duck or rooster, I forgot which, for a special treat. While it was being cooked, the wife of the village headman, Mrs. Kasem, brought the blood drawn from the duck or rooster to drink with our whiskey. The men took the glass of raw blood, spiked it with the whiskey and gave it to me for the first swig.

Although I ate and drank most everything in the villages and cities of Thailand, I declined this one special drink.

I told them my stomach could not handle raw duck (or rooster) blood. They accepted my reason for they understood stomach aches very well - a too common malady in the village. That was the only time I refused a food or drink during my time in Thailand. I can still see that glass of raw blood - duck’s or rooster’s. It looked like tomato juice.

Roger Parent lives in South Bend, Indiana, where he served as city councilor and mayor in the 1970’s and ‘80’s. He is trustee of the South Bend Community School Corporation and found of World Dignity, a non-profit organization focused on educational programs in Thailand, India and South Bend. In 2005 he assisted victims of the Dec. 26, 2004 tsunami as deputy director of the Tsunami Volunteer Center in Khao Lak, Thailand. He and his wife, Rolande (Ouellette), have four children and six grandchildren.
Dear Le Forum;

Thanks for Le Forum. I always look forward to receiving it.

One thing that I have noticed from reading it. You folks out East refer to yourselves as Franco-Americans. We here in the Midwest refer to ourselves as French-Canadians. I never heard the term Franco-Americans until I started reading Le Forum or other publications from out East.

Our local group French-American Heritage Foundation has remained busy this last year. I will try to write something up about our activities and send it to you.

Also, I wanted to share a little known fact with the folks "out East". That is- Pierre Esprit Radisson and Médard des Groseilliers were the first Europeans to the land we now call Minnesota in 1628. For the next 220 years the other Europeans that came here were all from Quebec and Manitoba. They all spoke French. It wasn't until 1850 that the treaties with the Native people's allowed settlement to take place. That is when the Easterners began arriving here. By that time most of the French place names were assigned to the rivers, streams, landmarks and villages. Jean Brunet founded Chipewa Falls, WI, Solomon Juneau founded Milwaukee, Pierre Parrant (nicknamed Pig's Eye) founded St Paul and folks like Pierre Bottineau founded Minneapolis.

Therefore from 1628 to about 1850 the language spoken in Minnesota was French. If you wanted to do business in this area, you spoke French. That is a period of about 220 years that most folks don't know about. Our group is attempting to educate the populace about its history.

Pierre Girard
Golden Valley, MN

Check out our website at: http://fahfminn.org.
Being & Not Being Franco-American: The Perspective of One 21st Century Millennial

Maegan Maheu, Undergraduate Researcher, Franco-American Centre, University of Maine

1. I am attempting to share a collective analysis of self-explored thoughts as to where and why I have certain viewpoints or biases on whether “this” or “that” might account for my understanding of what a Franco-American is. The question of being and not being a Franco-American is a collection of observations and inductions, both through my socially crafted subjective lens and by relating such matters beyond personal experience.

I have lived most of my life to date in Waterville, one of the larger cities of central Maine. For me, the city represents a Franco community with 7.7% French Canadian and 15.5% French, totaling to 22.5%; according to 2013 population data. From an overall feel for the city, I would have initially thought that more than a third of the population is French/Franco based on surrounding demographics. This especially in regards to the older generations, as it contributes to most of the people I was surrounded by on a day-to-day basis. This also I think has to do with my own heritage.

2. Growing up in Waterville, I took a Franco-American to be someone with U.S. citizenship who has ties with other Americans who identify themselves as sharing an ancestry determined from France, via indirectly through French-speaking Canada. As a member of the millennial generation, I describe myself as of U.S. nationality with a mostly Canadian-French ancestry via my patrilineage, the branch of the family and ethnic community I grew up in.

I felt that I could confirm my stance not only because I meet my own, subjective ‘minimum criteria,’ but also because I have given thought and appreciation towards this matter as an active member of my community, a mostly Franco community. And I held to certain practices that an ‘outsider’ might expect of a Franco, e.g. certain religious practice, work ethic, overall appreciation for my current community and its history, since the historical mass is the same as my own- engulfing me in a sense of kinship. Thus, I am Franco.

But this definition has been challenged by others, whether in person or in publication.

...the city represents a Franco community with 7.7% French Canadian and 15.5% French, totaling to 22.5%

3. The U.S. Census Bureau’s primary population survey tool, the American Community Survey (ACS), asks, “What is the person’s ancestry or origin?” (Part III, Question 13). The surveyor is provided a blank box in which to write a respondent’s answer. This open-ended question allows respondents to individually determine their ethnicity. It is a self-identifying process. Perhaps this helps shed light why in the State of Maine, in 2013, respondents chose 2:1 to identify as French rather than French Canadian. Yet it seems highly likely that most of the respondents who self-identified as ‘French’ actually have a French-Canadian heritage. But the ACS survey does not include any follow-up questions about self-identification. And respondents are prompted to declare a single ethnic group, which seems especially flawed for U.S. majority where mixed ancestries are to be expected. Why might persons self-identify as ‘French’ rather than ‘French-Canadian,’ even if they know their ancestry is to some extent Canadian? One thing is clear: Maine’s population hosts the largest state percentage of Francos as its largest ethnic group compared to other state demographical data; with 16.6% ‘French’ and 7.6% ‘French Canadian’, for a total of 24.3% of the population.

Where I was born in Utah, my younger sister was born in Maine. We both grew up in Waterville, within the same Franco community. In high school, I took French; she is taking Spanish. Out of curiosity, while pursuing this research I raised the questions of ancestry/orign and of being “French” versus “French Canadian” with her. She quickly replied by email:

I’m more Canadian than French. I like poutine, I like maple syrup, I think moose are cool, free health care [etc]. And I think Franco American means that you are fully, or almost fully, of French descent but was born and still live in America...Canada is a different place than France...the cultures of French Canadian and actual French people are very different. Canadians are heavily influenced by the Americans, therefore shaping their culture. Although Canada is...inhabited by “French” people, they are not actually French. They are not from France, which is very different from Canada. France is more influenced by European conflicts and whatnot. …Canadians are descendants of immigrants from long ago who have shaped and changed their personalities, beliefs, and culture since they got [there].” (Used with permission.)

This millennial strongly identifies herself as not a Franco, not a French American, but specifically as a Canadian American. Apparently to her, Canadian culture and its inhabitants are distinctly different from French. I seem to base my identity on bloodline first, however my same bloodline begs to differ, it first must do with sociological interactions and pressures. When does a state in the international system able to define its (Continued on page 9)
own nationality the same as ethnicity for its citizens’ identity? I personally don’t think or don’t want to think that it begins the same time the state is officially announced. Justifying my reasoning as to why I consider the older French label before the newer Canadian label. However, I cannot argue against my younger sister’s viewpoint that Canadian and French cultures are different and so cannot be compared as an apples-to-apples complex.

5.

How else do people identify themselves as being/not-being Franco? For some, a French last name is the strongest qualifier for membership. For instance, 75-year-old Sidney, Maine resident Betty DeBlois, who first identifies her nationality as “always American first” and then specifies as Franco-American, however making very clear “I love my French heritage,” claims that for her, “You are Franco American if your last name is [a] French name and you were born in the United States.” To support her view, she cites the “Claremont Club,” a club whose membership, according to her, requires the individual to possess a French name for admission. Furthermore, Betty relates how, before the 1970s, if you were born in the United States and your parents held a French name, specifying French ancestry, your birth certificate would recognize your nationality as “Franco-American.” (I was unable to find any records of this sort.)

Whether most Franco-Americans would agree with her stance I don’t know, yet in some sense, as I learned it, tradition says that a person living in the U.S with a French surname suffices to be called Franco. And while at one time I might have affirmed this view based on my experience, today I am confused by it.

I didn’t ask at the time of our discussion and I wonder how Mdme. DeBlois would take into account Franco descendents who “lost” their last name, traditionally through marriage of a Franco mother.

But also, certainly not all American families with French ancestry have French names, for several reasons. For instance, it is evident in central Maine how many last names of French Canadian families were changed by or for patrilineal ancestors. The reasons vary, from illiteracy to Anglofying to avoid economic prejudice. The inability to write one’s own name often meant a witness unfamiliar with the French language and its phonology would attempt to decipher how to spell it from its pronunciation. The result often determined how generations of descendants (mis)-spelled their own last name.

6.

...a person living in the U.S with a French surname suffices to be called Franco. And while at one time I might have affirmed this view based on my experience, today I am confused by it.

My surname, “Maheu”, serves as an example. The name “Maheu” is spelled correctly in accordance to French tradition, and can still be seen in Canada and France. However, many families who share this patronym spell it “incorrectly,” including “Maheux” and “Mayo” and everything in between. The additional ‘x’ of “Maheux” was added by a certain branch of the family line sometime in the last two centuries, whether to escape prejudice and or because this sign (“x”) was their literal signature, “Maheu” being provided by some literate witness to the signing. The more extreme spelling, “Mayo,” suggests an attempt to make the name both sound and appear less French.

Growing up I always thought that my family line had dropped the ‘x’ to read as more English. It wasn’t until recently I discovered that this was not the case. My father and grandmother (Maheu line) were both dismayed when I asked when our family’s name became more ‘English.’ To my surprise it was traditionally ‘correct’ all along. I think now that a potential reason as to why I was so misinformed was because I noticed from texts and from the various people whose last names were French in origination tended to have a lot of spellings ending with the ‘x’ here in the U.S. (and specifically Maine). So, I assumed that it was “a French thing.” Turns out these names are the Anglo-versions of the original! And now that I think about it more, whenever I visited Quebec City or Montreal, there were businesses who featured the Maheu name; not too many, but they were all spelled the same as my own. I saw no ‘x’s.

Betty DeBlois also voiced concerns about how the surrounding Anglo community used to express disapproval of Francos simply based on European ancestry (and its political strife). Her tone became somewhat tense when describing how people coming into the U.S. from Canada during her childhood “had to learn English in order to go to school and get a job.” Previous to this I had heard similar, albeit occasional, remarks from older French/Franco persons. A personal example involves a high school memory where I was returning from a tennis meet late one spring. My coach was discussing various matters with the bus driver.

Coach explained that because he had a French name and spoke French (with his parents and some friends) he experienced crude prejudice and discrimination when he was young, not only from his peers but from many older people in Waterville. Coach explained that he would get into trouble at school if he spoke (Canadian)-French on the grounds. “We were the scum of the city all because we spoke that language.” This prejudice against Francos, according to my coach, did not stop when he became an adult. If I remember correctly the driver agreed, though he was not French but rather Irish-American. Estimating Coach’s age, I would say this must have occurred before and into the 1960s.

Generations of mill-working French-Mainers through the 1960s and beyond fostered a cultural icon for Francos. Many Francos in central Maine in the mid- to late 20th century worked in mills to support a living and a certain lifestyle associated within the Franco community found here. But changes in the U.S. and on a global scale, due to big business, laws and ordinances regarding working restraints and tariffs allow for the disappearing mill crisis seen increasingly as of late. From 2000 to 2013, employment in the papermaking sub-sector (Maine) alone saw a drop from 2,473 employees to 1,450.

(Continued on page 10)
As a young Franco in Waterville, I saw many community people of the two prior generations who were out or temporarily out of work, and not by choice. I remember, while in high school working as a cashier at the local Hannaford Supermarket, an older gentleman who came to my register wearing a Huhtamaki branded cap and shirt. I asked him what it was like working in the paper products manufacturing plant. He quickly explained that he was very fortunate to have gotten his job back after being laid off for quite some time. After my senior year of high school, looking for summer work before leaving for University, I called the plant asking if they were hiring seasonal workers. The secretary on the phone explained that not only were no new workers being hired, but that whenever they needed seasonal help they referred to a whole list of people previously laid off to hire.

This unfortunate circumstance for the working class of Mainer does and has influenced a percentage of young Maine millennials (such as myself and my family) to leave the state in search for jobs once we graduate from college. Of course this is not just due to the disappearance of mills. No surprise, current Maine students, who are mainly composed of Franco heritage, increasingly see the value of obtaining post-secondary schooling and/or professional degrees so to achieve at least the same standard of living of our parents’ and grandparents’ generations.

8.

But to me this rise in educational achievement is not a new phenomenon in the Franco community. I am the first female in my direct lineage to attend University. My father and uncle (previous generation) represent the first generation of the family to attend University. Their parents’ highest level of education was high school. And their parents’ schooling concluded at the elementary/middle level. The generation that came before them had no formal schooling. I can only think that this applies to most families in the area.

I remember my father explaining to me that even when he was a young kid he “knew” that he was one day going to attend college. Where did he get such certainty of this idea if his parents didn’t go? Inspirations for this occurred in the familial unit. His parents wanted to financially guarantee this level of education for him (and his brother) “because we didn’t have a chance to go, we wanted them to have this ability.”

Farm and blue-collar do not currently apply to my family line, though I know that many Francos used to—and still do—work such jobs in mills in the area. Even though this does not apply to my family I still see this as a core component in the Franco identity. Why? Most likely from all the stories told to me when I was little, from so many older people whose livelihoods consisted of millwork. I found out that both Betty DeBlois and her husband were mill workers during the late 20th century. “It’s just what you do [for work]... coming down from up north.”

Also, it would be false to say that no one in my direct line ever worked for a mill company. My father worked at Huhtamaki seasonally while attending college. And today, being a chemical engineering major at University of Maine, I have accepted an engineering co-op at Huhtamaki. So ironically, perhaps even the current Franco generation will partake in the famous millwork associated with the Franco community! It seems you can’t get away from it. However, I am very appreciative that such a path/opportunity still exists in my community.

9.

So what else might be characteristic of Franco cultural identity or experience? More specifically, as a Franco woman? Perhaps related to this question, Juliana L’Heureux (2000) lists what she considers to be ten characteristics of a Franco-American mother:

- The ability to keep religious traditions in practice within the family unit
- Absolute cleanliness
- A strict methodology
- A sound sense of organization
- Skill in handicraft
- Excellent gardening skills
- Upholding an appearance of quiet modesty
- Mastering cuisine on a frugal budget
- Appreciative wit and humour
- Being able to demand and secure family affection/love5.

L’Heureux also lists other traditions, practices, and ideologies that Francos generally maintain: a taste for regional/familial delicacies such as touché, plois, and salmon prés, a strong work ethic, the French language, a positive creed on education, and a shared appreciation for a common background.

But how important are these traditions and traits in defining oneself as Franco? And have they or will they remain a strong core of this identity?

The list reminds me of common conventions that stereotypically serve through 1950s U.S. media. Are these considered desirable qualities associated with Franco women? I don’t think that was what L’Heureux was getting at, rather as a general sum of her own observations seen in her Franco community (and through research). I was not surprised by all the qualities L’Heureux listed for Franco mothers. It reminded me of stories about my meme (great grandmother) and her simple, yet hard working way of life.

Modern societal attitudes reflected in my own thinking would suggest that I should feel surprised by the matter. However, when I imagine Francos I envision an older couple who suits the classic traits L’Heureux listed. Must be because I feel surrounded by the older French demographic in this state - as it is the majority. As expected, I do not agree with these terms for my generation. And yet, my personal experience confirms that many Francos of my community (even some of my own peers) hold up some of these features/practices to some extent. Especially the part of mastering your cooking on a frugal, University budget. But does this make them Franco?

I’ve attended and partaken in forms of some of the characteristics listed above. During Christmastime for example, my family and I enjoy keeping up the old family tradition of serving touché (a meat pie). But for almost as long as I can recall, no one actually made the pie, it was usually pre-ordered from a market or bakery. Although, I do believe that there were a few instances where my aunt hand-prepared the pie (but she is not blood related and would not be considered Franco by this criteria). On the other hand, growing up I never heard of other dishes such as the plois (ployes) or salmon prés that Mdmes. DeBlois and L’Heureux mention.

I will also admit I am a converted Roman Catholic. Within my branch of the Maheu family, I am the only officially baptized, catechized, and confirmed member of my generation within the family (to this date). This is a new frontier for my family line! For as early as can be seen in genealogical records down through the next-to-youngest

(Continued on page 11)
I grew up in Lewiston as a Franco-American on both sides of my family. My heritage has always been a fascination of mine. Anyone who studies Franco-Americans can’t help but notice that there is a striking sense of what it means to be a part of the big-C Community. Franco Americans are good Catholics, their work ethic is unmatched, families are generally on the large side, and they generally vote Democrat (this is changing a bit, but historically is the case).

This social order is well known to those in the community. One of my favorite moments which really highlighted this for me was in High School. Some quick background on me: As with most Francos, I was brought up Catholic; I went to a private Catholic school, I went to mass on Sundays, I went to confession, my uncles were active Knights of Columbus (KoC). However, throughout high school I had found myself attending a Protestant church on the outskirts of Lewiston. Once I got to College, I ended up joining a Freemason lodge up by the University (for those who don’t know, Freemasons are essentially KoC, but KoC are Catholics only and Freemasons are non-denominational).

During one of my breaks I ended up going down to visit my family. During a discussion about what I was up to, I told my Memere about joining the freemason lodge. Her response to me was “Why didn’t you just join the KoC?” and my response was “Well, I’m not really a Catholic. I’m a protestant”. For the next few minutes I sat there and watched the gears try to churn that one out. All she could manage was “But…”

Apparently, this confidence is a recent phenomenon, one that, as a millennial, I have until recently taken for granted. We, the current generation, have time and a new freedom to collectively decide whether to take responsibility implementing or not implementing the heritage of practices, customs, creeds, and attitudes of previous Franco generations. For instance, the last generation (parent’s) seem to have all decided not to speak French to their kids. Since I know of no one from my peers who grew up with (Canadian)-French as their (or one of their) first language(s). What is deemed as important and not important shifts at the niche level between individuals but perhaps more noticeably at the macroscopic level through generational change.

If the question is asked today, whether identifying as a Franco American is or ever was important, it is addressed mostly to persons between the Baby Boomer generation and the Millennial generation. It seems that older generations would agree it is important, while the younger generations are more likely to be indifferent to the matter. Perhaps when we’re the old ones, we could change our minds. I can’t see it yet.

This is my impression of the Waterville area. But perhaps the older generation felt the same way a while back as many millennials do today? This might suggest that person’s need to self-identify with their heritage becomes more crucial at some stage of life, or that the education needed to become aware of one’s heritage is not typically learned at any stage of formal schooling.

One thing is clear to me, self-identity and the processes associated to its development are malleable and abstract, be it social, conventions that can have no correct answer since what can be determined as evidence or not is subject to individual perceptions. Not to say it is a made-up construct, for the Franco-American exists simply because those people feel and proclaim it so!
In a study done a number of years ago, the Pew Research Center found that in the early 20th century, newspapers were the single most useful source for communities to obtain information on crime, taxes, government activity, politics, jobs, events, social services, and advertisements.

The first place I was able to draw a link between these useful newspapers and community information was a book titled Newspapers and the Making of Modern America, historian Aurora Wallace tells a story of rural Iowa in the early-mid 20th century. The paper Des Moines Register was published and distributed throughout the entire state of Iowa to the rural farmers and other workers, published and delivered by a network of a small team of writers and a large distribution network of paper boys. In her research, Wallace found that, although these rural farmers had almost no communication with each other, all over the state they overwhelmingly shared the same stances on local and national politics, they worshiped and interpreted their religion similarly, and used the same parlance.

I believe this sets the foundation for my first claim: that this newspaper was the integral source of information dissemination for the Franco community of Lewiston.

The Francophone workers in this area during the early 1900s were overwhelmingly mill workers. The 1920 census found that over 50% of Franco American males and 83% of Franco American women worked in industrial mills. These were certainly not high paying jobs and the workers could not afford to splurge with their capital. In need of staying connected, they could turn the Le Messager, which cost only a few cents per issue. But were they?

I was able to get my hands on a copy of the Pettingill’s Newspaper Directory and Gazetteer. This is a compilation of newspapers published within the US at the time of publishing. Inside, there is a small ad for Le Messager. It boasted 3,200 copies weekly to L-As Francophone population of 1300; generously 1 copy for every 5 people. A quote seen within says, “There is not a manufacturing town in NE where French speaking people are employed that does not contain subscribers to Le Messager”.

It would be safe to say, then, that combining the knowledge of Newspapers as a source and the popularity of Le Messager yields that it was the integral source for disseminating information to the community.

Onto the second of my 3 requisites, and perhaps the easiest to tackle: there was certainly a strong Franco identity within the community. There are 2 cases that, I believe, strongly make the case for this sense of identity and norms.

In 1902, there was an open Bishop seat and the two candidates for the position were an Irish Catholic and a Franco Catholic. The Irish candidate was selected for the position and Le Messager says:

Does the Pope ignore the sad state of affairs? There are more than 100 thousand French Canadians and scarcely 40 thousand Irish. The Pope or his advisers must pay for this evil that is done to us.

US. This clip clearly displays a loyalty to the Franco community before even the church to which almost every Franco belonged.

Politically, we see a similar attitude. At one point the English paper in Lewiston published that there were 200 Francophones starting a Republican club in the city. Le Messager scoffed back, stating that this couldn’t be true because there was no way there were 200 French Republicans in Lewiston.

The third and final piece is that this paper presented its information in such a way that it influenced the community to craft this sense of Franco Identity.

Going back to this incident between the Franco and Irish Bishop candidates: While this was happening Le Messager was publishing information about the whole ordeal. In one issue, there’s an article titled “Test of Conscience” which outlines the two candidates. According to this article, the Irish candidate is an awful catholic who disobeys many of the tenants of the faith while the Franco, on the other hand, is a perfect model Catholic. One of the lines in particular that goes very far in pushing the Franco candidate: It mentions that the French Canadian “Race” is the most intelligent race in North America.

A separate article creates a similar sense of connection to the community. I don’t have to go much farther than the title on this one: it reads, The Franco American brotherhoods must exist outside of foreign protection. This talks about how Francos as a people must stick to their own, build their own societies, and stay strong without the help of anglophones protecting them.

For the reader, this creates a clear separation of Francos from the rest of the population and fosters a loyalty to them by claiming that they are inherently better than everyone else. This same effect is delivered within the quote about the Pope betraying the Francos. That is to say, the paper is actively pushing onto the readership that they are a special subset of people who are loyal to themselves before anyone else.

The piece that I mentioned about the paper scoffing at the idea of Franco Republicans. This narrative would have a similar impact on those who read it. If you are part of a community with such strong ties and you are confronted with the information that EVERYONE is a Democrat, and the idea that less than 0.1% of the population is a Republican is funny, it’s going to deliver the clear message that you, too, are a Democrat and should be a Democrat.

These articles are additionally significant when you consider that the staff writing them consisted of less than 20 people. The writers had a small enough atmosphere to purposefully and deliberately push the message of Franco unity. The people who would then read this agenda would eat it up and digest its message. With an established large readership, established sense of identity and conformity, and an established agenda, I conclude to you that Le Messager was a vital source for creating and preserving a sense of Franco identity in Lewiston, Maine.
Maine’s Franco voters still hold great sway, and they are increasingly up for grabs

April 19, 2017 Daily Brief, Donald Trump, Elections, Governor LePage, Legislature, U.S. Congress

By Michael Shepherd

Bonjour d’Augusta, where it’s Franco-American Day at the Maine State House, which will fill for bilingual legislative sessions, inductions into the Maine Franco-American Hall of Fame with musical performances in the hallways and tournée in the cafe.

The event is a nod to the broad demographic comprising nearly a quarter of Maine’s population, making them our largest ethnic group, according to a 2012 legislative report.

But they have an outsized influence on Maine politics, particularly centered on Lewiston and the St. John Valley. Research published in 2013 by the University of Maine’s Franco-American Centre gave them credit for aiding many of Maine’s biggest political victories since the 1970s.

That spans the time of former U.S. Sens. William Cohen and George Mitchell to Gov. Paul LePage, a Lewiston native who was the first popularly elected Franco governor in Maine. In 2014, the Republican beat former U.S. Rep. Mike Michaud, the first avowed Franco to win major office here.

Historically, Francos have been a Democratic bloc. But they were put in play for Republican campaigns after 1972, when Maine eliminated the “big box” system that allowed quick straight-ticket voting.

On paper, Franco-American communities are still heavily Democratic. Polling in 2012 for the UMaine center found that 45 percent still are registered Democrats, with Republicans making up 14 percent and independents making up 33 percent.

But these areas aren’t voting like that: For example, Lewiston is represented by Democrats in the Maine Legislature and Democratic presidential candidate Hillary Clinton won there in 2016, but it has voted twice for LePage and thrice elected conservative Mayor Robert Macdonald.

Also, Senate Minority Leader Troy Jackson, D-Allagash, represents the St. John Valley and has the most Democratic district by voter registration outside of greater Portland or Lewiston. However, he only won his seat in 2016 by less than 600 votes to put it back in Democratic hands.

The unpredictability of Maine’s Franco-Americans will continue to be a storyline in all big elections for the foreseeable future. However, Franco-American candidates may benefit. In the 2012 polling, nearly 27 percent of Francos said they were more likely to vote for another Franco. That helps explain LePage’s wins.

Other avowed Francos could run to replace him: Adam Cote of Sanford is seen as one of the likeliest Democratic challengers and U.S. Rep. Bruce Poliquin, a Republican from the 2nd District, hasn’t ruled out a run.

Racism and discrimination against the descendants of French Canadians by White Anglo-Saxon Protestants was a common occurrence in New England. Many are familiar with Carroll Wright’s accusation that the French Canadians were “The Chinese of the Eastern States” in his 1881 annual report of the Bureau of Labor Statistics of Massachusetts. In a recent issue of Le Forum, for instance, James Myall presents some great quotes from Madison Grant in his nativist bible, The Passing of the Great Race. David Vermette in his blog about Franco Americans has some great nativist quotes against Franco Americans in that current bastion of liberal orthodoxy, the New York Times. I am currently reading the book Imbeciles by Adam Cohen, which is a story of the eugenics movement in the United States and of the famous Supreme Court Case, Buck v. Bell. The decision was famous because another WASP from Massachusetts, Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr., who wrote in the opinion that the State could involuntarily sterilize an individual deemed to be mentally inferior that “Three generations of imbeciles are enough.” Both left wingers and conservatives, including Margaret Sanger, the darling of liberals today, sang the praises of sterilization. In fact the only significant opposition to involuntary sterilization came from the Catholic Church. Cohen estimates that between 1900 and 1930, almost 70,000 men and women were sterilized against their will in the U.S.

Doing research on a prominent Franco American from Biddeford, Maine, Urbain Ledoux, I stumbled on the writings of one Nicholas Smith, another WASP who also appears to have been from Massachusetts given the references to that state in his writings. Smith served as U.S. Consul in Trois Rivieres, Canada from 1889 to 1892.

The role of a U.S. Consul in the 1890s was primarily that of trade promotion. But Smith also performed many other functions such as passport issuance, notarial services, writing evaluation reports for local employees, protecting American seamen and even officiating at weddings. Despite these many and varied duties, however, Smith found time to perform what is called today ‘political reporting.’ On February 10, 1890, less than 3 months after he arrived in Trois Rivieres, Smith wrote a thirty-four page report entitled "Fecundity of French Canadians." It was the longest communication ever dispatched from the Trois Rivieres Consulate.

Rather than addressing the subject scientifically as a demographer, Smith instead simply manifested his prejudice against French Canadians. He began by calling the Catholic Church in Canada not only the Church of State but the State itself. He traced its role in education and criticized the tremendous power of parish priests in Quebec.

Encouraging fecundity so it could spread its gospel, the church in French Canada, in Smith’s view, posed a threat to the United States: French Canadians, he warned, ‘go to the States not as individuals but as colonies, carrying with them, like the pilgrims, their principles and their priests and keeping themselves as separate

(Continued on page 14)
and distinct from their neighbors as Jews or Chinese ........... They have planted colo-

nies ...........: .. distinct in language, customs and religion in the very heart of Protestant-

ism which in the next twenty years, if they obey their pastors, are destined to replace the

exhausted and impoverished Puritan race. They have built one hundred and twenty

churches which are all in charge of Canadian priests and fifty large convents where nuns of

the same race are giving instruction to 30,000 children. Instead of being absorbed like other emigrants, they have reconstruct-
ed their old parishes, are adhering to their own language ...... and have adopted for their motto 'not that (word illegible) dear to every American heart' but 'our religion, our language, our customs but above all our

country (Canada)' The balance of power in a state which was hitherto regarded as the

keeper of our national conscience is in the hands of the Philistines."

Smith went on to claim that the pro-

vincial government was instructing the tens of thousands of French Canadians in Mass-

achusetts to vote against Senator George Hoar because he had taken positions disliked in Quebec. He ended by warning that 'their alliance to Canada might today seriously imperil our American system.'

Smith submitted several other reports accusing the French Canadians of evading the contract labor law and of using their illegally-earned dollars to pay off their mortgaged Canadian farms. On August 9, 1892, Smith asserted that his dire prognosti-
cations about the French-Canadian invasion in Massachusetts had come true.

On September 17, 1892, Smith wrote his last report from Trois Rivieres. His dis-
patch included the following about the city: "seven persons and a pig, which is made to feel at home, constitute the average family ........ as a defense against both disease and cold the French Canadian pins his faith on a crustaceous integument. Indeed I have sometimes thought that they, like Hindoo fakers, believed in the holiness of dirt."

Smith then discussed measures being taken in Trois Rivieres to ward off an im-

minent cholera epidemic: "With the usual sagacity, however, of municipal bodies, they have begun setting gangs of men to digging in the streets, turning over earth that for two hundreds years has been saturated with slops, so if by any chance the citizens escape the cholera in October, they may die of typhus in November. A board of health had been appointed but like everything else in Three Rivers, it requires eternities of time to move in and long before it settles down to business, the nimble little bacillus may leap the quarantine and revel in our vitals." He ended with this insult to French Canadians: "I am afraid, too, there is nothing in the enertia of Three Rivers to distinguish it in the province."

Smith's sarcastic and racist comments were somehow made public. He wrote later that his mail had been tampered with. His cynical rants caused a furor in Trois Rivieres. The city government called the report "a malicious satire on a very ordinary situation" and an "unwarranted insult to an entire population." Rather than comment on Mr. Smith's "lucubration and ramblings" the city officials

would "content (themselves) in dealing with matters of fact only." Soon after the incident, the U.S. Vice Consul from Montreal reported that "the town is considered in a good and satisfactory sanitary condition."

The unofficial response to Smith's charges was less restrained. The Consulate was attacked by a group of angry citizens who threw rocks through the windows. Con-
sul General Patrick Gorman, arriving from Montreal to inspect the damage, voiced his surprise, according to one Canadian newspaper, that Smith "was not mobbed." Gor-

man announced that Smith would soon be replaced in Trois Rivieres on account of ill health. One newspaper opined that perhaps Smith had caught pneumonia from the cold drafts coming in through the Consulate's broken windows.

The next ten years saw the Consulate in Trois Rivieres ably manned by two young officers - François Belleau (1893-1897) and Urbain Ledoux (1897-1903). Both were Quebec-born immigrants from Maine (Belleau from Lewiston and Ledoux from Biddeford) who, in all probability had worshipped in that State's French Canadi-
an Catholic churches and attended parish schools taught by nuns from Quebec. Led-

doux who is buried in Biddeford and spent his summers in the area went on to have a well-publicized career as a social worker/community organizer in New York City. Ironically, Nicholas Smith's prediction had come true: the Protestant Yankee was replaced by the invading French Canadian migrant- at least in Trois Rivieres. Contrary to Smith's warning, however, our Republic survived.

**REGIS**

"BONHOMME" DAIGLE 1808-1880

Folks called him Regis, "Bonhomme" Daigle - Rgegis the Good Man, or "Bonho-
mme Daigle" - Mister Daigle.

A third generation Madawaskan who knew the pioneers of Madawaska, suddenly became an American in 1842.

From a petition of the Madawaska Plantation residents, in 1846, to Bishop Fenwick of Boston we learn that Regis Bonhomme Daigle served as one of three members of the Board of Assessors of Mad-
awaska Plantation.. Madawaska Plantation was set up as an electoral district by the State of Maine in 1844.

From his account in the mercant traders records of Abraham & Simon Dufour - recorded entirely in French but with monetary valyes in New Brunswick currency, that is in pounds (£), shillings (s), and pence (d.), we learn that farmer Daigle relied on lumber operators to purchase his farm produce - chellyf oats and hay.

Abraham & Simon Dufour, operating under the business firm name of A. & S. Dufour had earlier bought out Antoine Belle-

fleur store (cited by Deane & Kavanaugh in 1831), which store was next to the St. Basile Church property. A. & S. Dufour served as brokers to farmers who wished to supply the lumber operators with the fodder necessary to maintain teams of oxen in the woods.

Daille's account at A. & S. Dufour on Feb. 4, 1846 that Regis Daigle brought 77 bushels of oats to the merchant traders who credited Daigle's account £10 - 11s -9 d. The merchant traders also credited Daigle and additional £1, -8s -7 d. to have Daigle deliver this load "Chez Drake".

The 1850 U.S. census shows Melzar Drake at Portage Lake in the head waters of the Fish River, where he served as lumber camp format in the Shepard Cary lumber operations on the Fish River.

Now imagine this: this is Winter, Feb. 1846 - travel from the merchant trader at St. Basile, N.B. to Portage Lake, Maine would be entirely on the frozen river surface of the St. John and Fish Rivers - a distance (Continued on page 15)
What Did They Eat?

George Findlen, CG, CGL

The following is the third of three excerpts taken from a draft of a book the author is writing of his Acadian ancestors. The book traces his lineage from immigrant Barnabé Martin and Jeanne Pelletet in Port Royal / Annapolis Royal in Acadia / Nova Scotia to Marcel Martin and Jane Levasseur in Hamlin Plantation, Aroostook County, Maine. This except comes from the chapter on René Martin and Marie Brun, who married in 1693. Their farm, Beausoleil, was on the north side of the Annapolis River directly opposite Pré-Ronde.

The archeological excavation of the house at Belleisle examined all animal bones uncovered during the dig. The bulk of them were domestic animals. The Acadians there ate mostly beef followed by pork. They ate less mutton and even less poultry. Around 97% to 98% of the meat they ate came from the domestic animals they raised; only 2% was wild game.1 Few fish bones—mostly cod and striped bass—were uncovered in the dig, although we know from the fishhooks found at the site that they did occasional fishing.

Marie Mignier did not leave her favorite collection of recipes for us to study. We are left to do some creative guessing. Fortunately, French cooking is strongly rooted in tradition. Today’s dishes are cooked much like those of the past, and several cookbooks remind us of that.2 The primary difference is the heat source: we now use a gas or electric stove instead of an open wood fire. We are also blessed with two books of “old” recipes. One book is a collection of Acadian recipes collected from a survey of women of Acadian descent in the mid-1970s. Their average age was 72. That means many of them were born around 1900, their mothers were born around 1875, and their grandmothers were born around 1850, possibly earlier. If those women shared recipes that their mothers got from their grandmothers (who’d have been born between 1800 and 1825), then what got into the published collection has much in common with what Acadian deportees had cooked.3 Another book of “old” recipes is based on books published in France between 1651 and 1739. These recipes were compared with a list of foodstuffs available to the French living at Fortress Louisbourg in the early 1700s, and several of these recipes are known to have been cooked in the hearths there. A collection of them is available for us today.4 So it turns out that we don’t have to guess hard.

For French men and women, bread was the basis of their diet, so wheat was the largest crop grown. They grew enough that they could sell surplus to Boston in good years. And the presence of ovens attached to houses—assuming most other houses were like the one excavated at Belleisle—is evidence that baking was important for Acadians.5 Without doubt, Marie baked for her family, making additional loaves as the family grew. She’d have received a small batch of yeast starter from her mother or mother-in-law on marrying René, although she may have had some from her first marriage.6 Bread was an all-day affair. Early in the evening, some starter was removed from its earthenware container and mixed with a refreshment of dough and water. Just before bedtime, the dough was kneaded and left to rise overnight. Early in the morning, it was

(REGIS "BONHOMME" DAIGLE 1808-1880 continued from page 14)

of -- miles.

In these merchant trader records we see that day laborers are paid 2/6. (two shil-lings, six pence a day... Multiple that sum by eight and you get 1 £ (one pound) giving the pound the value of eight day's work... The credit amounts given to Regis Bonhomme Daire come to slightly more than 13£ or a value of 104 days wages. The oats are being delivered to an American lumber operation, but the credit earned is reported in New Brunswick currency.

At this time the lumber operators had not yet cleared supply farms like the Michaud Farm on of the Allagash River a generation later. In 1846, Madawaska farmers filled the bill.

Ten days later, Daigle takes up another run. This time he brings 101 bushels of oats to the Dufour brokers who credit him 12£ -12s - 6d. and an additional 3 £ - 3s - 1 1/2d. to bring the produce "Chez Thomas E. Perley. This credit of 15£ and 15 shillings a value of 127 day laborer wages... James and Thomas E. Perley of Frederic-ton,N.B. have an operation above the Fish River mills on Perley Brook in Fort Kent... There were no banks in the Madawaska region, but operators like Shepard Cary of Houlton access funds from a Bagor Bakno and the Perley Brothers access their funds from the Bank of New Brunswick in Frederic-ton, N.B.

Previously in the account on Jan. 31, 1846 and entry of over 10£ to bring 64 1/2 bushels of oats up the St. Francis River where Atherton & Hammond had lumber operations... The three deliveries would bring to Daige a credit value of what we’d expect to pay a day laborer for 312 days’ wages.

The 1850 U.S. census entry for Regis Daigle shows 12 children in his household aged 18 years to a one year old., but there's also a laborer aged 18 named Antoine Beaulieu in the household... The hired hand's name figures for a debit of 5 shillings (two day's pay) in Daigle's account as of Feb. 18, 1846 two days after the delivery of oats to Thomas E. Perley. Beaulieu surfaces repeated in Daigles account for a variety of amounts. But the "eye-catcher" comes on the day of the "Chez Drake" delivery- which reads a one pound debit entry for: " une pair de bottes a son engag" - a pair of bots for his hired hand... One might imagine the following scenario after breakfast that day:

Regis Daigle: "Toine, go hitch the horse, we're taking a load to the lumber camp today".

Antoine Beaulieu: "Today?"

Regis Daigle (slightly agitated): "What do you mean, Today?"

Antoine Beaulieu: Bien, Monsieur, look at my boots, I can't go on the river this way, I'll freeze my toes off."

Regis Daigle, (with a measure of impatience): Look, Young Man, you're going to take a loan of oats to A. & S. Dufour. When you are in the store to get the amount credited, pick yourself up a pair of boots and charge it to MY account. But you're going to hitch those horses and will take that load of oats to Perley's or Drakes' or Emerson's or wherever the Dufours will tell you to take it.

Guy Dubay
Madawaska, Maine
folded or kneaded and left to rise again for several hours, then folded and left again. An hour before she thought the dough had risen enough, she would have started a fire in the oven, letting the small sticks burn hot until reduced to an ash. She would then rake the hot ashes out of the oven, shape the dough into a boule (a large disk with the edges tuck under) and put into the oven to bake on the preheated stones that made up the bottom of the oven. If her house did not have a beehive oven attached to it or she wanted to make only a single boule, she’d have used a preheated baking pot with a concave lid on which she would heap hot embers and nestle hot embers around the sides. When served, she and René and the children may have used a slice as a pusher, as later descendants have, and they may have enjoyed a bite with maple syrup or molasses on it.8

Since peas were the second-largest crop grown by Acadians, and presumably by René as well, Marie Mignier likely made a soupe aux pois secs (pea soup). In winter, she’d have soaked the dried peas in cold water overnight. She’d have done the same with some salt pork taken out of its storage barrel. In the morning, she’d have rinsed both pork and peas in fresh water, put them in her chaudron with onion, covered all with water, and let them cook slowly for several hours in a corner of the hearth. Before serving, she’d have removed the salt pork and cut the meat into small pieces to return to the soup.9

Cod was king along the Atlantic. Most of it caught off Acadia was shipped back to France where almost half the days of each year were days of abstinence.10 Marie likely made a version of la chaudrée, the grandmother of today’s fish chowder. She might start with a good dab of butter on the bottom of her chaudron on which she’d arrange some chopped onion. On that she’d have placed fillets of cod, covering that with whatever other fish she had available to her on that day. Haddock, mackerel, smelt, and sole would all do. She’d then add a bunch of parsley and cover all with cold water. If she had some white wine from Bordeaux, the wine would be about half the liquid. She’d put her chaudron over a low fire until the liquid got hot enough to bubble. Then she would make sure the chaudrée did not cook for long after that since fish lost its tenderness if overcooked. She may have made a white sauce, a sauce Béchamel, to serve over it. If tradition tells us anything, she served it to her family with bread toasted over the fire.11

Most bones found at the Belleisle dig were beef. If René and Marie ate as their neighbors down the road did, then they ate more beef than pork. If so, Marie likely made a pot-au-feu (“a pot on the fire”), a dish that goes back to the sixteenth century. The English version that we know today is New England boiled dinner. The pot-au-feu is more sophisticated and tasty. To make it, she’d have put beef or a lamb shank into a cold pot with water barely to cover, brought it slowly to a slight disturbance, not to a full, rolling boil, and skimmed it often. She’d have taken a bone with marrow in it, tied cheesecloth around it (to keep the marrow in the bone), and added that to the pot. Once the surface was shimming, she’d have added an onion with two whole cloves stuck into it, a stalk of celery, some gros sel gris (large sea salt) from her mother’s birthplace, some peppercorns, and a bouquet garni (tied bundle of parsley, thyme, and bay leaf). If she added cabbage, which Acadians ate often, she’d have added some pork and made the dish a potée. She’d then let the pot simmer for three hours, making sure she added a stick to the fire to keep the pot at a simmer and added water as needed to cover the meat. At the end of three hours, her broth would be complex, rich, and delicious. She’d then have wrapped some carrots, parsnips, and turnips—all cut into large pieces—in another strip of cheesecloth, and added that to the pot, pushing it down to bury it, then left the pot to simmer for another hour. She’d then have discarded her bouquet garni and the onion with the cloves in it, probably giving them to the hogs, sliced the meat on a serving platter, and moundded the root vegetables around the meats.12

We know that Acadians had access to wine.13 Thus, Marie possibly made a boeuf en daube, another staple of French cooking found in some form everywhere in France. Americans know of this dish in recipes for Beef Burgundy, but other regions of France have their popular form for the dish. If the cut was especially tough, Marie would have cut up the meat into small cubes and soaked them overnight in red wine from Bordeaux with an onion and a carrot or two with salt and pepper for flavor. On the next day, she’d have browned the meat in pork fat and followed that by cooking the meat slowly for most of the day in a mixture of red wine and beef broth, possibly what she had left from a pot au feu. Alternatively, she’d have put the cold pot on the fire without brownning the meat first. Late in the day, she’d have added onion and carrot and maybe a parsnip. She’d have served the dish with bread made that day.14

Cabbage was one of the vegetables grown in Acadian gardens, and it is likely that Marie made a Soupe de la Toussaint (All Saints’ Day Soup), perhaps with a turnip in it as well.15 Cabbage, turnips, and carrots were the most common vegetables grown and eaten in Acadia.16 The potato did not show up in Acadia until after 1750 or so and not as a primary crop until 1767.17

With the fruit from their fifty-tree orchard, Marie likely made a form of apple or pear pie, a tarte au pommes or tarte au poires. A French recipe for apple pie has been around since the 1300s.18 Instead of a pie, especially in the fall when the fruit was fresh, Marie may have made a compote, in which she cooked sliced apples in sugar and water, removed the apples, and cooked down the liquid mixture until it was thick, using it as a sauce to coat the apple slices. If Marie did not have sugar, she’d have used maple syrup. A recipe (using sugar) had been published in France in 1651 and had already been made for many years.19

Rum was widely consumed at Port-Royal, and eau de vie (brandy) was also available. Rum was easily bought through trade with Boston distillers; eau de vie came on supply ships from France and later through trade with ships coming to Louisbour. On a cold winter evening, especially if guests came by, Marie may have made a warm drink of cider and spices with a touch of rum and eau de vie from her mother’s region of France. She would have served it in the rounded earthenware mugs as in the old country.20

Although these recipes are guesses, they fit what we know Acadians raised and grew, and they ate what they raised and grew. Although Marie may not have made precisely what is listed here, she would have used the same ingredients to make similar dishes.
(What Did They Eat? continued from page 16)
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I had always been repulsed by that woman’s hauteur and absolute lack of sensitivity. I had learned that Madame’s pride and lack of empathy was incompatible in a teacher, but, of course, she came highly commended for her sense of what’s correct and proper in some circles. She had also learned to be insufferable when chastising or correcting students, particularly when she had already deemed them impossible. There was a certain disdain that she had for them. It was immediately apparent to anyone with common sense. I could never disabuse her of the trait, for she was unforgiving if one’s tone suggested anything amiss or if one’s words implied something derogatory about her, particularly, if she were caught unaware because she thought of you as a principled advocate. It seemed to me that on matters French, it, the repartee had to be superfluous in the narrowings of her eyes and in a nervous twitching of her nose.

I grew up in the City of Biddeford, a city whose population was overwhelmingly Franco-American, from my point of view, (Continued on page 18)
of course, or as some others will say, French Canadian originals, of course. For to the great many who spoke French in an originally American only community, the fact that French or what passed for “French” was dominant as the language of home, church and commerce in many areas of the City was patently, for some difficult to accept, but for other immigrants, the mixture of cultures and languages was highly beneficial to all. By 1949 many French Canadians considered Old Orchard their Riviera. And their visits to Maine’s shores often started with visits
to Franco-American relatives. Vacationing “sur la plage d’Old Orchard” became more if not less important than visiting relatives.

French Canadians or Franco Americans in their patois, very much-enjoyed Maine’s vacation spots of Old Orchard Beach. Whenever she could, our mother took us by trolley or by train to Old Orchard so that we children, my two sisters and I, could enjoy the outing and picnicking “sur la plage.”

All the while, French Canadian, the dominant conversational and business language of the City of Biddeford and Lewiston, perhaps, Waterville, to differentiate from Parisian French, but it was French, nevertheless. If asked what language we spoke by someone noticing the patois, we would invariably answer, French, the kind a Québécois spoke. Our ancestors arrived in North America in 1670, mine did. They later immigrated to the States throughout New England to find work in the mills. Immigration was not a problem. I have said that they walked to Maine, but most, like my grandfather, took the train. They came to find work in the mills that already had been around for a long time Immigration, in those days, doesn’t seem, to me, as having been a problem.

(Continued on page 19)
Harbor, when the State of Maine required that instruction in French speaking religious schools be in English. Up until that time, our history was “l’Histoire du Canada.” Only later was I able to appreciate that our history to that point had been pretty amazing.

At an early age, I knew more French and even Latin by note if you please, than I did English. Many business people came to the house and most, even those who were English spoke a smidgen of French to be able to conduct business with most people who were our parents’ age because they frequently only spoke French. There was no need to speak English in Biddeford while there was a need to do so across the bridges to Saco. Nor was there a need to speak English in most Biddeford locals as there were always families who practically spoke only French. There were times when I actually did not know who in a neighborhood might be isolated. No matter where home was, even if it were in a multilevel home with four or more apartments, someone spoke French and more than likely, someone else in the building spoke French, even if it were Canuck French. There was no need to speak English in most neighborhoods because the majority of the people were all French speaking, and all conversation on the street around any block and up and down the stairs was French.

The iceman, policemen, the mayor, the milkman, the insurance agent, the Raleigh Products salesman who came to the house to sell his stuff, our mother’s cousin, all spoke French. French idioms colored our gossip. Conversation about the weather, the war, accident, illness, disease, and politics were part of the communal French Canadian fabric in Biddeford. Just about on the street around any block and up and down the stairs was French.

French idioms colored our gossip. Conversation about the weather, the war, accident, illness, disease, and politics were part of the communal French Canadian fabric. The doctors who made house visits, as most did, spoke French. Medicine and illness were French things. There were French newspapers available like La Presse de Montréal was a staple available at newsstands in Biddeford.

We spoke French, only in the home, in our neighborhoods and around town. We boys who hung out and played near home and who later claimed the whole of town as our neighborhood as we grew up through the early grades all spoke French. We swore in French. Words like “ciboine, ciboire, merde, calvaire” were words to confess; although most priest were pretty liberal on these sins because the men were more likely over their heads in moribund activity and usually took up more time in confessional. With the long lines waiting on the priest to move on from penitent to penitent, always given the time to the most grievous confesses, it was natural for those waiting in line for the priest to hear their confessions; it was also incumbent on the priest to speak clearly and to advise the penitent to concentrate on that which was the most grievous of sins just so people would not simply drop out of line when it became clear that their lives would be amiss if the line failed to move very fast, as men were more likely over their heads in moribund activity and usually took up more time in the confessional.

Although I must say that in those days, a careful and extensive examination of conscience kept one in the confessional almost interminably, but unlike today, so many went to confession that all four or five priests in the parish had to borrow priests from neighboring parishes, on special occasion. Nowadays, not only have parishes in Biddeford, like Saint Mary’s and Saint André’s, closed; I think that of the English, Irish, and French, the French Canadians were more sinful than anyone and possibly more inclined to imbibe at local pub on the way home from work than any other national group, and, therefore, more likely to hear from their wives on the subject which often provoked assaults by men or women, depending on who was the strongest: the woman who felt abused by the husband, who might, too often, waste the cash getting drunk and who ended up buying rounds for all his friends and loafers at the bar, was the offender. “Les hommes,” having been just paid, were possibly more inclined to imbibe at a local pub on the way home from work with the cash envelope burning in their pockets.

The women who regularly went to Church had the support of an organization ran by a group of “monks,” I believe, who supported teetotalism among parishioners; mother was a staunch member and forbade my dad from purchasing alcohol in any form to bring to the home. Secretly, he did buy on a Saturday afternoons his pint of brandy and poured the contents into a container that was a familiar in a shed cabinet that held his paints and denatured alcohol. Félix never failed to take his medication whenever he felt it safest to take a shot whenever mother was away running errands or visiting friends, but that would only be on a Saturday afternoon when he was at home from work and free to work in the shed on one of his projects.

Our Dad’s 4th of July vacation was his once a year time off because he was literally out of work. They all were because that is when the mills closed for about a week. If we could, and it was possible during the war years, we went to Canada by train, first from Portland to Lewiston and then up North on the Grand Trunk Railroad to Montréal through New Hampshire and then into lower Québec. If we did not stop in Rimouski, there was Victoriaville to go to see my dad’s brother of the Order of the Brothers of the Sacred Heart. There were many other stops on our itinerary, including stops at the then very famous “miraculous” ones along or even out of the way. Québec was on our
walking with other folk in Chaucer’s tales—on his way to Canterbury. I would recognize him as the pilgrim known as the “Warp Tier.”

As Mom explained, she and dad had taken the late train when they married. Mom’s parents were alive, as mom was younger, but Dad’s had long past, as far as I’m concerned. I never heard from him about the nature of his parents, whether they were lenient or strict. “Notre Père rarement” spoke of his parents. I don’t recall any extensive dialogue about them, background, work or the age they were when they passed—although, I did discover the art from seeing a photograph of my grandfather at work. He sat at a cobbler’s bench—had a shoe hammer in hand. As I recall “mon papa,” he was innately, “l’homme silencieux.” Rairement avait-il quelque chose à dire.” But in agreeable circumstances he would declaim like the actor he had been, but the stories were hidden from us children. I remember seeing it in his posture when he assumed the character of one posing for the photographer in his studio, early in the century, the pose, when he sat back in an ornate studio chair with a book in hand and assumed the authoritative pose of the consummate professional and declared at length on the subject at hand in the photographer’s arranged pose.

Saint Louis High School, instead of being a passport to a better life for me, was a failure in that instead of providing me with the advantages I thought it would, only put me behind my neighborhood friends for whom grammar school had been a useless way station prior to attaining the age of sixteen when they could follow their parents into the mills and the kind of work most of their parents were still doing while some others with more élan went into the trades or sales with the promise of a route of some kind driving a truck for a local bread company or tonic company, or as some others did who found work with a City maintenance department.

After a week of sitting at home following graduation, a friend called to invite me to join him on a trip to Portland where he planned to visit recruiting stations. The only one opened that day was the Air Force station in South Portland. So we took the bus out there and soon we had signed up and sent home to obtain permission from our parents, as we were seventeen. There was a train leaving from the Portland Station that evening. Without hesitating or even mentioning my father, my mother signed, and at seventeen, both of us were on our way back to Portland and bused to the train where we joined in a car with other recruits from up-state and there followed stops in Boston, Albany, Buffalo, Chicago, Saint Louis, where cars were added to what became a “troop train” headed South, with the final stop in San Antonio where we disembarked at the Air Base for basic training. Once roughly assembled, we were called to attention to hear the announcement that we were at War in Korea.

My freshman year, at twenty-two, was thanks to the Korean War G.I. Bill. Following training I had spent my enlistment going to Radar Mechanic School in the States, Mississippi, Iowa, Texas, and Minnesota. I was assigned to a radar station in the north-east corner of Missouri where we somehow became known in spook history as one of the stations that had interacted with unidentified aircraft displays showing impossible vectors or changes in direction.

Upon discharge, I ran and took the train to Kansas City where I took a flight out of an Air Force Base there and took a public carrier to Boston and a train to Biddeford. I worked the summer folding blankets at the mill because a manager who had known me as a boy in a sea-scout troop hired me, I think. My first year was a near disaster until I figured out what I wanted to do, and that was to teach.

(Continued on page 25)
In Simone Paradis Hanson’s novel “Leave a Crooked Path,” Claire Au Clair recounts the events of the summer of her 14th year. She and her mom, dad and little sister, Grace, live in a coastal Maine town that sounds a lot like Brunswick or Topsham, in a pretty typical suburban neighborhood of largely Franco-American families.

It’s a happy, aggravating place to live. The first significant event occurs when the neighbor, Mr. Bergeron, loses yet another mower blade. In a different social or literary milieu, this incident might be drawn with solemn attention to the pain and irony of Mr. Bergeron’s apparent inability to learn not to try to free stuck mower blades with his hands. But instead, Claire’s disposition — true to the place and people — is wryly good-humored. Mr. Bergeron bleeds and suffers, to be sure, but the real point of the incident is less his clumsiness, and more the predictable reaction of Mrs. Bergeron, who “Jumping Frenchman of Maine Syndrome,” or as Claire’s father terms such behavior, “Exaggerated Startle Response.”

“’Throw me a towel!’” Mr. Bergeron screams. “’Duncan Hines!’ she screamed back, hurling the towel into the air in his general direction and turning to run back in the house.” Someone in the neighborhood shouts, “’For God’s sake, call an ambulance, he’s done it again,’” and people come pouring out of their houses to see what’s happened now — “pretty much every household was represented at the accident site.”

“This was Maine after all,” Claire reflects. “A place that sometimes felt more like an extension of Canada than a part of New England, where dumb Frenchman jokes were tolerated since it’s OK to make fun of yourself. It could be a rough place to live, but a place where no one passed a stalled car or stray dog.”

And the picture we get, really, is of a large extended family bound by proximity, ethnicity and overall good feelings toward each other. Except when the feelings are bad. Claire takes care of her sister during the day while their parents are at work, and she spends a lot of time with her friend Celeste, with whom she frequently skirts the rules and who “could be disgusting.” When Uncle Romeo wants to help Claire’s dad prune a tree branch that precariously overhangs the roof, everybody gets nervous about the prospect of Uncle Romeo on a roof with a chainsaw. They humorously figure out a dodge to the well-intentioned offer, but feel compelled to do something about the branch before Romeo tries. So Claire’s father calls the neighborhood handymen, the Menards, to come take care of it. Not to put too fine a point on it, but from there, things go bad for the neighborhood.

And especially for Claire’s family. Because slowly, deftly, it is revealed that her father is what we refer to as a mean drunk. And the second half of the novel discloses, in what is often quite beautiful writing on an ugly subject, Claire’s efforts to deal with him, internally and externally. “There’s a kind of worry that eats at you if you have a father that drinks. It’s like boot camp for bomb diffusers. One wrong move, cut the wrong wire, get lazy for a split second and it’s all over. An explosion will rip you apart.”

The depictions of harrowing, pathetic and irrational scenes are extraordinarily accurate to realities many of us have experienced. At the same time, the emotional tenor of the writing does not get sidetracked by the inherent pain. Claire’s fear, anger and confusion, which are palpable, are yet strongly colored by her perceptive good humor and love. Or is it the other way around? “Leave a Crooked Path” is a skillfully paced, warm, painful, good-humored story, which will channel a sense of comfort and compassion, I imagine, for most people with experiences similar to Claire’s in their pasts.
**The following interview was conducted online in April 2017 between Jim Bishop, a Franco-American writer and retired teacher, and Simone Paradis Hanson, author of the recently published novel, Leave a Crooked Path.**

**JB** Simone, *Leave a Crooked Path* is set in a traditional Franco-American neighborhood in an unnamed mill town on the Androscoggin river. The story is told by a narrator, remembering the events that took place during the summer before her entry into high school when she was fourteen years old and her sister Grace was eight. Clearly the book has autobiographical elements. Can you talk about the Franco neighborhood you describe in the book and how the narrator’s memories intersect with your own coming of age?

**SPH** I grew up on a street with about 15 or 16 houses. The street dead-ended in a creek. We were insulated there. When we were kids, if we wanted to go anywhere, we rode our bikes or walked. And although we got farther on our own than kids ever would nowadays, we still could never get far from home. We all knew each other, we had no secrets and, in a way, no privacy from one another. Consequently, our neighborhood was a family of sorts. So this became my setting for the book, a neighborhood that was like an extended family, for better or worse.

At the time, my neighborhood was unapologetically French. You could be a complete stranger to the planet and know you were someplace ‘special’ when you walked from one end of my street to the other. Every house was a different, distinct, very-bright color. Not white, like my in-town friends’ houses, or an occasional conservative pale hue of something non-white. My neighborhood ran the color palette. I should have mentioned that in the book, now that I think of it. Pink. Yellow. Brown with orange shutters. Orange. Robin’s Egg Blue. Or my own personal favorite, Vick’s VapoRub purple. Oh, it was tradition to repaint your house every few years, a sort of keeping-up-with-the-Joneses, French Canadian style. One year my mother, who was decidedly not French Canadian, coerced my father into painting our house beige. She had this thing about beige, it ‘went’ with everything. What an embarrassment. We were like the one Easter Egg that didn’t get dunked in dye. And my father was not quite as into painting the house as the other fathers, so it was beige for quite a while.

So we kind of stood out, in a colorful sort of way. And it made me stand out, by association.

**JB** I’m interested in hearing how, as a girl growing up in what sounds like a close Franco neighborhood, you may have felt different in your relationships with the neighborhood kids than with your public-school friends.

**SPH** I felt very awkward as a kid. I was thin, had braces, bad haircut, glasses. The whole shebang. It didn’t help that my father drank. It just added to my general lack of confidence and self-worth. Unlike my friends in the neighborhood, I attended public school while they went to St. John’s parochial school. So I had school friends in addition to my neighborhood friends, and they were the children of white collar families. One friend’s father was a professor at Bowdoin College, later to become Dean of Students. Another friend’s father was a psychiatrist. Another was independently wealthy and they had a beautiful, enormous house. I felt out of my league half the time.

And so, I lived with the fear that this secret about my father would somehow get out and my school friends would know.

It wasn’t so bad that the neighbors knew. I knew stuff about them. Other fathers drank. No one’s family was wealthy. Someone had an affair, which was huge back then. We were family, so it didn’t really matter. But I had the impression that my school friends’ families were perfect and I felt a little honored that they took me into the fold, so to speak. I already felt like I barely belonged; if they knew about my father it would not have been the same as people in the neighborhood knowing. Looking back, I don’t believe I would have lost any friendships, they were all nice girls and nice families. But I was scared nonetheless, that this sacred group would cast me out.

I am a very different person from Claire. She looks back on her life with a certain detached pride, I think. I’m kind of a Claire wannabe. I wish I had been able to face my father’s drinking and not wish fervently, more than anything, that no one would find out. Shame and embarrassment were always right around the corner. It was terrifying for me.

**JB** Yes, there’s a sentence in *Leave a Crooked Path* that calls this up: “There’s a worry that eats at you if you have a father who drinks. It’s like a boot camp for bomb defusers.” Claire’s father seems almost to be a Jekyll-Hyde presence, a decent man who becomes a time bomb when he drinks. So Claire and her sister Grace seem to be always tiptoeing around the land mines and pretending not to notice. Did you experience this constant vigilance in your own life?

**SPH** As I’m talking about it now, I get this vision that I never really imagined before. His drinking was this giant monster that had me cowering in some small corner. I barely lived. Very different from Claire, who at least tried to stand up to it. I didn’t get out of that corner until I felt sufficiently safe in knowing he probably wouldn’t drink again.

As I got older, moving from middle school to high school, I became less close to my friends in the neighborhood. We had different interests, formed new friendships. We stayed friendly, but I moved more securely into a new set of friends. My father had stopped drinking by then and that made an enormous difference. There was always that fear that he would start again, but the longer he went without a drink, the more confident I became.

**JB** And is that when you felt able to allow your early experience with your father into your fiction?

**SPH** Back in the day, if you were sick and both your parents worked, you just stayed home alone. I used to get seriously ill twice a year. In the fall I’d get tonsillitis and in the spring I’d get strep throat. I should have had my tonsils out probably, but whatever.

My father was a radio announcer for a radio station in Portland. He had the morning show, so he was up around 3 in the morning. His show ended at noon so he’d get home early. There was this one day he came home early from work and I was home sick. He didn’t know I was home.

He did all the cooking at our house, so (Continued on page 23)
Leave a Crooked Path into the world?

SPH I had to learn to sit down and write even if I didn’t feel like it, even if I thought I wouldn’t be able to write anything. People who have normal jobs have to work even if they don’t want to; I had to do the same thing. Writing is a job and it’s not that different from any other kind of work. Except you pretty much don’t get paid.

My first novel ended up in the recycling bucket along with a prayer that no one at the recycling center would read any of it. And then I joined a writing group, which was truly one of the smartest things I’ve ever done. I finished the first draft of Leave a Crooked Path in one year, and then spent the next six months or so rereading and editing.

I reached a point about six months ago where I had to make a decision: keep getting turned down by more agents and small press publishers, or start my own company. I have a writer friend who joined me in starting Shadowlight Press and we have been learning a lot about the industry, and making slow but steady progress in figuring out the best way to get a book in front of people.

I’m not really measuring success by how much money, if any, I ever make. I’m lucky in that I don’t have to financially support my family. I measure it by the people I have reached. My friends and family have learned a lot about me that they never knew. I’ve met this wonderful lady in Much Wenlock, Shropshire England who traded reviews with me. And a certain gentleman in Bangor Maine whose interest in me and my book has been especially nice.

JB Thank you, Simone. The pleasure has been mine. And I hope this brief introduction will help your work reach other readers, in Franco-America and beyond.

BOOKS/ LIVRES...

FRANCO-AMÉRIQUE
par Dean Louder

Autrefois les Canadiens français, au sens originel du terme, se sont installés un peu partout en Amérique. Ils l’ont nommée, chantée et écrite. Leurs tracés persistent toujours, même si la dimension continentale de leur civilisation a été oubliée par nombreux d’autre eux. Aujourd’hui l’espace, la société et la politique se complexifient. La volonté indépendantiste du Québec est mise en veilluse. L’Acadie n’est toujours pas une réalité politique. Les Franco-Américains de la Nouvelle-Angleterre n’ont pas de structure institutionnelle pour les encadrer. La place de la Louisiane s’amenuise. En même temps, le vecteur haïtien prend de l’importance au fur et à mesure que l’axe Port-au-Prince-Miami-New York-Montréal se constitue. Par ailleurs, les francophones des pays en voie de développement déferlent sur les grandes villes canadienne…et américaines. Les Francos d’Amérique—

(Suite page 24)
By 1886, Prosper Bender had lost faith in the Canadian political experiment. Nation-building had proved extremely costly and led to corruption, the métis rebellion in the Northwest had excited animosities between French and English, and people of both “races” were leaving their native land to seek better opportunities in the United States. Himself an expatriate, Bender argued that Confederation would not hold much longer: the Great Republic would soon annex British North America. Thus, in the 1880s, he sought to awaken the American mind to the circumstances of the Canadian neighbor—the neighbor across the forty-fifth parallel, but also the neighbor who had elected to live in the Little Canadas of New England.

Bender’s father was a Quebec City attorney of French-Canadian and German descent; his mother, a Protestant Irish immigrant. From an early age, Bender moved effortlessly between his parents’ respective cultures. He was sent to the Petit Séminaire in Quebec City and then studied medicine at McGill College in Montreal. In the 1860s, he joined the Union Army as a surgeon in the waning days of the Civil War. Other Canadians who would later rise to fame—Calixa Lavallée, Edmond Mallet, Rémi Tremblay—had preceded him. But it is unlikely that Bender saw any fighting; the war had all but ended when he joined.

Bender returned to Quebec City, practiced medicine, and, in 1868, married Aurélie Esther Scott, who soon died of complications from childbirth. Beyond his household, in Quebec City, Bender was not content to solely pursue medicine. He became increasingly involved in the city’s literary scene, known as the école patriotique de Québec. With friends he scrutinized and sought to refine French-Canadian literature. Eminent Canadian writers and political figures gathered at Bender’s home for noisy soirées that often stretched long into the night. In 1881, Bender put his pen to the service of this cultural ferment.

His Literary Sheaves represented Bender’s ability and desire to serve as an intercultural broker. This first book sought to render to Canadian writing its lettres de noblesse. A friend saw in Bender’s work an expert rebuke of Lord Durham’s infamous claim that the Canadiens were a people “with no history and no literature.”

Then, in a second book, published in 1882, Bender commented on the rapid social and economic advances made in Canada since Confederation. He happily noted that old grievances between French and English were dissipating. Considering his expressions of patriotism and the praise he won for his work, it is remarkable that he moved to the United States in 1882. His friend Arthur Buies had visited Boston the prior year and had no doubt aroused his curiosity. A sense of unfulfilled potential may also have carried Bender. In any event, Bender was not alone: his departure came at the height of the grande saignée, the mass migration of French Canadians to the American Northeast. But he did not follow the endless stream of migrants to Manchester, Lowell, Fall River, and other cities in Boston’s manufacturing hinterland. Separated by class and interests from these families, Bender settled in the more urban and promising environment of Boston and quickly entered prominent society. In the fall of 1883, he was appointed physician for the city’s planned international exhibition and feted at the Hotel Vendome.

Bender continued to practice medicine, but again it was his writing that drew attention. An article in the North American Review in 1883 marked an abrupt departure from the views he had until then publicly expressed. “[W]ith its debt of over eighteen millions and the distracted state of its political parties, including the lack of sympathy between French and British, [Quebec] is in a deplorable condition,” Bender wrote. The grande saignée was ample evidence. But his aim was not merely to put the Conservative governments in Quebec City and Ottawa on trial or to cater to American opinion. Bender genuinely believed in the benefits of free trade with the United States and, in time, annexation. The industrial boom of New England was but a hint of the economic progress Canada might experience if joined to its neighbor. Bender’s old friends in Quebec City were unamused. “We are glad to see our old friend . . . maintaining his reputation as a forcible and agreeable writer,” the Morning Chronicle stated. Alas, “[w]e fear our author has got into bad company since he left us.”

Bender reprised his role as a mediator of cultures, educating “old-stock” (Continued on page 30)
I was not the only one in my Catholic High School with ambition. Some of my classmates were quiet about what their plans were. Quite a few went to college because they were smart and not because we were taught well all the time. Quite a few were drafted or enlisted in advance of the draft for the War. In retrospect, nearly all did well after school, some, surprisingly well, considering our prospects, as I thought them to have been. All of us were a lot smarter than our behavior in school which was often undisciplined, and preposterously, intellectually obstructionistic.

But, before my tour had ended, I made a call to my girlfriend because I had made a decision to look for a college that might just be the least expensive in the country. I called my girlfriend to ask her, if we married, would she go to North Dakota to attend university? I had made inquiries and I had thought it most inexpensive and the way to go, having been brought up that way, I would guess. I called the nursing school in Lewiston, Maine, Saint Mary’s, and got the supervising nun’s permission to speak to my girlfriend. She allowed for five minutes. Juliette said, “No way!”

Then I thought of Catholic Colleges, why not? I knew of at least one Saint Louis High School student who had attended school, a recruited student who had excelled at football. Then I thought of the annual homilies and collections on behalf of a particular Catholic University, which I believe might have been the Catholic University of America in Washington. Why not? Could not afford to go. Boston College? Holy Cross—? Then, I decided on Maine in Orono.

John Hankins, Chairman of the English Department at the University of Maine invited me to visit with him in his office. Since I had never talked to Dr. Hankins extensively, I was eager to learn what it was that Dr. Hankins had in mind by calling me to his office. I knew that he was a “distinguished professor.” I also knew that he was the author of Shakespeare’s Derived Imagery, a book that was still on Amazon’s list sixty years later. I never bought or read it, as I recall. At the time, I was a candidate for a master’s degree in English, and I was spending part of my graduate years satisfying licensing requirements for a teaching certificate in English.

I can’t describe the kind of conference it was with Dr. Hankins. He was asking why it was that I had decided to major in English because I was Franco American, a “Canuck,” in common parlance, but, then and now, I can’t imagine it. He was such a kind man. It appeared to me as if he was bothered by tearing eyes which made him difficult to read.

If there was anything about my thinking at the time, it was that his question had more to do with his thinking, that I should be thinking in what “French.” I had had, already, a sense of what his remark meant. In a course on The Canterbury Tales, we were encouraged to speak the words for the piece in what the professor demonstrated was the correct way to speak them. I applied the French I knew to several readings of the parts of the Tales, giving them the French stresses that I thought were indicated by the text. Well, I was corrected for the “Canadien” stresses that I had used, as I spoke the prologue.

I left Doctor Hankins’s office wondering about the purpose of the interview. I did not think there was malice involved because he talked, if I am correct, about his son who was eager to follow in his footsteps. Then, I also wondered if he thought that I had made a mistake because he happened to have mentioned that had I chosen to major in French. I would be on my way to a doctorate at some university and a brighter future ahead of me.

Then, my wife remembers that her recollection of that meeting with Dr. Hankins was about his son and my experience with practice teaching in Brewer, Maine. He wanted his son to become a public school teacher. That’s what the meeting was about,

she says.

Then, again, I could have gone to work in the textile mill where I learned a lesson in marketing while folding blankets in the interim between my discharge and the start of my freshman year at Maine. I had noted that the blankets I was folding were labeled, MACY, a brand with which I was, as of yet, unfamiliar. I learned an important lesson: A PEPPERELL blanket was also a Macy’s blanket.

Like a blanket, a college education could be as good as an other in its market. A lot depended upon workmanship and the quality of the product. When we were growing up, we were very much aware of prejudice—a different kind, perhaps but prejudice, nevertheless. In many ways, we were the lesser class, or brand—no need for embarrassment or shame. In many ways, we left class behind. We assimilate. No one speaks French anymore. Canada is north of the border. They are the foreigners. Madame Clark would be happy. Parisian French is the vogue in school. The majority of kids elect Spanish. The elderly who remain are bilingual. When they go, that’s all she wrote. Bonjour! Au revoir!

Of those in the family remaining in the Biddeford/Saco area, only my brother-in-law still speaks French, rarely does he speak English, but all of his children and grandchildren speak English almost exclusively. He is, perhaps, the only one who still goes to church. He misses his wife. They spoke French, together. She passed away some years ago, suddenly, upon waking in bed and sitting up. He visits her grave nearly every day for a conversation about the children and the newborns. He awaits his own passing so that, together, they can share the grave, and miss the children together.

Saint Joseph’s Cemetery in Biddeford is the place to go to appreciate the resting place of all those who came to Biddeford with French-Canadian names and are planted here.

(More from CT on page 37)
Les batailleurs – Enquête d’un Québécois sur la diaspora franco-américaine
par Simon Couillard
Enseignant en philosophie, Cégep de Victoriaville
Doctorant en études québécoises, UQTR

J'ai lu les écrits français de Jack Kerouac au printemps dernier. Cela m'inscrivait, pour moi, dans une démarche de découverte du Canada français. Je m'étais intéressé plus tôt à l'Acadie, à la Gaspésie, aux écrits de Jacques Ferron et de Gabrielle Roy… mais pénétrer le monde de Kerouac a été une révélation. Je voulais savoir ce qu'il en restait.

Je suis né en 1980 et j'ai grandi au sein de la classe moyenne en région, dans un bungalow non loin d'un centre d'achat. J'avais tendance à dire : « comme tout le monde ». Je suis et j'ai toujours été Québécois. Je n'ai pas vécu l'univers culturel du monde ». Je suis et j'ai toujours été Québécois. J'avais tendance à dire : « comme tout le monde ».

Abénaki, on voit des coccadre tricolores sous les fenêtres. Je goûte dès le départ l'exubérance de cette République dont j'envie, à vrai dire, la force d'affirmation. Comment a-t-il été possible de croire, à une autre époque, que nous pourrions reprendre nos droits, ici? Comment l'humble sensibilité paysanne des Canadiens français, qui était encore celle de Jack Kerouac, aurait-elle pu résister à l'ethos américain?

Au cours de l’après-midi, je rencontre l’écrivaine Rhea Côté Robbins au High Tide à Brewer, sur la rive gauche du fleuve Penobscoat. Sur la rive opposée, qu’on voit par la fenêtre, c’est le centre-ville de Bangor. J’ai de la sympathie pour cette écrivaine avant tout, pour quelques détails dans un de ses livres au sujet de son père : ce qu’il lui racontait sur son enfance et la porcherie familiale, sur l’importance de castrer les porcs assez tôt pour que la viande ait bon goût, sur la manière dont il faut les frapper pour les assommer… Mon père me racontait la même chose. Pour elle comme pour moi, il s’agit d’un savoir qui a peu de chances d’être actualisé. « On trouve ça aussi dans les écrits de Camille Lessard-Bissonnette (écrivaine franco-américaine née au début du XXe siècle) et Grace Metalious (alter ego féminin de Jack Kerouac)! », qu’elle m’apprend. Le lard castré, « égossillé » disait mon père, le lard salé : voilà ce qui nous unit à prime abord, Rhea et moi.


Elle en veut à l’hypocrisie des « bon catholiques », comme les appelait sa mère avec dérision, de ces « vierges mariées » qui refusent la diversité possible d’une communauté franco-américaine qui délaissait un peu du poids de l’héritage canadien-français. C’est le traditionalisme qui expliquerait selon elle la légendaire discrétion des Franco-Américains (selon la formule de Dyke Hendrickson qui évoque une « minorité silencieuse »). Pour préserver l’image d’Épinal du Canada d’en bas, l’élite aurait veillé à ce que rien ne bouge, à ce que la référence demeure restreinte (« keep the subject small », dans les mots de Rhéa). C’était les œuvres à l’école paroissiale qu’elle fréquentait : « Elle m’ont collé un “D” en français, en troisième année ! C’était ma langue, je parlais le français ! »

L’écrivaine que je découvre se dit pourtant Franco-Américaine. C’est qu’elle a choisi de prendre part à un récit controversé qui la concerne. Ce récit tissé de silence, il faudrait le comprendre, croit-elle. Et on ne pourrait le juger sans prendre acte de la haine et de la discrimination dont ont été victimes les membres de la communauté. « Le Ku Klux Klan a marché dans cette ville! ». C’est une crainte qui chez Rhéa, à l’adolescence, s’est transformée en honte comme chez d’autres Canucks. Le regard du dominant intérieurisé, « internalized ». « Je suis revenu à mes racines par hasard, à cause d’un emploi au Centre Franco-Américain, à l’Université du Maine à Orono dans les années 1980. Une nouvelle initiative à sa culture, qu’elle s’est appropriée définitivement après un passage par les « women studies » (elle a fondé la Franco-American (suite page 27)
Women’s Institute, FAWI, qu’elle dirige actuellement.

Jeune grand-mère, elle lit avec avidité les écrivains québécois de la Révolution tranquille. « Jamais on ne nous a présenté ça, jamais parlé de ça. Rien ici ». De la littérature sur le Québec moderne, en anglais ou en français, on n’en trouve pas dans les librairies de Bangor : « Pas d’intérêt, ici. C’est quoi, ça ? » Table rase d’une communauté possible, comme on a fait table rase des « tenements » du petit Canada de Waterville dans les années 1960, « poussés sur la plage et brûlés », au nom d’un progrès qui a fait disparaître le patrimoine franco-américain alors que les dominants (ces « Gentrefiers » qui suscitent la colère de Rhea!) refont l’espace urbain à leur image.

...Demain, je constaterai l’état des lieux.

Jour 2, 15 juin 2016.
Waterville et Lewiston

« La survivance est maintenant morte dans les petits Canada de la Nouvelle-Angleterre. »
- The Little Canadas of New England,
Claire Quintal

Départ de Bangor pour Waterville qui est à moins d’une heure de voiture. Je file vers les locaux de la Waterville Historical Society. Le récit de Rhea m’a donné un angle : ces « tenements » rasés et brûlés, les vieux Francos laissés pour compte… Les locaux de la société se trouvent dans l’ancienne maison d’Asa Redington, premier industriel de la ville, convertie en musée dans les années 1920. J’arrive à peine un peu à l’avance pour les visites et je rencontre le curateur, Bryan Finnemore. Bryan habite la partie arrière de la maison avec sa femme. Il y a d’ailleurs grand, comme ses parents étaient curateurs avant lui. On discute un peu, mais la question qui m’habite et celle que je finis par lui poser concerne les traces de la présence canadienne-française dans la ville. « Hum… laisse-moi y penser… euh… hum. » Ça commence mal ! Si j’avais l’aaplomb de ma douanière de Coburn Gore, je le presserais sans doute davantage. Il me dit que la Chambre de commerce doit avoir une carte avec les sites à voir au centre-ville.

Il y a une visite du musée prévue à 11 heures et je suis là. Les sorties d’écoles, les « field trips, » sont terminées et je suis le seul qui est là. Bryan, finalement bien sympathique, me fait parcourir la collection impressionnante d’artefacts dont les plus anciens remontent à la guerre d’indépendance de 1776. Des armes, du matériel militaire, du matériel de cuisine, cette boîte à musique dont je n’avais jamais vu le modèle auparavant, etc. Des choses qu’on s’attend à voir dans un tel musée. « Ah! Tu vas apprécié ça! » et il me montre une redingote de l’Imperial Legion qui aurait appartenu à un Canadien français de la ville. Il me croit chauvin. J’ai l’air content. Il me pointe deux portraits sur le mur : les Castonguay brothers, qui appartiennent à la légende locale. L’un est mort durant la Première Guerre mondiale, l’autre durant la Seconde. Dans un présentoir, il y a les photos des sœurs Drouin, elles aussi héros de guerre. Ce sont les individus « outstanding » qui trouvent leur place dans l’histoire, ici. On admire le « self-made man/woman, » comme ce monsieur LaVerdiere et sa femme, apothicaires, dont les descendants ont légué à la Maison Redington toute une collection d’opiacés, de toniques et de laxatifs qui clôturent l’exposition, dans une pièce dont l’odeur d’alcool et de bois rappelle celle du Scotch.

Bryan et moi sommes en bons termes, nous avons discuté famille et politique américaine. Avant que je quitte, il me fait signe d’attendre, puis retourne dans son logement. Il en revient, cellulaire sur l’oreille, en train de parler à un ami qui est administrateur à la bibliothèque publique de Waterville. J’ai l’air content. Il me pointe deux portraits sur le mur : les Castonguay brothers, qui appartiennent à la légende locale. L’un est mort durant la Première Guerre mondiale, l’autre durant la Seconde. Dans un présentoir, il y a les photos des sœurs Drouin, elles aussi héros de guerre. Ce sont les individus « outstanding » qui trouvent leur place dans l’histoire, ici. On admire le « self-made man/woman, » comme ce monsieur LaVerdiere et sa femme, apothicaires, dont les descendants ont légué à la Maison Redington toute une collection d’opiacés, de toniques et de laxatifs qui clôturent l’exposition, dans une pièce dont l’odeur d’alcool et de bois rappelle celle du Scotch.

Il m’indique gentiment où se trouve la section qui m’intéresse. Je monte et redescends et me perd, mais je trouve enfin. Je trouve quelques livres, dont celui de Dyke Hendrickson, mais je suis déçu. Presque rien.

Rien en français. Le quart de la population de Nouvelle-Angleterre a des racines canadiennes-françaises et presque rien dans la bibliothèque. Je retrouve Larry qui me voit un peu découragé : « Écoute, tu devrais aller à Lewiston au Franco-Center », et il me donne l’adresse. J’irai, mais pas sans avoir vu l’ancien petit Canada, entre la papeterie et l’ancienne manufacture, sur Water St. où Rhea a grandi.

« Notre héritage est la pauvreté », m’avait dit Rhea. On ne saurait mieux décrire les restes du quartier canadien-français de Waterville, devant l’ancienne filature. Des habitations délabrées, des commerces fermés et ce bar dont l’écriteau indique seulement « Chez… » (la partie du bas étant manquante). C’est Steve (Bouchard par sa mère), un client, qui m’en donne le nom complet : Chez Paris. Steve et ses amis Shane (d’ascendance irlandaise) sont seuls à cette heure et à cette terrasse dont la structure et le treillis donnent l’impression d’une mise à distance volontaire du quartier. « C’est un bar qui se meurt, actuellement », précise Steve.

On discute un peu. Je comprends bien que c’est le secteur au complet qui subit la même longue décadence. Rhea avait raison : les traces de la présence canadienne-française à Waterville, du groupe canadien-français, ne subsistent que là où le développement s’est arrêté, par manque d’intérêt ou de ressources. Entre le haut et le bas de Water St., il y a deux mondes. Le haut forme une arrière commerciale assez typique. À cet endroit, la seule trace de l’ancienne présence des Canucks est le « two-cents bridge » qui donne accès à la papeterie (mais rien ne signale le lien avec leur histoire, et les adolescents qui flânent sur le pont quand je suis passé n’en savaient pas plus, pas certains de ce qu’était un « Franco-American » non plus).

(suite page 28)
Le Forum
(1) Les batailleurs – Enquête d’un Québécois sur la diaspora franco-américaine suite de page 27)


Arrivé au Franco-Centre, je rencontre le directeur Mitchell Clyde Douglas (pas que je demande la preuve d’un quartier de sang français, mais on peut difficilement faire plus « WASP » comme nom – de fait, Mitch n’est pas Franco, qu’un lointain ancêtre écossais à Fredericton… un peu Canadien, dois-je comprendre). Le Centre se trouve dans l’ancienne église, face à la filature. De l’autre côté de la rue, on trouve l’ancien petit Canada, dont les « tenements » ont été largement préservés. « Il n’y a pas beaucoup de français dans la communauté, » me dit Mitch. Les plus jeunes, parmi ceux qui parlent le français, font partie de la nouvelle vague d’immigrants. Ils sont Congolais, Burundais, Rwandais, Ivoiriens.

En réalité, le Franco-Center est un lieu communautaire où l’attachement à l’histoire prime sur la maîtrise de la langue. Parce que le centre est financé par TV5 monde, qui reconnaît l’importance de cette poche française aux États-Unis, la télé trône sur la scène du sous-sol de cette ancienne église lors de « L’Événement Fransasky, » événement périodique qui regroupe les membres de la communauté franco-américaine de la ville. La nef et le chevet de l’église ont été converties en une salle de spectacle magnifique, ouverte à tous les publics intéressés par le théâtre, les représentations musicales, les comedies show… en anglais, cela va de soi. Et pour ceux qui veulent se retrouver entre francophones exclusivement, il y a le club Passe-temps, en face. Le dernier de 4 clubs similaires dans la ville : on fume, on boit, on joue aux pools. La clientèle ne s’est pas renouvelée depuis les dernières années, selon Mitch.

C’est bientôt l’heure du souper, mais j’en profite néanmoins pour arpenter ce petit Canada dont les tenements doivent ressembler à celui qu’a habité la famille Kerouac, sur Lupine Rd ou Moody St., à Lowell. Il s’agit d’un quartier plus petit et plus pauvre qu’avant, mais l’apparence des lieux donne l’impression, tout de même, d’un environnement qui devait être familier aux Francos de la première moitié du XXe siècle. Ce n’est que la façade. Pour ce qu’il reste du « Canada d’en bas », de son identité, je l’aurai plutôt trouvé à Biddeford le lendemain, chez le professeur et écrivain Normand Beaupré, dans une demeure où notre ancienne culture ethno-religieuse, celle dans laquelle Jack a baigné jusqu’à l’âge de 6 ans, a survécu au temps.

Jour 3, 16 juin 2016.
Normand Beaupré

« Nous savons que des races existent qui se passent plus facilement que d’autres d’or et d’argent, et qu’un clocher d’Église ou de monastère, quoi qu’en disent les apparences, montent plus haut dans le ciel qu’une cheminée d’usine. »

-Chez nos ancêtres, Lionel Groulx

Le vieil homme devant moi a choisi la mauvaise journée pour se balader en décapotable. Pas que le temps est mauvais (il est splendide!), mais il n’y avait pas de déjeuner continental qui matin à l’hôtel. Je cherche désespérément une épicerie, j’ai rendez-vous à 11h… Je n’ai aucune envie de rouler 10 miles sous la limite permise. Pas de mention « Veteran » sur sa plaque de licence (j’ai vu les films d’Oliver Stone, je me tiendrai à carreau!). Il se tasse enfin. Ils ont des muffins à saveur de crème glacée aux États-Unis. Celui érable et noix du « Shaw’s supermarket » (ça faisait plus « déjeuner ») est au moins aussi saturé de sucre que l’autre à la pistache, vert fluo et suintant, qui fut mon dessert à Bangor, mais c’est vite avalé. Je peux reprendre la route. Je serai à Biddeford à l’heure convenue.

Cet ancien centre de l’industrie textile est à quelques minutes à peine des plages de Saco et Old Orchard. Une importante population canadienne-française s’y est installée à partir de la fin du XIXe siècle. Entre les années 1930 et 1950, elle représentait plus de 60% de la population totale de la ville. Aujourd’hui, on s’étonnerait de croiser un francophone sur Main St., à l’ombre de la Pepperell.

L’homme que j’ai rejoint à Biddeford (il y est né et y a vécu la majeure partie sa vie) est néanmoins un pur Franco. Il est par-dessus tout le gardien d’un héritage et d’une identité (qui peuvent se réclamer à bon droit des écrits français du « Ti Gas » de Lowell) en danger de disparaître avec lui. Normand Beaupré est un vieil homme d’une élégance qui tranche avec celle de mon décapoté. Moins exubérante. Sa présence m’impose un calme salutaire.

Après avoir travaillé à la manufacture de soulier de Kennebunk durant l’adolescence, après le travail à la filature pour soutenir la famille durant les temps durs, aussi pour payer sa scolarité à l’Université Brown, et après s’être élevé de ses propres efforts à une remarquable carrière académique, cet homme, qui compte plus de 30 ouvrages à son actif, mérite à tout le moins qu’on ne le bruise pas. Cela dit, on pourrait difficilement le prendre en défaut : l’adversité, il connaîtra.

Ce diplômé de la Ivy League, donc, ce self-made man a vu les portes des collèges et des universités américaines se fermer devant lui, celles des départements de langues et littératures. Le français parlé de ce spécialiste de Corneille n’était pas de France. « Une forme de discrimination, je crois, » me dit-il. C’est la dignité qui commande cet euphémisme. Le professeur Beaupré a pu obtenir un poste à la University of New England de Biddeford, éditée sur les restes du collège Saint-François (un junior college qu’administreraient les Franciscains de la paroisse Saint-André, au profit de la population franco-américaine) par où il était passé. D’ailleurs, M. Beaupré est le seul, de ces gens que j’aurai rencontré, qui n’aît quelque reproche à formuler à l’égard du clergé enseignant (qui pesait lourd dans la diocèse). Il est à quelques minutes à peine des plages de Saco et Old Orchard. Une importante population canadienne-française s’y est installée à partir de la fin du XIXe siècle. Entre les années 1930 et 1950, elle représentait plus de 60% de la population totale de la ville. Aujourd’hui, on s’étonnerait de croiser un francophone sur Main St., à l’ombre de la Pepperell.

L’homme que j’ai rejoint à Biddeford (il y est né et y a vécu la majeure partie sa vie) est néanmoins un pur Franco. Il est par-dessus tout le gardien d’un héritage et d’une identité (qui peuvent se réclamer à bon droit des écrits français du « Ti Gas » de Lowell) en danger de disparaître avec lui. Normand Beaupré est un vieil homme d’une élégance qui tranche avec celle de mon décapoté. Moins exubérante. Sa présence m’impose un calme salutaire.

Après avoir travaillé à la manufacture de soulier de Kennebunk durant l’adolescence, après le travail à la filature pour soutenir la famille durant les temps durs, aussi pour payer sa scolarité à l’Université Brown, et après s’être élevé de ses propres efforts à une remarquable carrière académique, cet homme, qui compte plus de 30 ouvrages à son actif, mérite à tout le moins qu’on ne le bruise pas. Cela dit, on pourrait difficilement le prendre en défaut : l’adversité, il connaîtra.

Ce diplômé de la Ivy League, donc, ce self-made man a vu les portes des collèges et des universités américaines se fermer devant lui, celles des départements de langues et littératures. Le français parlé de ce spécialiste de Corneille n’était pas de France. « Une forme de discrimination, je crois, » me dit-il. C’est la dignité qui commande cet euphémisme. Le professeur Beaupré a pu obtenir un poste à la University of New England de Biddeford, éditée sur les restes du collège Saint-François (un junior college qu’administreraient les Franciscains de la paroisse Saint-André, au profit de la population franco-américaine) par où il était passé. D’ailleurs, M. Beaupré est le seul, de ces gens que j’aurai rencontrés, qui n’aît quelque reproche à formuler à l’égard du clergé enseignant (qui pesait lourd dans ces enclaves urbaines qu’on s’efforçait de (suite page 29)
Nous revenons finalement chez lui, comme il veut me remettre des livres. Il jette un regard par la fenêtre avant de monter le petit escalier à l’avant. « Ma femme est une Canadienne française! La maison est propre! », remarque-t-il avec une pointe d’orgueil. Et tandis que j’entre dans cette modeste maison de la rue Gertrude (dans le quartier, les noms de rue sont des prénoms de femmes – Je soupçonne que mon hôte y est pour quelque chose), j’aperçois Lucille qui récite l’évier. Elle se rend compte de ma présence et se retourne, les mains sur les hanches (dans l’une, elle tient toujours la gingue (guenille)) et l’œil brillant: « Bonjour, bonjour! ». Nous échangeons des convenances et je remarque à quel point tout, dans la maison, est impeccable. Normand monte à l’étage et Lucille m’offre la chaise berçante en attendant. J’éprouve un sentiment rare de bien-être, dans le rappel de mes origines ethniques (un mot qui n’effraie pas, ici). Pour un bref instant, je suis au Canada français, au cœur de la Nouvelle-Angleterre.

**Jour 4, 17 juin 2016. Chez les Olivier, non loin de Dover**

Nous discutons de bien d’autres choses, Normand et moi. Deux générations nous séparent, mais plus nous discutons, plus se crée entre nous un lien d’amitié et de respect qui surmonte cette distance.
Americans on French-Canadian culture at a moment when they felt deluged by immigrants who espoused different values. Separating the migrant masses from the eminent minds he had known in Quebec City, Bender wrote in the *Magazine of American History* that French Canadians were “backward in education and primitive in habit.” They were extremely prolific, and yet, their political weight “exceeded the proportion properly belonging to [their] numbers.” Americans could easily find in such remarks—if not in the state of affairs in Canada—a threat to republican institutions.

But the immigrants would not overturn the mores and institutions of American society, Bender assured his readers. In fact, his case for annexation grew from the supposed prevalence of republican sentiments among French Canadians. From their “conquerors,” his countrymen had learned to love liberty; they had “cultivated the good qualities of the Anglo-Saxon.” In the United States, French Canadians further learned to value education. Through diligent work, parents sought to place their children in the professions. The naturalization movement in “Little Canadas” reflected a dedication to their adoptive country.

It is to be wondered whether Bender challenged Yankee prejudice with these statements, or in fact nourished it. He seemed to identify Americanization as a desirable end, towards which the enlightened Canadian would strive. Here, his bicultural identity may have impeded the cosmopolitan Bender: he was less sensitive to the distinct possibility that French Canadians would lose their distinct institutions in the United States. If they did, he seemed to say, it would be but a small loss on the road to education, economic well-being, and sound government. Such views were not a strict matter of ethnic bias: they arose from the hard line of separation between classes in and out of Canada. Bender had far more in common with the bourgeois elite of Quebec City and Boston—educated, worldly—than he did with the ostensibly parochial Canadian farmer with narrow interests and little exposure to refined culture. A telling instance was his idea that Canadians were moving to American factory cities because the work was lighter there than on the old family farm. This class bias played prominently in Bender’s series on the French-Canadian peasantry for the *Magazine of American History* in 1890. He evinced a romantic and friendly view of the Quebec countryside, which had sustained the virtues and ways of old France. But, he explained, “with people fettered by ancient habits and customs, and proud of their fetters, modern notions have a hard struggle. In the main the habitant of to-day is the same as the colonist of the days of Vaudreuil. He has preserved the language, the religion, the laws, the customs, the traditions, and even the prejudices of his Gallic ancestors.” The effect of such declarations was to relegate French Canadians to a lower order of civilization.

These articles read as an ethnographic study of the French-Canadian agricultural class. Bender declared that “[t]he habitant is fickle, impressionable, and impulsive.” He also slid into the racial essentialism that became so common in the late nineteenth century. The practices that might seem premodern to outsiders were especially suited to the geography of Quebec, however. French Canadians were thrifty, sober, light-hearted, and profoundly communitarian. Bender fondly recalled the hospitality he had received in Montmagny, near Quebec City, as a boy, and brought more of himself into the text than ever before. To those who knew him, this series in the *Magazine of American History* suggested nostalgia. To others, he conveyed the image of an exotic people at once close and entirely foreign.

Bender studied French Canadians’ américanité: the extent to which they shared the ideals, interests, and culture of the United States. He was provided with an opportunity afforded to very few expatriates. He had the social and cultural capital and the access to needed to foster tolerance. But the distinctive qualities of his socioeconomic stratum may have prevented him from carrying out such an essential task. As he began to romanticize the Canadien countryside and cultivate the quaintness of the migrants, Bender relied on a depiction of French Canadians that made them out to be products of an age long past. Class fractures among migrants have received little attention from scholars, but Bender’s case hints at the need for more research on the influence, or lack thereof, of the expatriated Canadian middle-class on American mindsets.

From his home on Boylston Street, Bender never ceased looking north. “Jamais je ne t’oublierai,” he had written in 1882 of a romantic scene of Canadian field work he beheld as a young man. His American sojourn showed that he did not easily forget his native land and that, in his own way, he continued to view it with affection. In 1908, he returned to Quebec. Bender set up his medical practice in the shadow of the Château Frontenac and continued to write, now reconciled with his native land. He died on January 24, 1917 after a brief illness. The news of his passing was met with widespread sorrow. In obituaries, the press acknowledged his contributions to Canadian literature. His time south of the border was noted, but articles penned in his exile were not. In the United States, on the eve of “one-hundred-percent Americanism,” the mal aimée Franco-American community continued to struggle with prejudice.
Jour 5, 18 juin 2016. 
Manchester, Roger Lacerte et Josée Vachon


« Lowell a toujours été une ville de minorités. Àujourd’hui, ce sont les Latinos, les Vietnamiens, les Cambodgiens, les Noirs. À l’époque, il n’y avait pas de Noirs… » Le Lowell de Roger et de Jack était canadien-français, grec et irlandais. Pour les quartiers francos, « c’était la même histoire, la même nation qu’au Québec. De Lowell à Drummondville, c’était la même vie, la même mentalité, la même réalité ». C’était avant la Révolution tranquille… « Vous avez manqué le bateau en rejetant la foi, » qu’il me dit, Roger… que nous avons troquée la sublime religion (il faut lire Visions of Gerard !) contre une spiritualité « cheap », syncrétique.


J’ai fait quelques achatés à la Librairie Populaire. Je vais lire un peu en attendant Josée Vachon au parc Lafayette, face à l’église Sainte-Marie dans l’ancien quartier francos, « c’était la même histoire, à Drummondville, c’était la même vie, la même mentalité, la même réalité », dit-elle.»


J’ai racheté les écrits français (j’avais donné ma copie à Julien Olivier, au cas), mais je vais plutôt parcourir l’ouvrage de Camille Lessard-Bissonnette l’édition que j’ai trouvée chez M. Lacerte est celle de 1980, du National Materials Development Center for French de Bedford (là où M. Olivier a travaillé) – le centre a publié une collection entière des classiques de la littérature franco-américaine, à l’époque). Je m’y plunged, mais j’aperçois bien vite Josée Vachon qui me fait signe, juste devant le presbytère.

Chanteuse née au Québec (déménagée à Brownville Junction à l’âge de 2 ans), Josée est devenue avec le temps et après un
Jour 6, 19 juin 2016. Lowell et Nashua, Roger Brunelle et Steve Edington

« La tête, on la réservait pour la fro-mager. On gardait des rôtis, des côtelettes, de la viande à tournire, que l’on mettait à la gelée. L’autre partie, bien désossée, était soigneusement disposée dans de grands saloirs en bois et recouverte de saumure. Cela constituait le lard salé avec lequel on faisait les fèves au lard, les soupes aux pois, les bouillis de légumes. »

- Camille Lessard-Bissonnette


Le comité organisateur du Com- mémoratif, dont faisait partie Roger, avait confié au sculpteur Ben Woitena la con-
ception d’un monument à la mémoire du célèbre écrivain de Lowell au milieu des années 1980. Inauguré en 1988, il consiste en un arrangement de stèles de granites sur lesquelles on peut lire des citations tirées de l’œuvre de Kerouac, et disposées de façon à imiter, d’un point de vue aérien, les formes combinées de la croix chrétienne et d’un mandala (Kerouac s’est beaucoup intéressé à la spiritualité bouddhiste, ce qu’on con-
state à la lecture de ses romans). Au centre, on trouve un disque surélévé dont le rayon fait un demi-mètre. Les quatre stèles qui 
cernent ce disque ont, sur la face intérieure, un revêtement d’acier qui produit l’écho de tout bruit émanant du cœur du monument, 
dont le sculpteur affirme qu’il symbolise le centre d’équilibre cosmique autour duquel tourne le chaos du monde. L’éclairage est 
idéal pour prendre quelques photos.

Après un moment, je vais m’assoir pour relire certains passages de Dr. Sax – j’ai demandé à Roger de me faire voir surtout les lieux de ce roman, la maison sur Beau-lieul St., The Grotto (reproduction de la grotte de Massabieille, « folle, vaste, religieuse, les Douze Stations de la Croix, douze pe-
tits autels installés, on se place devant, on s’agenouille, tout sauf l’oeuf d’encens […], culmine une gigantesque pyramide-esca-
lier au-dessus de laquelle la Croix s’érige phalliquement vers le ciel avec son pauvre fardeau le Fils de l’Homme transpercé au 
travers dans son Agonie et sa Peur […] »), l’école paroissiale Saint-Louis-de-Flance, 
l’église Saint-Jean-Baptiste, l’imprimerie du père… – et j’aperçois un homme au t-shirt noir sur lequel figure les lettres « M-O-N-T-E-A-L » et un drapeau du Québec que 
cache partiellement un petit bouddha en pen-dentif qui glisse à gauche et à droite, un octogénaire à l’air relativement jeune, un 
tatou sur l’avant-bras. C’est mon homme.

M. Lacerte m’avait dit de ne pas évoquer la question de l’annexion québé-
coise de Kerouac à son ami (ils se voient régulièrement). Je ne peux résister malgré l’avertissement, mais je le fais sourire en 
coin. Pas décontenancé, Roger m’entraîne vers une des stèles où le comité a inscrit sa réponse dans le granite : « Name: Jack Kerouac, Nationality: Franco-American, Place of Birth: Lowell, Massachusetts, Date of Birth: March 12th, 1922. » Puis il me dit : « Kerouac a une double-identité. Une identité linguistique française – on jouait Molière, ici! – mais aussi une identité américaine/anglophone qu’on retrouve dans son écriture, la sonorité jazz et l’influence de... (suite page 33)
Joyce, entre autres. » Mais son style libre, il n’est pas qu’américain : Kerouac disait de Céline qu’il était son maître. « C’est vrai. En français, il fallait se libérer du latin, de sa structure étouffante. » Roger s’y connait, il a enseigné les langues, français et latin, pendant 48 ans. À ceux qui dénoncent la difficulté de la structure, de l’orthographe (en français surtout) et de la syntaxe de Kerouac, il répond, laconique : « Jack a utilisé l’écriture pour reproduire la parole. C’est un usage possible. »

Ça fait 30 ans que Roger propose des visites du Lowell de Kerouac. Avec Louis Cyr, l’auteur de On the Road est sans doute le plus connu des Francos du coin. Après une visite à la bibliothèque où nous rejoignons Shawn Thibodeau qui nous ouvre les portes (c’est dimanche – c’est là que le jeune Louis-Cyr Kerouac allait se réfugier, les jours d’école buissonnière) et un tour en voiture au centre-ville au cœur des anciens quartiers canadiens-français, nous nous arrêtons à la grotte. Chemin de croix, ascension (à genoux, idéalement) de la pyramide jusqu’au sommet, contemplation du Christ, descente par l’autre bord, bénéédiction par une réplique du petit Jésus de Prague, retour devant. The Grotto, c’est un lieu paisible. Pour les « Ti Gas » de Lowell, c’était un peu la forêt d’Hansel et Gretel : « Nos parents nous disaient : ‘si vous êtes pas sages, on vous envoie à la grotte!’ À côté, il y avait l’école et l’orphelinat : un milieu de vie auquel on voulait échapper.


Nous nous arrêtons chez Vic’s pour diner : tourtière (« pork pie »), fèves au lard (blanches, à Lowell : typiquement, 2 livres de fèves, 1 livre de lard salé en cube sur le dessus, ketchup, recouvrir d’eau et cuire pendant 7 heures à 350 dans un creuset. Ra- llez de l’eau après 5 heures), café noir et toasts. Nous discutons. Roger est un poète, il traduit ses états d’âme de façon convaincente. Il me parle de la difficulté de vieillir, du fait qu’il se sent jeune. Sa femme, d’origine lituanienne, qui a récemment frôlé la mort ne sort plus trop de la maison…


« L’univers de Kerouac est inclusif. On the Road donne accès au reste de l’œuvre et à son langage poétique. C’est le monde de l’art, de la poésie », me disait Roger. Certainement, Steve Edington pourrait en témoigner. Voilà un homme qui ne compte aucun ancêtre canadien-français, qui n’en parle pas la langue, mais qui est néanmoins un expert de l’histoire familiale (et très québécoise) des Kerouac. Il a écrit un livre sur le clan (Kerouac’s Nashua Connection), qui avait pour patrie cette ville du New Hampshire. C’est le hasard, ou l’emploi d’Émile (père de Jack), qui a entraîné la famille immédiate en aval de « s’grosse rivièrè là », comme disait Gabrielle-Ange.

Steve Edington est pasteur, diplômé en théologie. Un pasteur « liberal » qui se passionne pour le mouvement beat et la musique des Grateful Dead. Pas le genre auquel on s’attendrait, donc. Étudiant, il s’est vementement intéressé au domaine de la religion comparée, et particulièrement aux similitudes entre catholicisme et bouddhisme. On pour-rait dire qu’il était prédisposé (prédestiné?) à découvrir l’univers kerouackien, ce qu’il a fait, dans l’ordre le plus évident pour un Américain de sa génération : d’abord On the Road et Dharma Bums, puis ultimement les romans du cycle lowellien. Le hasard s’en mêlant, il a déménagé à Nashua en 1988.

Steve est devenu un ami et un collaborateur de Roger. S’en inspirant, il a élaboré une visite du Nashua de Kerouac, sortie de complément aux tournées de Lowell. Pour toute personne qui s’intéresse à l’univers canadien-français des Kerouac, c’est un must. En parcourant les bottins dans les archives de la ville et les registres paroissiaux, Steve a pu reconstituer la géographie existentielle du clan, du grand-père Jean-Baptiste, de l’oncle « Mike » (Joseph), de la parenté dans la famille Kerouac et aux Fran- co-Américains en général, je crois, c’est la tension entre l’attache ment à un lieu (au sens large) et le besoin de s’en défaire. Tension dans le rapport de l’écrivain au catholicisme, tension dans son rapport à Lowell… une tension qui va de pair avec sa double-identité.

Ce qui l’intéresse particulièrement chez Jack, et c’est quelque chose qui s’applique à la famille Kerouac et aux Fran- co-Américains en général, je crois, c’est la tension entre l’attachement à un lieu (au sens large) et le besoin de s’en défaire. Tension dans le rapport de l’écrivain au catholicisme, tension dans son rapport à Lowell… une tension qui va de pair avec sa double-identité.

Cette identité, Steve a fini par la connaître intimement. De fil en aiguille, en retrouvant les traces de sa minorité si- lencieuse, il a acquis une perspective plus large sur l’histoire de Nashua et a diffusé (suite page 34)

Quand même, cet exode, tous ces gens. Il doit bien en rester quelque chose. Les descendants des Canadiens-français ne représentent-ils pas un quart de la population totale de la Nouvelle-Angleterre, le plus grand groupe ethnique du Maine? Certainement, il doit y avoir quelque chose comme une culture franco-américaine. » Quel est le groupe ethnique le plus important aux États-Unis? », me demande la professeure Choquette. « Tiens! Ce sont ces gens qui m’intéressent. » On est loin de la nostalgie ou du respect filial…

Leslie Choquette est professeur d’histoire, elle s’est spécialisée dans l’histoire de France et des Français en Amérique. Son livre (prix Alf Heggoy, 1998) sur l’immigration au Canada retrace le parcours et le contexte de chaque immigrant venu s’établir dans la colonie (et en Acadie) durant le Régime français. Cet intérêt m’interpele, pour la raison que je le trouve typiquement franco-américain : Dans les anciennes enclaves canadiennes-françaises, les sociétés généalogiques ont un rayonnement unique. Je pense aussi à Kerouac, dans Satori en Paris, à la recherche de ses lointaines origines bretonnes. Ça me semble être une réaction normale devant la dislocation de l’identité culturelle : on se raccroche au récit familial pour se raconter, pour se connaître, et puisque la référence collective disparaît, les individus qui peuplent ce récit prennent du relief.

Pourtant, Leslie n’est pas Franco-Américaine. Ou elle l’est, au sens minimal qu’admet Roger Lacerte : à cause de « pepère » Choquette. Pour le reste, elle a des racines canadiennes-anglaises, polonaises… américaines, quo! Née à Pawtucket, au Rhode Island, elle a appris le français en fréquentant l’école privée, une école pour jeune fille de la haute société à Providence. Entre 10 et 12 ans, Leslie a connu un professeur qu’elle adorait, un descendant d’Huguenot. Poussé par son milieu (plutôt WASP) et admirative de ce professeur, elle a progressivement développé une passion pour l’histoire et la littérature française. Son lien avec le Québec ou le Canada-Français? Elle lisait des brochures de la Côte-de-Beaupré durant ses études en France et elle s’est dit : « Tiens! Ce sont ces gens qui m’intéressent. » On est loin de la nostalgie ou du respect filial…


Quand même, cet exode, tous ces gens. Il doit bien en rester quelque chose. Les descendants des Canadiens-français ne représentent-ils pas un quart de la population totale de la Nouvelle-Angleterre, le plus grand groupe ethnique du Maine? Certainement, il doit y avoir quelque chose comme une culture franco-américaine. » Quel est le groupe ethnique le plus important aux États-Unis? », me demande la professeure Choquette. J’ai quelques réponses vraisem-
étant plus sévères que les franco-américaines. Celles qui venaient du Québec des sœurs de Sainte-Anne. Elle se souvient fréquenté l'école paroissiale sous la garde génération), à Easthampton où elle a (elle est Franco-Américaine de troisième de chez Senghor.

Après l'indépendance. Elle habitait non loin pays qu'elle connaît pour y avoir donné le premier cours de littérature africaine, juste présentation agira comme un appel. L'année suivante, elle obtient un poste à la SUNY de Albany (elle y travaillera 30 ans) et renoue avec cette vie, créant des liens avec la communauté franco-américaine de New York, celle d’un ancien centre de l’industrie textile au confluent de la rivière Mohawk et du fleuve Hudson, non loin : Cohoes.

Je tiens donc une spécialiste des littératures francophones, et je tâche d’orienter la discussion sur Kerouac. « La littérature franco-américaine était généralement liée au journalisme. C’était le fait de Québécois, formés dans les collèges classiques, qui échouaient à travailler dans le domaine au Québec et qui venaient ici. Leur carrière était instable, ils allaient de ville en ville et avaient de la difficulté à se fixer. De tous les journaux, Le Travailleur de Worcester était la plus grande place pour l’expression de la pensée franco-américaine. » Cela me rappelle Réal Gilbert, ancien président de l’Assemblée des Franco-Américain, que j’ai rencontré à Manchester. Il y avait travaillé durant les années 1940, comme « porteur de journaux », réviseur des épreuves et recruteur auprès de Wilfrid Beaulieu, le fondateur… il y a même connu Séraphin Marion! Kerouac n’était pas de cette fileière.

C’est dans le cadre d’un autre séminaire avec Claire Quintal, de l’Institut français, qu’Éloïse a découvert le Kerouac franco-américain, celui de Visions of Gerard. Celui de On the Road, qu’elle a connu à Bordeaux, elle n’avait aucune idée qu’il était Franco. Que pense-t-elle de lui? « C’est un génie! […] il a dit : ‘quand j’écris, c’est en français’, et c’est dans un français phonétique qui a sa place dans le souvenir de Kerouac. Il faut le lire avec l’oreille. » Par-dessus cette qualité, il y a aussi le fait que ce français et ce souvenir franco-américain, ilot dans une mer d’oublis, est important pour tout Franco-Américain à la recherche de ses racines. C’est le cas pour Éloïse : « Je me suis construit mon identité franco-américaine par petits coups. » Elle le doit à la littérature, à Mme Quintal… et elle le rend à travers son intérêt pour les littératures oubliées, ici, au Sénégal, au Cameroun…

Cela invite la question qui me taraude depuis le début, une question qui me permet de donner à Éloïse le fin mot de mon voyage : Est-il possible d’avoir, de vivre, de se construire, aujourd’hui, une identité franco-américaine? « L’identité franco, elle est là si on la veut. Ça demande des efforts particuliers puisque la question des minorités ethniques est largement monopolisée par les enjeux touchant les Noirs et les Latinos. Et puis, il y a différents niveaux d’identification à la Franco-Américaine. Le problème est que ‘memère’, aujourd’hui, est une baby-boomer. Elle n’a pas grandi dans un petit Canada. En plus, à l’époque, les relations étaient plus intenses. Les Franco-Américains étaient tissés ensemble par besoin, à travers les associations et les mutuelles. L’Union Saint-Jean-Baptiste (de Woonsocket) distribuait des cadeaux à Noël et des bourses d’étude. L’univers franco-américain était étendu, on ne pouvait pas s’en défaire : ça collait à la bouche, ça collait à la main. Aujourd’hui, tout ça a disparu. Le monde franco-américain, c’est une géographie d’îlots. Mais il y a des associations très vivantes, comme pour les gens de Brush up your French, ici (Cohoes). Il y a aussi des jeunes, mais pas beaucoup. »

Avec Internet, dans un monde où la géographie est moins contraignante, il me semble en effet possible de retracer l’univers (suite page 36)
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The Day the Horses Went to the Fair

by Norman Beaupré

An author always looks for an angle when writing fiction which Beaupré has done with this novel. He came up with revenants or ghosts for all of his characters as a way of weaving the story together without making it a documentary of Rosa Bonheur's life and paintings of animals. The author has conjured the likes of a Mozart, a Cervantes and Sir Walter Scott while including other creative artists that influenced Rosa Bonheur. He has also incorporated the descriptions of the Bonheur paintings by herself, the artist, and by her close friend, Anna Klumpke. He has created what he calls a singular novel in that it is not all fiction but an amalgamation of biography, art history and some fiction to make it a creatively cohesive work. The author even intrudes into his work to give it a personal and lively touch.
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Six Historically French-Canadian Parishes Will Merge in the Archdiocese of Hartford

By Albert J. Marceau
Newington, Conn.

There are six historically French-Canadian parishes within the Archdiocese of Hartford that will merge with other parishes in June 2017. Two that will merge with other parishes and their churches will close are: St. Laurent Parish Church in Meriden (1880) and Ste-Anne/Immaculate Conception Parish Church in Hartford (1889/2000). The other four parishes will merge with other local parishes, and their churches will remain open are: St. Anne in Waterbury (1886), St. Louis in West Haven (1889), St. Ann in Bristol (1908), and St. Peter in New Britain (1888/1913).

The Parish of Ste-Anne in Hartford was established in 1889, and it merged with Immaculate Conception Parish in Hartford in September 2000, and the Church of Ste-Anne remained open, until June 29, 2017, when the parishioners of Ste-Anne/Immaculate Conception will be moved to St. Augustine Parish in Hartford. In Meriden, the Parishes and the Churches of St. Laurent and St. Mary will close in June 2017, and the parishioners will be moved to three other parishes in Meriden – Our Lady of Mt. Carmel, Holy Angels and St. Joseph. The Shrine of St. Anne in Waterbury, which was a parish from 1886 to 2005, will merge with Our Lady of Lourdes in Waterbury, and the two former parish churches will absorb the parishioners from four other parish churches that will close in Waterbury – St. Margaret, St. Lucy, Sacred Heart and St. Stanislaus Kostka – and the six former parishes will form the new Parish of All Saints/Todos los Santos. In West Haven, the Parishes and the Churches of St. Louis and St. Lawrence will merge and their churches will remain open, while the both the Parish and the Church of St. Paul will close, and the three parishes will form the new Parish of St. John XXIII.

Raising of the Quebec Flag at the Connecticut State Capitol, Fri. June 23

By Albert J. Marceau, Newington, Conn.

There will be a formal ceremony for the raising of the Quebec flag on the State Capitol in Hartford, Conn., starting at 8:30 a.m., on Friday, June 23, 2017. There will be a few speeches after the raising of the flag, and one speaker will be Ron Blanchette of the French-Canadian Genealogical Society of Connecticut, who will give an abbreviated version of the talk that he recently gave at the General Membership Meeting of the FCGSC on Saturday, April 22, 2017, about the Fall of Quebec in 1759.

Free parking is available in the garage behind the Legislative Office Building.

Chairs are available to all who attend, but it is necessary the ground crew at the State Capitol to have an approximate number of attendees, so contact Odette Manning at (860)-644-1125, if you plan to attend, so there will be seats for all.
Final Roll Call
In Memory of this Nation’s Fallen Warriors

Did you hear the sad news today?
A great American patriot and Veteran Warrior has passed away
Called by the Supreme Commander over all.
Today he has made his final roll call.

Come fellow Vets let us reverently bow and pray
For our valiant comrade, who has fallen this day.
We’ll drape his casket with a banner of beautiful hues,
Those glorious American colors: red, white and blue.

That star spangled banner he gallantly fought to defend,
Unyielding and undaunted, he fought to win.
He fought bravely and he passed the battle test.
Now the Supreme Commander grants him, “eternal rest”.

With dignity and honor, we’ll commit his body to the ground,
“Ole Glory” we’ll solemnly precisely fold and reverently give to his family.

Each Memorial Day we will recall our fallen comrades’ names,
For this lasting legacy they gave to all generations;
“So close ranks fellow warriors, for our ranks are thinning.
With pride and honor we’ll march and stand tall,
And we’ll proudly - proudly - salute “Ole Glory”
til we too make our final roll call.

Be At Ease Fallen Comrades; Rest In Peace

In honor of our Fallen Heroes and their families. By Carroll R. Michaud, USAF Ret’d Shreveport, LA. EMail: pepops@hotmail.com

Photo taken 7 July 2003

appreciate the service they rendered to our great nation. I thank them with all my heart and I pray GOD’S richest blessing for them and their families. Carroll R. Michaud

“Final Roll Call” at Arlington National Cemetery - no better place to honor this nation’s fallen warriors donated to “The Old Guard” - Escort To The President at Ft. Meyer, Va.
Report to an Academy

For a class at the Franco-American Centre, Andrew Walton wrote this prose poem in response to Franz Kafka's story, "Report to an Academy." The speaker in Kafka's story is a great ape, taken prisoner in Africa and transported to Europe, who he has learned to mimic humans and has become a vaudeville performer.

If they nailed me down, my freedom to move would not have been any less. And why? If you scratch raw the flesh between your toes, you won't find the reason. If you press your back against the bars of the cage until it almost slices you in two, you won't find the answer. I had no way out, but I had to come up with one for myself. For without that I could not live.

Incidentally, among human beings, people all too often are deceived by freedom... I am deliberately not saying freedom... For there is no conductor of this runaway train; there are no tracks either that layer the brain. For there is no room for a train within your brain. Yet, I remain, an Ape in a cage within the train of my brain.

—Andrew Walton

Pendant qu'ont dormais

par Don Levesque

fevrier 2017

Not'e belle Vallée changais
Pendant qu'ont dormais
Not'e français s'en allais

https://scontent.xx.fbcdn.net/v/t31.0-121/c176.659.1024.1024/s0/1024x1024.jpg?oh=97a2954d622bc84f17f27f30a45b6232&oe=5B43CA37

Maureen Perry is a librarian at the University of Southern Maine's Lewis-Tilton-Auburn College. She also serves on the Board of USM's Franco-American Collection.
DREAM

Mama stands in the river, calm, free now
of the dog-eat-dog of the world. She sees me
stumble down the bank, a cornered deer, the pack
in full cry at my heels. She stoops, with one hand
scoops the water, sends an arc of fine spray
toward me, touching my face like prayer. The air,
everything about, goes still. The dogs too, stilled,
lower themselves to the shore. Playing their parts
in this benediction beyond words, my words’ making.

—Jim Bishop

POETRY/POÉSIE...

Glory

With the ecstatic crowd,
he watches Glory passing by,
He imagines the brave fallen
lost on distant fields.

Among the soldiers marching past,
are men with missing limbs.
He salutes these valiant martyrs
to the heroic cause.

He does not see what they still see
or hear the sounds they hear:
mortars’ whistle; rifles’ crack,
and cries of wounded men.

At fourteen, he dreams of soldiering
with rifle and rucksack—
he fears no battle dangers
or perils he might risk.

Witnessing gallant volunteers
strengthens his resolve.
Like them, he’ll fight for the Union.
He’ll find a way in time.

In 1861, Rémi Tremblay watched the Woonsocket Volunteers, survivors of the Battle of Bull Run (First Manassas) parade through the streets. The sight of these valiant men dazzled him. He would have enlisted immediately, if he could have, but he was only fourteen. Of the volunteers who had enlisted three months earlier, many did not return. Here Eugène, the young hero of Tremblay’s Un Revenant (English title: One Came Back) reacts just as the author did when he was a boy.

Glory first appeared in this winter's edition of Le Forum, quarterly publication put out by the Franco-American Centre in Orono, Maine. Editor Lisa Michaud has graciously granted permission to republish the poem in The Keene Senior Center Newsletter. I’ll always be grateful to Le Forum for encouraging my Rémi Tremblay projects. One unforgettable moment comes to mind: when Boys Into Men: Rémi Tremblay And The American Civil War appeared in Le Forum, volume 29, numéro 2 (2001). As Dr. Claire Quintal and I pressed onward towards One Came Back’s publication deadline, we were delighted to read this preview of our collaborative work... To Lisa and Le Forum, Merci/Thank you for your encouragement then and for your permission now—

— Margaret S. Langford

A WORD ABOUT THE DEATH OF MY FATHER*

He was much unknown to me
Because he lived a life
Bent over the warp tying
Machine in a damp weave room,
Using his eyepiece to see
The strands of cotton stretched
Across the woof of would be
Cloth passing through arthritic
Fingers of his own lifeline.

He was born in a card room
Where he was, combed and spun
Sized and lashed across
The warp of his timeline where God
Granted him the same pattern
Given his father before,
Lowered by chain into
The dyeing vat and then hung
From the rafters, there to dry,
And, here, he was meant to die.

• First appeared in EMBERS, volume 8, no. 2, 1983, with errors.

Corrected for this re-release.

Gérard Coulombe
POETRY/POÉSIE...

Loyaux mais français

Nous écoutons les meules de métal
Des grands machines qui donnent la vie
On dit adieu à nos familles
Mais nous nous souviendrons

À la fin du jour, dans des pichous
Nous découvrons Cartier et Champlain
Nos aïeux courageux et savants
Les vraies légendes des Amériques

Nous sommes les élus de Dieu
Écoutons les 95 saints
au lieu des thèses
Ou l'infidélité du Roé d'Angleterre

Loyaux mais français

Nous portons avec nous le Canada
Dans notre quartier de la ville
Pour ne pas perde la langue, la culture, l'histoire
Mais vivre quand même aux États-Unis

Nos enfants sont cultivé comme des patates
Ils travaillent fort aux usines
Ils ne font pas la grève
comme des MacDonalds ou des Smiths
Car la terre a nous apprit le sacré du travail

Loyaux but français

Les patrons ne nous comprennent pas
Et les journaux ne peuvent pas être lu
Mais nous vivons mieux ici

—Mitch Roberge

A GARDEN IN MY HEAD

Every spring, I plant a garden in my head
I move the soil and fertilize it well
I plant mounds of flower-beds
I put a fountain for my friend.

I plant bulbs of many kinds
Tulips, daffodils and mulberry vines
Hyacinths and irises
To please all of my senses.

They bloom like a rainbow
Under the warm spring sun
I enjoy them from my window
But too soon the time goes.

I plant banks of cosmos
Every shade of pink and yellow;
White angels to steal the show
The best of all cosmos.

Violets, pansies and sweet williams
Are bright like prisms
Every may in my garden
Creeping on the ground like children.

I dream of all that in my head
As I lay peacefully in that bed
My garden is a wonderland
Of colors, butterflies, birds, and bees
Love and care, my hammock and me.

When I wake, then I see
I have to start all anew. Oh pooh!

—Adrienne Pelletier

LePage
**Dans Acadie (In Acadia)**

Among the autumn frost,
the fight becomes to save the late harvests,
the root vegetables,
sealed in the soil.
Their bleached nature,
born of eternal snow
washes the warmth from us,
drains us lonely,
burdens our backs
with the winter.

The trees stand longer than us,
but we cut them down
to build our homes,
burn them to ash

—Austin Bragdon

**New Word for Beauty**

Your apocalipstick stains can still be seen on the annals of our twisted history - an index that points to that most poignant microcosm of passion.

I wash them off again and again, only to see them reappear in alternating shades of red and black, a testament to the twin vividity and dispassion which have surrounded our involvement.

With the delicate subtlety of a high-heeled boot to the chest, or a gloved hand that takes the breath away, they linger, call, and sing siren songs that beg my lips to meet them.

With all the sensual allure of a cannonball they crack the fragile limits of my somatic restraint.

We have formed a violent arabesque, you and I, from which there can be no escape. Our lines, inexorably intertwined have committed themselves to this loveless spirograph of familiarity which threatens to collapse with the gentle caress of a single intimate breath.

Embracing the Sisyphean nature of our entanglement, I wash away the lipstick stains once more.

—Austin Bragdon

**TO OUR VETERANS**

Young men and women of yesterday
We are here to honor your deeds today
You did your duty for our Country and Liberty
You have our Loyalty.

Sad were the days when you sailed away
For God, Country, Honor, Glory.
Remember our flag flies higher today
Because of your Bravery.

Gone are your green years
Gone are your carefree days
Gone are the friends you made
Carrying the burden of Liberty.

Flyers, Soldiers, Sailors, Marines, all
In our thoughts you are Heroes tall
If flowers grow on the fields you trod
It is to remind you that God has your reward.

Love of Country, Courage, Fraternity
Those are the badges of Humanity
Gone are the youth of yesterday
Carrying the “Torch of Liberty”.

—Adrienne Pelletier

**Seasonal Change**

I have made it my mission to arrest these urges - facile and always turned to you. The absent minded pleasure of the television screen suffices no longer as distraction. Thoughts race, collect, rise in wicked crescendo, and put to rest the foolish notions of simplicity which I had once cultivated so carefully. Is it my place to whither seasonally like the tree at the predictable but unorganized back and forth flutter of our sensibilities? At least I can fall back on cliches - fear not! I’ll grow back in the springtime. Of course, spring is here and I feel no more virile. Perhaps a little sunshine will do me good.

—Austin Bragdon
The Man Who United Irish- and Franco-Americans
March 17, 2017 Augusta, Lewiston-Auburn, Maine, Massachusetts, Politics, World War Two
By James Myall

It would be easy to write about the long history of strife between Maine’s Franco-American and Irish communities. The two immigrant groups fought for dominance in many of Maine’s mill towns in the 19th century, and for control of the Catholic Church in the state. Fistfights were common. However, on this Saint Patrick’s Day, it seems more fitting to examine someone who united both communities – President John F. Kennedy.


President Kennedy is perhaps the most famous Irish-American of all, but his electoral successes, not only at the national level, but also in Massachusetts, hinged on his ability to unite the constituencies in the New Deal Democratic coalition, which in New England, included Franco-Americans. The president himself acknowledged this on his first overseas visit, in an address to the Canadian parliament, in 1961:

I feel at home also here because I number in my own State of Massachusetts many friends and former constituents who are of Canadian descent. Among the voters of Massachusetts who were born outside the United States, the largest group by far was born in Canada. Their vote is enough to determine the outcome of an election, even a Presidential election. You can understand that having been elected President of the United States by less than 140 thousand votes out of 60 million, that I am very conscious of these statistics!

On overseas visits to Francophone countries, President Kennedy would regularly make an attempt to say a few words in French. He did so on the occasion of his address to the Canadian parliament, in his famous visit to France, later that same year, but also when talking with foreign leaders from newly-independent African nations. On such occasions, Kennedy tended to defer to his wife, who was a fluent French-speaker. Although Jacqueline Bouvier Kennedy was famously the darling of Paris when she visited the city, it’s often overlooked that her French heritage came via her great-great grandfather, Michel Bouvier, a French-Canadian cabinetmaker who settled in Philadelphia.

However, even before assuming the presidency, the importance of bridging the traditional divide between the Irish- and Franco-American communities would have been known to Jack and his family. Robert Kennedy had even had a personal encounter with Maine’s Franco-Americans, when he trained with the V-12 Naval training program at Bates College in 1944/5. Robert wrote to his friend David Hackett, that he had trouble finding a mass to attend, since most of the local churches preached in French.[1]

The friendship not only helped the presidential campaign, the importance of bridging the traditional divide between the Irish- and Franco-American communities would have been known to Jack and his family. Robert Kennedy had even had a personal encounter with Maine’s Franco-Americans, when he trained with the V-12 Naval training program at Bates College in 1944/5. Robert wrote to his friend David Hackett, that he had trouble finding a mass to attend, since most of the local churches preached in French.[1]

In Fall River, John Kennedy had the help of Ed Berube, a local campaign operative who became a lifelong friend of the senator and president. The Kennedy campaign was specifically looking for “a French-Canadian blue-collar” person to join the campaign. Berube, a bus driver, had a mutual friend with Kennedy in Judge Maurice Cartier of Boston. The friendship not only helped the future President’s election efforts, it also led to a windfall for a local Fall River bakery:

One day, while in Ed Berube’s company, then-Sen. Kennedy expressed interest in marriage (a bachelor getting elected senator was one thing, elected president another), a remark which likely elicited something from an eye roll to a belly laugh from Berube, who knew JFK as a ladies’ man. “My dad told him, ‘You’ll never get married. If you get married, I’ll buy your wedding cake,'” Ron Berube said.

When Kennedy announced his engagement to Jacqueline Bouvier, Berube was true to his word, and ordered a wedding cake.

Massachusetts, which in the 1950s was still a hotbed of ethnic rivalry and competition. In running first for the House of Representatives, and then for the Senate, John Kennedy made sure to campaign in the Franco-American social clubs and community halls around the state. Kennedy’s opponent, Republican US Senator Henry Cabot Lodge Jr., even went to the length of adopting distinctively French-Canadian words in his French vocabulary for his campaigns.[2] The Kennedys maintained this approach for other family members. In Ted Kennedy 1970 re-election campaign, their mother Rose, at age 80, gave speeches in French for Ted in towns like Fitchberg, Worcester, New Bedford, and Fall River, just as she had for Jack a decade earlier.[3]

In Fall River, John Kennedy had the help of Ed Berube, a local campaign operative who became a lifelong friend of the senator and president. The Kennedy campaign was specifically looking for “a French-Canadian blue-collar” person to join the campaign. Berube, a bus driver, had a mutual friend with Kennedy in Judge Maurice Cartier of Boston. The friendship not only helped the future President’s election efforts, it also led to a windfall for a local Fall River bakery:

One day, while in Ed Berube’s company, then-Sen. Kennedy expressed interest in marriage (a bachelor getting elected senator was one thing, elected president another), a remark which likely elicited something from an eye roll to a belly laugh from Berube, who knew JFK as a ladies’ man. “My dad told him, ‘You’ll never get married. If you get married, I’ll buy your wedding cake,’” Ron Berube said.

When Kennedy announced his engagement to Jacqueline Bouvier, Berube was true to his word, and ordered a wedding cake.


The Kennedys and the Bouviers were both intensely political families in

(Continued on page 49)
Through the Generations

By Denise R. Larson, Hampden, ME

This year, 2017, is the 400th anniversary of the arrival of the first permanent settlers in New France—the family of Louis Hébert and Marie Rollet and their children, Guillaume, Guillemette, and Anne. Louis, as apothecary and civil official, played an important part at the Quebec fur-trading post established by Samuel de Champlain, who assigned a work crew to build a stone house on Cap Diamant for the Hébert family. The location of the Hébert home in the present day would be between Rue Ste. Famille and Rue Couillard in Quebec City. Marie taught children in her home and is considered to be Canada’s first teacher. Louis is said to be Canada’s first farmer because he eventually cleared and cultivated approximately ten acres, planting herbs and vegetables, some of which he used in medical preparations or sold to help supplement the dried goods sent from France.

I’m lucky to be one of the thousands of descendants of Louis and Marie, but I wondered how many other 11th great-grandparents did I have? The answer is astounding—8,190. This reminded me that the number of direct ancestors doubles with each generation. I have two parents, four grandparents, eight great-grandparents, sixteen great-great-grandparents, etc., until arriving at 8,192 for the number of 11th great-grandparents, which is fourteen generations ago when counting myself as Generation 1. That’s a lot of ancestors in a single generation. If all direct ancestors are added together—from me through Generation 1—there’s a total of 16,383 individuals.

Looking at those numbers, I ask why I would set myself to the task of searching for so many persons who are long gone, who have returned to the dust from which they were made? I realized that the answer is that I admire my Hébert progenitors (first of my direct line to arrive in the New World) for their courage, but I hope to honor all my ancestors through my genealogical work to find their names, know where and when they lived, and, thanks to marriage and birth records, who they loved. Genealogy is more than names and dates on a chart, more than bits of DNA traced through pedigree. Genealogy is the beat of the collective family heart through generations.

Denise (Rajotte) Larson is an author and editor who lives in the greater Bangor metropolitan area. She is researching and writing her family’s story using members’ photos and memorabilia as well as church and civil documents.

Never Stop Looking

By Denise R. Larson, Hampden, ME

As the family genealogist, I have set goals for myself in the search for family groups in census returns. The following is one example of what can happen.

From family albums and baptismal records, I knew that the first child of Felix and Exilda Rajotte was born in 1897 in Canada. Their second child was born in 1898 in Nashua, New Hampshire. Their third child was born in 1902 in Canada. The questions were: When did they return to Canada? Where were they in 1900 or 1901 (the years in which a census was taken)?

Try as I might, I could not find a listing for my grandparents Felix and Exilda in the online index at ancestry.com of the 1900 U.S. Federal census nor the 1901 Canada national census. I found nothing. Where were they? Where did they go?

Thinking of a similar situation in which nothing useful came up until I had simplified the search terms to just the first names of the couple, which, luckily were very unusual in that instance, I tried again. In the previous case, the surname had been poorly written on the census form and horribly misspelled in the index, but the combination of first names brought up the right page. In the search for Felix and Exilda, however, nothing came up.

Putting the questions of Felix, Exilda, and their family to the side, I started on another branch of the family, Exilda’s parents, Felix and Sophie Bergeron. The index guided me to Page 11 of the 1901 census return for St. Germain-de-Grantham.

(Continued on page 45)
1649: Abraham Martin: Coupable ou Innocent?

par Robert Bérubé


Pour les intéressées et intéressés, je vous encourage à vous inscrire à mon site Face-book! https://www.facebook.com/groups/394084010943300/

Abraham Martin: dessin Charles Huot 1908

Il y a quelques semaines, lorsque j’ai publié l’histoire de Gillette Banne, intitulée: “La belle-mère meurtrière”, quelques lecteurs ont réagi en me demandant pourquoi j’avais partagé cette biographie. Un commentaire particulier attira davantage mon attention et je le répète, car je pense que cette réaction en était une ressentie par plusieurs lecteurs: “Ses descendants (Gillette Banne) n’ont pas à avoir honte de l’avoir comme ancêtre. Pourquoi publiez-vous de telles histoires? Il me semble qu’il y a des aspects plus intéressants de notre histoire à rappeler que des chapitres douloureux comme celui-là.”

J’avais formulé la réponse suivante: “Cette année je me suis donné comme projet d’écrire une histoire par semaine à partir de documents familiaux que j’ai commencé au début janvier. Ceci est mon sixième texte. Je vais en publier un autre 46! Je n’ai pas honte de mes ancêtres, au contraire! Mon but est de faire connaître des êtres très vivants qui ont surmonté des défis extraordinaires ou bien qui ont contribué à l’épanouissement de ma famille et de la société. Il y aura de belles histoires, des histoires tristes et certaines seront comiques! Il serait triste de cacher certaines histoires car les vies de nos ancêtres qui ont façonné notre société ne sont pas des contes de fées!”

Je partage ces renseignements car cette semaine, dans mon dix-huitième texte, je présente un autre événement choquant. Si Abraham Martin est coupable de son crime, je dois admettre avoir honte de cet ancêtre et de ne pas l’aimer. Je condamne ce genre d’atrocité. S’il est irréprochable, il faudrait l’innoencer! J’en parle dans le but de donner une perspective différente sur un épisode qui a été caché par plusieurs historiens pendant longtemps.

Abraham Martin dit l’Écossais est né en France vers 1589. Il épousa Marquerite Langlois dans le même pays. Nous ignorons le nom des parents du couple, ni leur lieu d’origine. De plus, nous ne connaissons pas les raisons qui expliquent le sobriquet "L’Écossais" ou bien la désignation de “Maître Abraham”. Il y a plusieurs théories et probabilités mais celles-ci ne sont pas confirmées. De plus, il est affirmé par les tests ADN que son épouse et ses enfants ne sont pas Amérindiens, ni Métis.

Il faut se méfier des sites et des écrits qui prétendent connaître les raisons justifiant les sobriquets, le nom des parents, le lieu d’origine et les fausses origines amérindiennes de son épouse et de ses enfants.


Lorsque les frères Kirke prirent Québec en 1629, Abraham Martin et sa famille sont rentrés en France. Encore une fois plusieurs personnes indiquent que la famille est restée à Québec mais ceci est faux. Des découvertes faites par madame Gail F. Moreau-DesHarnais, member de la FCHSM, démontre que Marquerite Langlois et Abraham Martin étaient exilés dans la paroisse de Saint-Jacques de Dieppe, à ce temps. Ses recherches sont publiées en anglais sur le site suivant: http://habitantheritage.org/

in Drummond County, Quebec Province. Felix and Sophie, both age 49, lived in a household with ten of their children, whose ages ranged from 28 to 7.

The Bergerons resided in Domicile 104. Studying the page to see who their neighbors were, I was surprised and pleased to see that in Domicile 102 —next door— were Felix and Exilda Rajotte, my “missing” grandparents. The return showed that Felix, age 29, and Exilda, age 25, had two children: Mirza, age 4, and Rosia, age 2.

The 1901 Canada census gives exact birthdates for individuals listed on the return. This is very unusual and very helpful to genealogists. Using the ages and birthdates given, I was able to verify that the two families that I had found were the ones for which I was searching. The dates jived with a family group sheet that a cousin had made for her photo album and with my mother’s date book, in which she had listed everyone’s birthday.

Though I had used the index search functions in every way I could imagine, I had not found Felix and Exilda. It seemed that the indexer had skipped the household completely. To find the family, I had to look at the census return in a different way—for a related family and then the nearby families. I had to keep my eyes open and my mind ready to play with possibilities. Genealogy is detective work. This “what if” and “if only” paid off. On to the next!

Denise (Rajotte) Larson is an author and editor who lives in the greater Bangor metropolitan area. She is researching and writing her family’s story using family members’ photos and memorabilia as well as church and civil documents.
Le Forum

(1649: Abraham Martin: Coupable ou Innocent? suite de page 45)

Marie, née le 10 avril, 1635.
Adrien, né le 22 novembre, 1628.
Pierre, baptisé le 1er août, 1630.
Madeleine, née le 13 septembre, 1640.
Barbe, née le 4 janvier, 1643.
Anne, née le 23 mars, 1645.
Charles Amador, né le 6 mars, 1648.

Eustache est le premier Canadien, d’origine Française, à naître sur le territoire de la Nouvelle-France. Tous les enfants Martin-Langlois sont nés à Québec sauf Jean et Pierre, qui sont nés à Dieppe, en France.

Une autre affirmation, sans preuves, est qu’Anne Martin, née en France et mariée le 17 novembre 1635, à Jean Côté, était la fille d’Abraham. La fille d’Abraham Martin appelée Anne était l’épouse de Jacques Ratté.

Il appert que la Côte d’Abraham et les Plaines d’Abraham immortalisent le nom de cet ancêtre. La côte d’Abraham était le sentier emprunté par Abraham Martin pour descendre à la rivière Saint-Charles, dans le but de faire abreuver ses animaux. Il est fort probable que les contemporains d’Abraham ont baptisé les lieux et le nom est resté. Certains chercheurs questionnent cette justification.

Les Plaines d’Abraham comme nous les connaissons aujourd’hui ne correspondent pas nécessairement à la terre qui lui a été concédée en 1635, par la Compagnie de la Nouvelle-France, lors de son retour à Québec, après le départ des Kirke. La vraie terre d’Abraham Martin mesure environ 12 arpents et elle était plutôt sur le versant nord du Cap-aux-Diamants. Son domaine comprenait ces 12 arpents, plus 20 reçus en don, du sieur Adrien Du Chesne, en 1645.

La famille Martin a vendu cette propriété aux Ursulines en 1667. La terre allait donc, de la Côte-Sainte-Geneviève, l’actuelle Grande-Allée, vers le bas, jusqu’à la Côte-d’Abraham elle-même, et vers l’ouest, jusqu’à la rue Clairefontaine, sous l’actuel Grand Théâtre. Sa terre ne couvrait donc pas les Plaines d’Abraham mais la bataille de 1759, s’est déroulée sur les Plaines d’Abraham et aussi sur l’ancienne propriété d’Abraham Martin.

Nous retrouvons le nom d’Abraham dans le testament de Champlain. Celui-ci a cédé 600 livres à Abraham Martin et à son épouse Marguerite Langlois : “qu’ils les emploient à défricher des terres en ce pays de Nouvelle-France” et autant à sa fille Marguerite, comme dote éventuelle, « pour l’aider à se marier à un homme en ce pays de la Nouvelle-France et pas autrement».


Le 19 janvier 1649, l’exécution d’une jeune fille âgée d’environ quinze ans, a lieu à Québec. Le 15 février suivant, Abraham Martin est accusé du viol de cette fille et il est emprisonné dans le cachot. Le procès est différé, jusqu’à l’arrivée des bateaux. Cette accusation ne semble pas avoir de suite.

Il y a très peu d’archives concernant cette affaire. Est-ce la pudeur des historiens passés qui est la cause du manque de documents pour faire oublier ce crime aux générations qui suivent? Je ne sais pas! Abraham Martin est l’ancêtre d’une grande partie de Québécois, de Canadiens et d’Americains. Est-ce que certains descendants ont faussé l’histoire? Je ne connais pas la réponse et je n’ai aucune preuve pour justifier ce que j’avance. Je le fais espérer que quelqu’un puisse m’expliquer l’absence de pièces légales. Qui sait? Il y a peut-être une jeune personne qui dans les années à venir, découvrira les réponses à mes questions.

Toutefois, il est évident dans certains écrits que les rédacteurs de ces biographies oublient ou nient l’existence de l’accusation et de l’emprisonnement de Martin.

D’autres écrits, tels le “Dictionnaire biographique du Canada” ne mentionnent pas le viol mais parlent de conduite et de baisse d’estime: “Plus tard, Martin baissa dans l’estime de ses concitoyens, lorsqu’il fut accusé de conduite répréhensible envers une jeune fille de Québec. Il fut emprisonné le 15 février 1649 pour ce motif”.

Il y a des chroniqueurs qui parlent de “ conduite incorrecte envers une jeune fille…une jeune voleuse de 16 ans qui fut condamnée pour ces délits” et de “ forfait à l’honneur avec une larronnesse de 16 ans”. Espèrent-ils rendre la victime coupable, car elle commet un autre crime? Il faut se souvenir qu’elle fut pendue pour son crime, devait-elle aussi souffrir d’un viol? Certains qui semblent mettre davantage l’accent sur les termes de voleuse et de larronnesse insinuent que la jeune fille aurait menti.

Quelques écrivains du passé tentent d’exonérer Abraham Martin de cette accusation en mentionnant que son fils Charles-Amador Martin fut le second prêtre
A word to THANK all of YOU for reading my texts! For those of you who take the time to encourage me, I thank you from the bottom of my heart! I am neither a writer nor an historian! I tell stories! I try to make them as accurate as possible by doing a lot of research. However, there are sometimes errors.

Some people have pointed out to me some mistakes in private and even publicly, and I have had the chance to correct the texts to make them more accurate. I thank those individuals who took the time to help me out. I also thank the persons who ask me for permission before sharing, copying, and repeating my texts.

For those of you interested in receiving my stories automatically, I encourage you to subscribe to my Facebook site at: https://www.facebook.com/groups/394084010943300/

A few weeks ago, when I published the story of Gillette Banne, entitled “The Murderous Mother-in-Law”, some readers reacted by asking me why I shared this biography. One particular comment attracted my attention and I repeat it, because I think this reaction was felt by several readers: “Her descendants (Gillette Banne) do not have to be ashamed to have her as an ancestor. Why do you publish such stories? It seems to me that there are more interesting aspects of our history to remember than painful chapters like this one.”

I had formulated the following reply: “This year I planned to write a story a week about an ancestor or a group of ancestors of my genealogy on a blog, that I started in early January. This is my sixth text. I’ll publish another 46! I am not ashamed of my ancestors, on the contrary! My goal is to bring to life beings who have overcome... (Continued on page 48)
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extraordinary challenges or who have contributed to the development of my family and society. There will be beautiful stories, sad stories and some will be comical! It would be sad to hide some stories because the lives of our ancestors who shaped our society are not fairy tales! “

I share this information because this week, in my eighteenth text, I present another shocking event. If Abraham Martin is guilty of this crime, I must admit to being ashamed of this ancestor and not to like him. I condemn this kind of atrocity. If he is irreproachable, he should be clarified! I talk about him, in order to give a different perspective on an episode that has been hidden by several historians for a long time.

Abraham Martin known as “l’Écossais” (the Scotsman) was born in France around 1589. He married Marguerite Langlois in the same country. We do not know the names of the couple’s parents or their place of origin. Moreover, we do not know the reasons for the nickname “l’Écossais” (the Scotsman) or his designation as “Maître Abraham” (Master Abraham). There are several theories and probabilities but these are not confirmed. In addition, DNA tests prove that his wife and children are not Amerindians or Métis.

One must be wary of sites and writings that claim to know the reasons for the nicknames, their parents’ names, their place of origin and the false Amerindian origins of his wife and children.

There is little information about Abraham and Marguerite before they arrived in New France. We believe that they arrived between 1617 and 1620. The couple Martin-Langlois migrated with Marguerite’s sister, Françoise Langlois and her spouse Pierre Desportes. They were among the first French pioneers in New France.

When the Kirke brothers took Québec in 1629, Abraham Martin and his family returned to France. Again, many people say that the family stayed in Québec City, but this is not true. Discoveries made by Ms. Gail F. Moreau-DesHarnais, a member of the FCHSM show that Marguerite Langlois and Abraham Martin were exiled in the parish of Saint-Jacques de Dieppe. Her research is published in English on the following website: http://habitantheritage.org/yahoo_site_admin/assets/docs/Gail__exiles_from_Quebec.14185052.pdf

Abraham Martin and Marquerite Langlois are the parents of the following children:

Jean, baptized on September 23, 1616
Eustache, baptized on October 24, 1621.
Marguerite, born on January 4, 1624
Hélène, born on June 21, 1627.
Marie, born April 10, 1635.
Adrien, born on November 22, 1628.
Pierre, baptized on August 1st, 1630.
Madeleine, born on September 13, 1640.

Barbe, born on January 4, 1643.
Anne, born on March 23, 1645.
Charles Amador, born on 6 March, 1648.

Eustache was the first Canadian, of French origin, to be born in the territory of New France. All the children were born in Québec except for Jean and Pierre, who were born in Dieppe, France.

Another assertion, without proof, is that Anne, born in France and married on November 17, 1635, to Jean Côté, was the daughter of Abraham. The daughter of Abraham Martin called Anne was the wife of Jacques Ratté.

It appears that the Côte d’Abraham and the Plains of Abraham immortalize the name of this ancestor. The Côte d’Abraham was the path taken by Abraham Martin to descend to the Saint-Charles river, in order to water his animals. It is very probable that the contemporaries of Abraham baptized the place and the name remained. Some researchers question the above justification.

On December 27, 1647, Abraham received the title of royal pilot for the Saint-Lawrence river, as recorded in the notary’s office of Le Coustre. With his sons-in-law, he often went fishing for porpoises (marine wolves) to extract the oil. They went to the Gulf of Saint-Lawrence. It appears that he was skilful in navigating the Saint-Lawrence. He should not be imagined as a pilot of a large ship but rather of a small boat.

The Plains of Abraham as we know them today, do not necessarily correspond to the land granted to him in 1635, by the Compagnie de la Nouvelle France, on his return to Québec after the departure of the Kirkes. The true land of Abraham Martin is about 12 arpents and it was rather on the northern slope of Cap-aux-Diamants. His domain included these 12 arpents, plus 20, donated by Adrien Du Chesne, in 1645.

The Martin family sold this property to the Ursulines in 1667. So the land went from Côte-Sainte-Geneviève, the present Grande-Allée, down to Côte d’Abraham itself, and towards the west, to rue Clairefontaine, under the present Grand Théâtre... His land did not cover the Plains of Abraham, but the battle of 1759 took place on the Plains of Abraham and also on the former property of Abraham Martin.

We find the name of Abraham in Champlain’s will. He left 600 livres to Abraham Martin and his wife, Marguerite Langlois, “that they use them to clear land in this country of New France” and as much to his daughter Marguerite as a possible dowry, “to help her marry a man in this country of New France and not otherwise “.

On January 19, 1649, the execution of a girl, about fifteen years of age, was held in Québec City. The following February 15, (Continued on page 49)
Abraham Martin is charged with the rape of this girl and is imprisoned in the dungeon. The trial is delayed until the arrival of the boats. There does not seem to be any follow up to this accusation.

There are very few records relating to this case. Is it the modesty of past historians, that is the cause of the lack of documents, in order that the generations that follow would not remember? Abraham Martin is the ancestor of many Québécois, Canadians and Americans. Could some descendants have distorted history? I do not know the answers and I have no evidence to justify what I am saying. I do so, hoping that someone can explain the absence of archives. Who knows? There may be a young person who in the years to come, will discover the answers to my questions.

However, it is evident in some writings that some biographers forget or deny the existence of the accusation and the imprisonment of Martin.

Other writings, such as the “Dictionary of Canadian Biography”, do not mention rape, but refer to conduct and low esteem: “In is later years Martin fell in the estimation of his fellow citizens when he was accused of improper conduct with regard to a young girl in Québec. He was imprisoned for this on 15 Feb. 1649.”

There are chroniclers who talk about “misbehavior towards a young girl … a 16-year old thief who was convicted for these crimes” and “forfeit with a larronness of 16 years.” Do they hope to make the victim guilty of lying because she committed another crime? It must be remembered that she was hanged for her crime, should she also suffer a rape? Some who seem to put more emphasis on the terms of theft and gossip insinuate that the girl would have lied.

Some writers of the past attempt to exonerate Abraham Martin from this accusation by mentioning that his son Charles-Amador Martin was the second priest born, in Canada, that his son Eustache had traveled to Huronia and that Abraham was the ancestor of two Bishops named Racine! The clergy are not saints! They are very human and there are some good and some rotten!

In addition to her life, her name was also taken away! A person without a name is dehumanized. Would this make the crime less violent and the accusation false?

Some allude to the girl’s age, insinuating pedophilia or ephebophilia. In many cases, they respond to their own implication, noting that it is neither pedophilia, nor ephebophilia because girls married at 12 years of age. This way of thinking, does not justify the act of violence, that is rape.

Some call him an old pervert!

Louis-Guy Lemieux in his writings describes Abraham Martin as “one of the most insignificant actors in the history of New France. An obscure character. A simple figure. An anti hero”. As for the accusation, he calls Abraham Martin “an old pig”. It must be emphasized that Abraham Martin is as important, as almost all his contemporaries, because he was one of the first pioneers of France, to settle in Québec. To call him an old pig, even if it does make the speaker feel good, hides the severity and minimizes the criminal act. The attack if proven must be considered as a rape and an act of criminal violence.

Some claim that Abraham Martin is not guilty because there was no trial when the ships arrived, and Abraham was not sentenced. In addition, there is no mention of the prosecution afterwards. Also, Abraham ended his life without any other complaint or insinuation of this kind or other, brought against him. The “Dictionary of Canadian Biography” states that Abraham Martin “fell in the estimation of his fellow citizens when he was accused” however, documents prove that Abraham continued to live his life in society without any problems.

We can accuse a person but we cannot condemn them without proving guilt. Moreover, it would have been very difficult to have a fair trial, for both parties, because the victim died. It is true that there were no other charges that were documented. Given the shortage of legal papers available, Abraham Martin remains not guilty, in the eyes of the law.

The next questions are reflective. The charge had been made some time before the victim was hanged. Why would a victim have made an accusation, about an innocent person, knowing one way or another that she should be hanged? What circumstances led this girl to lay a charge? Why did the officials believe that Abraham Martin should be imprisoned at that time? Why wait for the arrival of the boats in August before pronouncing a judgment?

There is only one victim in this circumstance, either the girl who says she was raped or Abraham Martin who was falsely accused.

We can not pass judgment on this issue. The scandal of all this episode is that a person is innocent. It would be interesting to find the documents, either to honor the memory of an unnamed girl or to exonerate an innocent man.

Abraham Martin died on September 8, 1664, in Québec City. His widow, Marguerite, Langlois remarried on February 17, 1665 with René Branche. She died a few months later in Québec City on December 17, 1665.

In addition to the Plains and the Côte-d’Abraham, there are two monuments dedicated to Abraham Martin.

Anne and Marie Martin, daughters of Abraham and Marguerite Langlois are our ancestors. Marie has four lines that lead to me on the Bérubé and Fréchette side, and Anne has two on the Fréchette side.

The Man Who United Irish- and Franco-Americans continued from page 43

Mainers will be well aware of President Kennedy’s campaign stops in the state – which included Franco-American centers such as Lewiston and Augusta. These towns were also Democratic centers, but it seems unlikely that the presence of large Franco-American populations was lost on the Senator. Appropriately enough, on Kennedy’s campaign tour of Maine he was accompanied by Senator Ed Muskie (of polish descent), and Lucia Cormier, a Franco-American who was running for US Senate. The Kennedy family were successful both at overcoming prejudice against Catholic Americans, and in uniting a variety of Democratic voters within the Catholic community. Without the support of Franco-Americans, America might not have had its most famous Irish-American president.

Notes: [1] Robert Kennedy and His Times, Arthur M. Schlesinger, p58
[3] Rose Kennedy: The Life and Times of a Political Matriarch, Barbara A. Perry,
Les Familles Daigle

Welcome to my column. Over the years Le Forum has published numerous families. Copies of these may still be available by writing to the Franco-American Center. Listings such as this one are never complete. However, it does provide you with my most recent and complete file of marriages tied to the original French ancestor. How to use the family listings: The left-hand column lists the first name (and middle name or initial, if any) of the direct descendants of the ancestor identified as number 1 (or A, in some cases). The next column gives the date of marriage, then the spouse (maiden name if female) followed by the town in which the marriage took place. There are two columns of numbers. The one on the left side of the page, e.g., #2, is the child of #2 in the right column of numbers. His parents are thus #1 in the left column of numbers. Also, it should be noted that all the persons in the first column of names under the same number are siblings (brothers & sisters). There may be other siblings, but only those who had descendants that married in Maine are listed in order to keep this listing limited in size. The listing can be used up or down - to find parents or descendants. The best way to see if your ancestors are listed here is to look for your mother's or grandmother's maiden name. Once you are sure you have the right couple, take note of the number in the left column under which their names appear. Then, find the same number in the right-most column above. For example, if it's #57C, simply look for #57C on the right above. Repeat the process for each generation until you get back to the first family in the list. The numbers with alpha suffixes (e.g. 57C) are used mainly for couple who married in Maine. Marriages that took place in Canada normally have no suffixes with the rare exception of small letters, e.g., “13a.” If there are gross errors or missing families, my sincere apologies. I have taken utmost care to be as accurate as possible. Please write to the FORUM staff with your corrections and/or additions with your supporting data. I provide this column freely with the purpose of encouraging Franco-Americans to research their personal genealogy and to take pride in their rich heritage.

FAMILY #1

Olivier Daigre (and Daigle), born in 1643 in France, died in Acadia, married circa 1666 at Port Royal (today, Annapolis Royal, Nova Scotia) to Marie Gaudet, daughter of Denis Gaudet and Martine Gauthier of France and Port Royal. Olivier arrived in Acadia around 1663. His ancestors are believed to have originated from d'Aigre in the ancient province of Saintonge, France.

1 Olivier circa 1666 Marie Gaudet Port-Royal 2
2 Bernard 1692 M.-Marie Bourg Pisiguit, Acadie 3

(Olivier 1699 Jeanne Blanchard Port Royal 4
(Guillaume Blanchard & Hugette Gougeon)
3 Joseph-Simon 1716 Madeleine Gaudreau Pisiguit 6
René circa 1733 Madeleine Hébert Grand-Pré 8
4 Pierre 18 Nov 1720 Anne Arsenault Beaubassin 10
(Jean Arsenault & Anne Boudrot)
5 Joseph-Simon 23 Nov 1762 Marguerite Guibault St.François-du-Sud 12

How to use the family listings: The left-hand column is used for couples who married in France. The right-most column above is used mainly for couple who married in Maine. Marriages that took place in France are used mainly for couple who married in Maine. There are two columns of numbers. The one on the left side of the page, e.g., #2, is the child of #2 in the right column of numbers. His parents are thus #1 in the left column of numbers. Also, it should be noted that all the persons in the first column of names under the same number are siblings (brothers & sisters). There may be other siblings, but only those who had descendants that married in Maine are listed in order to keep this listing limited in size. The listing can be used up or down - to find parents or descendants. The best way to see if your ancestors are listed here is to look for your mother’s or grandmother’s maiden name. Once you are sure you have the right couple, take note of the number in the left column under which their names appear. Then, find the same number in the right-most column above. For example, if it’s #57C, simply look for #57C on the right above. Repeat the process for each generation until you get back to the first family in the list. The numbers with alpha suffixes (e.g. 57C) are used mainly for couple who married in Maine. Marriages that took place in Canada normally have no suffixes with the rare exception of small letters, e.g., “13a.” If there are gross errors or missing families, my sincere apologies. I have taken utmost care to be as accurate as possible. Please write to the FORUM staff with your corrections and/or additions with your supporting data. I provide this column freely with the purpose of encouraging Franco-Americans to research their personal genealogy and to take pride in their rich heritage.

(Continued on page 51)
The following are descendants of the above who married in Maine:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Married To</th>
<th>Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12A</td>
<td>Vital</td>
<td>15 Apr</td>
<td>1844</td>
<td>M.-Rose Marquis</td>
<td>Frenchville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12B</td>
<td>Maxime</td>
<td>10 Apr</td>
<td>1893</td>
<td>Marie Gagnon</td>
<td>Frenchville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C</td>
<td>Isabelle</td>
<td>01 Oct</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Dosithe Dionne</td>
<td>South Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aignès</td>
<td>circa</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>Alphée Soucy</td>
<td>Ft. Kent?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2m.</td>
<td>19 May</td>
<td>Henri Dubé</td>
<td>Biddeford (St. Jos.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3m.</td>
<td>07 Feb</td>
<td>George Lauzier</td>
<td>O. Orchard B. (St. Mgte.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24A</td>
<td>Firmin</td>
<td>23 Oct</td>
<td>1854</td>
<td>Euphémie Nadeau</td>
<td>Frenchville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b.22-6-1825 St. Basile - d.4-7-1904 Ft. Kent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michel</td>
<td>25 Jan</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>Eléonore Martin</td>
<td>Frenchville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vital</td>
<td>31 Mar</td>
<td>1856</td>
<td>Julie Cyr</td>
<td>Frenchville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b.16-8-1826 St. Basile, NB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B</td>
<td>Antoine</td>
<td>26 Jun</td>
<td>1844</td>
<td>Seconde Soucy</td>
<td>Frenchville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Honoré “Henry”</td>
<td>20 Jan</td>
<td>1856</td>
<td>Olyme Soucy</td>
<td>Frenchville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alcime</td>
<td>17 Feb</td>
<td>1857</td>
<td>Anastasie Chassé</td>
<td>Frenchville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raphaël</td>
<td>07 Feb</td>
<td>1854</td>
<td>Elizabeth Michaud</td>
<td>Frenchville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zéphirin</td>
<td>05 Apr</td>
<td>1864</td>
<td>Flavie Martin</td>
<td>St. Basile, NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michel</td>
<td>28 Feb</td>
<td>1865</td>
<td>Marie Martin</td>
<td>Van Buren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Rémi Martin &amp; Marcelline Rioux)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(See the next issue for more on the Daigle family)
THE FRANCO AMERICAN CENTRE
OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF MAINE

The University of Maine Office of Franco American Affairs was founded in 1972 by Franco American students and community volunteers. It subsequently became the Franco American Centre.

From the onset, its purpose has been to introduce and integrate the Maine and Regional Franco American Fact in post-secondary academe and in particular the University of Maine.

Given the quasi total absence of a base of knowledge within the University about this nearly one-half of the population of the State of Maine, this effort has sought to develop ways and means of making this population, its identity, its contributions and its history visible on and off campus through seminars, workshops, conferences and media efforts — print and electronic.

The results sought have been the redressing of historical neglect and ignorance by returning to Franco Americans their history, their language and access to full and healthy self realiziations. Further, changes within the University’s working, in its structure and curriculum are sought in order that those who follow may experience cultural equity, have access to a culturally authentic base of knowledge dealing with French American identity and the contribution of this ethnic group to this society.

MISSION

• To be an advocate of the Franco-American Fact at the University of Maine, in the State of Maine and in the region, and
• To provide vehicles for the effective and cognitive expression of a collective, authentic, diversified and effective voice for Franco-Americans, and
• To stimulate the development of academic and non-academic program offerings at the University of Maine and in the state relevant to the history and life experience of this ethnic group and
• To assist and support Franco-Americans in the actualization of their language and culture in the advancement of careers, personal growth and their creative contribution to society, and
• To assist and provide support in the creation and implementation of a concept of pluralism which values, validates and reflects affectively and cognitively the Multicultural Fact in Maine and elsewhere in North America, and
• To assist in the generation and dissemination of knowledge about a major Maine resource — the rich cultural and language diversity of its people.

LE CENTRE FRANCO AMÉRICAIN DE
L’UNIVERSITÉ DU MAINE


Dès le départ, son but fut d’introduire et d’intégrer le Fait Franco-Américain du Maine et de la Région dans la formation académique post-secondaire et en particulier à l’Université du Maine.

Étant donné l’absence presque totale d’une base de connaissance à l’intérieur même de l’Université, le Centre Franco-Américain s’efforce d’essayer de développer des moyens pour rendre cette population, son identité, ses contributions et son histoire visible sur et en-dehors du campus à travers des séminaires, des ateliers, des conférences et des efforts médiatiques — imprimé et électronique.

Le résultat espéré est le redressement de la négligence et de l’ignorance historique en retournant aux Franco-Américains leur histoire, leur langue et l’accès à un accomplissement personnel sain et complet. De plus, des changements à l’intérieur de l’académie, dans sa structure et son curriculum sont nécessaires afin que ceux qui nous suivent puissent vivre l’expérience d’une justice culturelle, avoir accès à une base de connaissances culturellement authentique qui miroite l’identité et la contribution de ce groupe ethnique à la société.

OBJECTIFS:

2 – D’offrir des véhicules d’expression affective et cognitive d’une voix franco-américaine effective, collective, authentique et diversifiée.
3 – De stimuler le développement des offres de programmes académiques et non-académiques à l’Université du Maine et dans l’État du Maine, reliant l’histoire et l’expérience de la vie de ce groupe ethnique.
4 – D’assister et de supporter les Franco-Américains dans l’actualisation de leur langue et de leur culture dans l’avancement de leurs carrières, de l’accomplissement de leur personne et de leur contribution créative à la société.
5 – D’assister et d’offrir du support dans la création et l’implémentation d’un concept de pluralisme qui value, valide et reflète effectivement et cognitivement le fait dans le Maine et ailleurs en Amérique du Nord.
6 – D’assister dans la création et la publication de la connaissance à propos d’une ressource importante du Maine — la riche diversité.