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ABSTRACT

Coyne’s interpersonal theory of depression posits that those with depressive symptoms engage in maladaptive interpersonal behaviours that, although intended to assuage distress, push away social supports and increase depressive symptoms (Coyne, 1976). Excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking, and conversational self-focus are three behaviours implicated in Coyne’s theory, yet their correlates – apart from depressive symptoms – are poorly understood. The current study considered the potential role of intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits as an additional vulnerability factor for these behaviours. Mediation models further tested whether linkages between emotion regulation deficits and maladaptive interpersonal behaviours helped to explain short-term increases in depressive symptoms, as further suggested by theory. Older adolescents (N = 291, M age = 18.9) completed self-report measures of emotion regulation deficits, depressive symptoms, and the three maladaptive interpersonal behaviours during an initial lab visit and again four weeks later. A series of multiple regression models suggested that emotion regulation deficits are uniquely associated with each of the behaviours over and above the impact of depressive symptoms. Mediation analyses suggested that only excessive reassurance seeking mediated the association between initial emotion regulation deficits and increased depressive symptoms over time. The clinical implications of these findings are discussed.

Depression is pervasive and associated with impaired functioning across domains. Nearly 20% of the population experiences a depressive disorder in their lifetime (Kessler & Bromet, 2013), and an even greater percentage are affected by subthreshold depressive symptoms (e.g., Cuijpers et al., 2004). Depressive symptoms are associated with multiple negative outcomes, including poor academic and work performance (DeRoma et al., 2009; Maurizi et al., 2013; Whooley et al., 2002), poor physical health (Fulkerson et al., 2004; Kandel & Davies, 1986; Marmorstein, 2009; Windle & Windle, 2001), as well as suicide and suicidal ideation (Chabrol et al., 2007; Goldston et al., 2006).

Importantly, depressive symptoms are associated with impaired social functioning (e.g., Hirschfeld et al., 2000; Kamper-DeMarco et al., 2020; Segrin, 2000), negative perceptions about one’s social skills (Lee et al., 2010; Steger & Kashdan, 2009), and diminished social support (Gotlib & Lee, 1989; Joiner & Timmons, 2009). One line of research has investigated specific interpersonal behaviours that are characteristic of depressed individuals, including excessive reassurance seeking (e.g., Evraire & Dozois, 2011; Joiner et al., 1999; Starr & Davila, 2008), negative feedback seeking (e.g., Borelli & Prinstein, 2006; Joiner & Metalsky, 1995), and conversational self-focus (e.g., Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2009, 2016). Theory posits that these three interpersonal behaviours are enacted by depressed individuals to help reduce poorly regulated emotional distress (Coyne, 1976), yet their correlates, apart from depressive symptoms, are understudied. It is plausible that deficits in intrapersonal (i.e., internal) emotion regulation capabilities may also underlie these interpersonal behaviours,
which may then lead to increased depressive symptoms.

The current project first investigates whether interpersonal emotion regulation difficulties (either in conjunction with or over and above) depressive symptoms are associated with engagement in maladaptive interpersonal behaviours. Ineffectively recruiting close others for support with distress may reflect an inability to self-regulate. Further, we investigate whether short-term increases in depressive symptoms is an outcome of these initial associations. Both interpersonal emotion regulation and the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours are associated with depressive symptoms, and the current project seeks to explain how these variables may be interrelated. Maladaptive interpersonal behaviours may be one mechanism that explains how internal emotion regulation deficits are associated with increased depressive symptoms.

**Depressive symptoms and maladaptive interpersonal behaviours**

Coyne’s interpersonal theory of depression posits that individuals with depressive symptoms recruit close others to provide assurances and to mitigate emotional distress (Coyne, 1976). To date, three depression-related interpersonal behaviours have been identified in the context of this theory: excessive reassurance seeking (e.g., Joiner et al., 1999), negative feedback seeking (e.g., Joiner & Metalsky, 1995; Swann, Wenzlaff, and Tafarodi, 1992), and conversational self-focus (e.g., Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2009, 2016). These behaviours are similar in that they occur among those with depressive symptoms, involve trying to recruit others for support, and result in poor social and emotional outcomes.

**Excessive reassurance seeking.** Excessive reassurance seeking, the first interpersonal behaviour studied in the context of Coyne’s theory, is the tendency to repeatedly ask others for confirmation that they are cared for and liked (Joiner et al., 1992). A partner may at first provide such assurances, but the sincerity of these assurances is questioned by the depressed individual, who then continues to ask for support. Although the partner may initially comply with request for assurances, the repetitive behaviour is perceived as aversive, and the partner may withdraw. Excessive reassurance seeking is associated with depressive symptoms both concurrently (Kobloch et al., 2011; Nesi & Prinstein, 2015; Prinstein et al., 2005) and over time (Evraire & Dozois, 2011; Joiner & Metalsky, 2001; Joiner & Schmidt, 1998; Nesi & Prinstein, 2015). What is more, the association between excessive reassurance seeking and depressive symptoms is bidirectional. Depressive symptoms give rise to later excessive reassurance seeking (Haefelf et al., 2007; Prinstein et al., 2005), and excessive reassurance seeking gives rise to later depressive symptoms (Davila, 2001; Haefelf et al., 2007). Social rejection and reduced social support are thought to be mechanisms that result in these longitudinal increases in depressive symptoms (Joiner & Metalsky, 1995; Prinstein et al., 2005; Starr & Davila, 2008).

**Negative feedback seeking.** Negative feedback seeking is defined as recruiting others to confirm negative perceptions of oneself (Joiner & Metalsky, 1995). The construct is grounded in Swann’s self-verification theory, which suggests that individuals seek confirmation from others about their self-perceptions in order to maintain their self-image (Swann, 1987, 1990). Those with depressive symptoms, and presumably negative self-images, demonstrate a tendency to seek out negative feedback (Casbon et al., 2005; Joiner et al., 1997) and prefer the company of those who also view them negatively (Joiner et al., 1992; Swann, Wenzlaff, & Tafarodi, 1992). Like excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking is associated with concurrent depressive symptoms (Joiner, 1995; Joiner & Metalsky, 1995; Joiner et al., 1997). Additionally, Borelli and Prinstein (2006) report bidirectional associations between depressive symptoms and negative feedback seeking. Negative feedback seeking may help to maintain depressive symptoms due to negative social consequences of the behaviour, including social rejection (Swann, Wenzlaff, Krull, et al., 1992) as well as lower social preference and perceived criticism by friends (Borelli & Prinstein, 2006).

**Conversational self-focus.** Conversational self-focus, the most recent interpersonal behaviour identified in the context of Coyne’s theory, is the tendency to redirect conversations to oneself (Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2009). Schwartz-Mette and Rose (2009) characterise conversational self-focus as a behavioural manifestation of cognitive constructs such as self-focused attention (Mor & Winquist, 2002) and rumination (Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991), which are both related to depressive symptoms. To date, two studies support the concurrent association of conversational self-focus and depressive symptoms (Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2009, 2016). Longitudinal associations of
conversational self-focus with depressive symptoms have not yet been tested, but depressed individuals who engage in conversational self-focus do experience increased rejection by friends over time (Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2016).

**Intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits as a potential correlate of maladaptive interpersonal behaviours**

The three interpersonal behaviours described above have been primarily studied in the context of depressive symptoms. There is some research, however, to suggest other correlates of these behaviours. Excessive reassurance seeking may be related to individual characteristics like attachment style, low levels of insight, sociotropy, and intolerance of uncertainty (Davila, 2001; Evraire & Dozois, 2011). Conversational self-focus shares some overlap with rumination (Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2016). The current study explores whether deficits in an individual’s internal (i.e., intrapersonal) emotion regulation abilities may also be a correlate of each of the three maladaptive interpersonal behaviours addressed in this project.

Intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits are a likely correlate candidate for the three interpersonal behaviours associated with depression. Depression has been described as a disorder of emotion dysregulation (Joormann & Gotlib, 2010), and emotion regulation deficits are characteristic of both concurrent depressive symptoms (Campbell-Sills et al., 2006; Garnefski & Kraaij, 2006) as well as increases in depressive symptoms (Berking et al., 2014). It is therefore plausible that emotion regulation deficits are associated with depression-linked behaviours. There is an extensive literature investigating associations between poor emotion regulation and depressive behaviours. To date, self-injury (Gratz, 2003; Linehan, 1993), disengagement from activities (Hawkley et al., 2009), dysregulated sleep (Kahn et al., 2013; Palmer et al., 2018), and changes in eating behaviours (Evers et al., 2010; Svaldi et al., 2012) have been described as behavioural manifestations of poor emotion regulation among those with depressive symptoms. As emotion regulation deficits are associated with maladaptive solitary depression-linked behaviours (e.g., self-injury), it is plausible emotion regulation deficits are likewise linked with maladaptive interpersonal depression-linked behaviours. There is precedence for separating internal processes from their behavioural manifestations, including comparing co-rumination (Rose, 2002) to rumination (Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991) and conversational self-focus (Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2009) to self-focused attention (Mor & Winquist, 2002).

Further, the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours, themselves, may reflect interpersonal attempts to manage poorly regulated distress. There is a growing literature to support that we turn to close others for assistance managing our emotional states (Barthel et al., 2018), a process that has been called interpersonal emotion regulation. Interpersonal emotion regulation describes interpersonal exchanges that function to influence one’s emotional state (Hofmann, 2014; Williams et al., 2018; Zaki & Williams, 2013). Zaki and Williams (2013) distinguish between processes that originate within oneself (intrinsic) and those initiated by a partner (extrinsic) as well as processes that are response-dependent and response-independent. Hofmann et al. (2016) identified enhancing positive affect (e.g., seeking out others to increase happiness/joy), perspective taking (e.g., involving others to decrease worry), soothing (e.g., receiving comfort from others), and social modelling (e.g., seeking out others to understand how they cope) as four interpersonal emotion regulation goals. Some behavioural attempts to regulate emotion interpersonally may be adaptive, but individuals with significant difficulty regulating their emotions on their own may enact more maladaptive behaviours. Specifically, Dixon-Gordon et al. (2015) hypothesised that excessive reassurance seeking and co-rumination are intrinsic response-dependent forms of interpersonal emotion regulation behaviours linked to depressive symptoms. Marroquin (2011) identifies negative feedback seeking as an interpersonal support behaviour associated with depressive symptoms. Conversational self-focus may also be an interpersonal emotion regulation strategy associated with depressive symptoms.

The idea that an individual with less developed intrapersonal emotion regulation abilities may turn to others has roots in the developmental literature. For example, research suggests that young children, with nascent intrapersonal emotion regulation abilities, rely more heavily on adults for emotion regulation support in comparison to adolescents who have more developed emotion regulation abilities (Martin & Ochsner, 2016). As such, older adolescents with emotion regulation difficulties may be more likely to reach out for support than those with better developed emotion regulation abilities. Further, those with emotion regulation difficulties
may be prone to elicit regulation support in ways that are ineffective (i.e., employ maladaptive interpersonal emotion regulation strategies).

The first aim of the current study is to examine the unique contributions of both depressive symptoms and intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits to each of the three interpersonal behaviours. Whether interpersonal attempts to regulate negative affect, such as excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking, and conversational self-focus, are primarily associated with depressive symptoms or intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits is an important empirical question. These behaviours may indeed be primarily reflective of an interpersonal manifestation of the negative and self-focused nature of depressive symptoms. On the other hand, it is plausible that the behaviours reflect intrapersonal emotion regulation difficulties and may thus be characteristic of individuals with a variety of psychopathology symptoms, not just those with depressive symptoms. A third possibility is that both depressive symptoms and intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits each have significant, unique associations with engagement in excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking, and conversational self-focus. To date, no empirical studies have investigated the associations between depressive symptoms, deficits in intrapersonal emotion regulation, and the three maladaptive conversational behaviours. A recent study of middle adolescents demonstrated that each of the three behaviours is linked with intrapersonal emotion regulation difficulties (Schwartz-Mette et al., 2021), but did not examine the role of depressive symptoms. The current study examines the relative contributions of emotion regulation difficulties and depressive symptoms and their associations with these three maladaptive interpersonal behaviours.

**Emotion regulation deficits, maladaptive interpersonal behaviours, and depressive symptoms over time**

As a second step, the current study also considers the associations of intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits, maladaptive interpersonal behaviours, and depressive symptoms over time. Coyne’s original theory clearly posits that poorly managed distress leads to engagement in maladaptive interpersonal behaviour, which then leads to increased depressive symptoms. To date, however, most studies of the interpersonal theory of depression have either tested whether depressive symptoms predict interpersonal behaviours (e.g., Borelli & Prinstein, 2006; Haefhel et al., 2007) or whether interpersonal behaviours predict depressive symptoms (e.g., Joiner & Metalsky, 2001). Thus, the mediation model described by Coyne is understudied (Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2016). The current study tests this mediation proposal in the context of the possibility that both intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits and depressive symptoms are associated with maladaptive interpersonal behaviours, which are then associated with increased depressive symptoms over time. Specifically, as an initial test of this proposal, a series of mediation models were tested in which each of the three behaviours mediated associations between initial depressive symptoms and emotion regulation deficits and later depressive symptoms over a short-term interval of four weeks. In addition to providing empirical support for the mediation model proposed by Coyne, this aim speaks to whether maladaptive interpersonal behaviours help explain the association between emotion regulation difficulties and increased depressive symptoms.

**The role of gender**

Finally, the current project investigated the potential that gender may impact the primary associations of interest. Beginning in adolescence and continuing across the life course, females are affected by depressive symptoms at approximately twice the rate as males (Nolen-Hoeksema & Jackson, 2001). In part, this increased vulnerability is thought to be related to interpersonal concerns including stronger orientation to and care for maintaining interpersonal relationships (Rudolph & Conley, 2005). Gender differences are likewise reported in the emotion regulation literature. In a meta-analysis investigating emotion regulation strategies, Tamres et al. (2002) describe that females report engaging in more types of regulation strategies than males. Nolen-Hoeksema and Aldao (2011) clarify that females are more likely to engage in both adaptive (e.g., reappraisal) and maladaptive emotion regulation strategies (e.g., rumination). However, little is understood about how gender impacts the interpersonal behaviours included in the current project. Excessive reassurance seeking seems to be more common among females (Prinstein et al., 2005), but there are no reported gender differences for negative feedback seeking.
Research investigating conversational self-focus has found either no gender differences (Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2009), or gender differences favouring females (Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2016). Moreover, gender differences in the proposed associations among depressive symptoms, emotion regulation deficits, and maladaptive interpersonal behaviours have not been examined. The current project investigates both mean-level gender differences in study variables as well as the role of gender in associations between emotion regulation, depressive symptoms, and each of the interpersonal behaviours. Considering mean-level gender differences favouring females for both depressive symptoms and emotion regulation, it is plausible that the strength of the associations between these two variables and each of the interpersonal behaviours is stronger for females.

**The current study**

Coyne’s interpersonal theory of depression (1976) describes the cyclical nature of depressive symptoms and maladaptive interpersonal behaviours. Prior research has investigated concurrent and longitudinal associations between depressive symptoms and excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking, and conversational self-focus. However, besides depressive symptoms, there is little research investigating vulnerability factors for the three behaviours. In the current study, we investigated the relative contributions of depressive symptoms and emotion regulation deficits. We hypothesised that emotion regulation difficulties would be associated with each of the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours over and above the effect of depressive symptoms. Additionally, in line with Coyne’s theory, we tested whether the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours mediated the association(s) between initial depressive symptoms and/or intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits and increased depressive symptoms over a short-term interval of four weeks. Finally, we investigated the potential role of gender in each of these associations.

**Method**

**Participants**

Participants were 291 (M age = 18.9 years, SD = 2.09; 60% female) undergraduate students enrolled in introductory psychology courses at a mid-sized public university in New England. Racial identity was reported by participants as: 93.8% White, 3.8% Asian, 2.7% Black or African American, 1.7% American Indian/Alaskan Native, and <1% Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. Ethnic identity was reported as 95.5% Not Hispanic/Latino and 4.5% Hispanic/Latino, reflecting the general population from which the sample was drawn.

**Procedure**

Data for the current project were drawn from a larger study investigating friendship formation and emotional adjustment among older adolescents. Participants were recruited from a university undergraduate student subject pool. All participants were at least 18 years of age and provided written consent to participate. Participants were scheduled to attend an initial laboratory session (Time 1 assessment) in which they completed a battery of self-report measures and other laboratory tasks not relevant to the current study. Approximately four weeks later, participants completed another battery of online questionnaires via Qualtrics © (Time 2 assessment). All participants were compensated with academic course credit.

**Measures**

**Demographics.** Participants reported basic demographic data including age, gender identity, ethnicity identity, and racial identity at Time 1.

**Emotion regulation deficits.** Difficulties in emotion regulation were assessed at Time 1 using the Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS; Gratz & Roemer, 2004). The DERS includes 36 items that assess deficits in six areas including nonacceptance of emotional responses, difficulties engaging in goal directed behaviour, impulse control difficulties, lack of emotional awareness, limited access to emotion regulation strategies, and lack of emotional clarity. Participants rated each item using a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (almost never) to 5 (almost always). Scores were the sum of participants’ responses to all items. The measure showed excellent internal consistency at Time 1 (α = .95).

**Depressive symptoms.** Depressive symptoms were assessed at Time 1 and Time 2 using the Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977). The CES-D includes 20 items and assesses affective, behavioural, cognitive, interpersonal, and somatic symptoms of depression.
Participants reported the frequency of experiencing each symptom over the past week using a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (Rarely or none of the time) to 3 (Most or all of the time). Participants’ overall scores were determined by summing responses to all items. The overall measures showed excellent internal consistency at Time 1 (α = .93) and Time 2 (α = .93).

**Excessive reassurance seeking.** Excessive reassurance seeking was assessed at Time 1 using four items adapted from the Depressive Interpersonal Relationships Inventory (DIRI, Joiner & Metalsky, 2001). Participants indicated how often they repeatedly seek assurances from others on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (Not at all) to 7 (Extremely often). Excessive reassurance seeking scores were the mean of participants’ responses to the four items. Internal consistency of items at Time 1 was good (α = .88).

**Negative feedback seeking.** Negative feedback seeking was assessed at Time 1 using the Joiner and colleagues (1997) adaptation of the Feedback Seeking Questionnaire (FSQ; Swann, Wenzlaff, Krull, et al., 1992). The measure includes six questions in each of five domains (e.g., social competence, academic competence, athletic skills, physical attractiveness, artistic/musical competence). Participants are instructed to select as many of the questions from each domain as they would like to have a friend answer about them. Three of the questions for each section are positively worded (e.g., “What is some evidence you have seen that I have good social skills?”), and three are negatively worded (e.g., “What is some evidence you have seen that I do not have good social skills?”). Participants’ scores were created by summing the number of negatively-worded questions they selected across all five domains.

**Conversational self-focus.** Conversational self-focus was assessed at Time 1 using six self-report items that measure participants’ tendency to turn conversations to themselves when speaking with a friend (Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2009). Participants responded to each item using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Not at all true) to 5 (Really true). Conversational self-focus scores were the mean of participants’ responses to all six items. The measure showed acceptable internal consistency at Time 1 (α = .76).

**Missing data**

Some participants in the study had missing data. Specifically, at Time 1 < 1% of participants did not report on excessive reassurance seeking or conversational self-focus, and 6.5% of participants did not complete the Time 2 assessment and so were missing Time 2 depressive symptoms data. Little’s test indicated that these data were missing completely at random (MCAR) $X^2(39) = 46.66$, $p = .19$. As such, missing data were imputed using an expectation maximum likelihood estimation procedure, and the full sample was retained for analyses.

**Results**

**Descriptive statistics and correlations**

Power analyses were conducted using G*Power software and suggested that our sample was suitable to detect a medium effect (power. 95) for our analyses. All analyses were conducted using SPSS 26.0. Means, standard deviations, and correlations among study variables are presented in Table 1. Depressive symptoms were stable over four weeks and were correlated with emotion regulation deficits at both time points. Overall and as expected, both Time 1 depressive symptoms and deficits in emotion regulation were positively and significantly correlated with each of the three interpersonal behaviours, but only excessive reassurance seeking and conversational self-focus were correlated with Time 2 depressive symptoms. In terms of associations among interpersonal behaviours, excessive reassurance seeking was significantly and positively correlated with negative feedback seeking, but conversational self-focus was not significantly related to either.

**Mean-level gender differences**

Mean-level gender differences were tested for each study variable by conducting independent samples t-tests. Females did not differ from males with regard to Time 1 depressive symptoms, emotion regulation deficits, conversational self-focus, or negative feedback seeking. Significant mean-level gender differences were observed for excessive reassurance seeking ($t = 2.71$, df = 288; $p = .007$) and Time 2 depressive symptoms ($t = 2.33$, df = 288; $p = .02$). Specifically, females reported higher levels of excessive reassurance seeking [females $M$ (SD) = 1.82 (1.22); males $M$ (SD) = 1.49 (0.83)] and higher levels of Time 2 depressive symptoms [females $M$ (SD) = 10.72 (10.59); males $M$ (SD) = 8.15 (8.11)] than did males.
Table 1. Descriptive Statistics and Correlations among Study Variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
<th>4.</th>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. T1 CES-D</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>.78****</td>
<td>.44****</td>
<td>.15**</td>
<td>.19***</td>
<td>.60****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. T1 DERS</td>
<td>77.37</td>
<td>23.62</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>.51****</td>
<td>.20***</td>
<td>.29****</td>
<td>.62****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. T1 ERS</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>.17**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>.45****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. T1 NFS</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. T1 CSF</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>.19***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. T2 CES-D</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *p < .05; **p ≤ .01; ***p ≤ .001; ****p < .0001.

CES-D = depressive symptoms. DERS = difficulties in emotion regulation. ERS = excessive reassurance seeking. NFS = negative feedback seeking. CSF = conversational self-focus.

**Associations of emotion regulation deficits and depressive symptoms with interpersonal behaviours**

A series of multiple regression models were tested to examine whether emotion regulation deficits and depressive symptoms independently were associated with each of the three interpersonal behaviours of interest. Separate models were tested for excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking, and conversational self-focus. In each model, Time 1 depressive symptoms and Time 1 emotion regulation deficits were entered as simultaneous independent variables, and the Time 1 interpersonal behaviour was the dependent variable. Due to the correlation between emotion regulation deficits and depressive symptoms, the potential for multicollinearity and its impact on regression models was assessed in each model using the variance inflation factor (VIF). The calculated VIF for all models was equal to 1, suggesting that multicollinearity was not an issue.

Subsequent analyses were conducted to determine if any of the relations of interest were further moderated by gender. In each case, the gender model was identical to the original model except that the main effect of gender, the interaction of emotion regulation deficits and gender, and the interaction of depressive symptoms and gender were added.

First, a model for excessive reassurance seeking was tested. The main effect of depressive symptoms was not significant in this model ($\beta = 0.11, p = .17$). However, for the main effect of Time 1 emotion regulation deficits was significant ($\beta = 0.43, p < .001$). Analyses next tested whether the effects of depressive symptoms or emotion regulation deficits were further moderated by gender. Neither of the two-way interactions with gender were significant.

Similarly, in the negative feedback seeking model, there was a significant positive main effect of Time 1 emotion regulation deficits ($\beta = .20, p = .03$), but the effect of Time 1 depressive symptoms was not significant ($\beta = -.01, p = .95$). In the model testing gender differences, none of the two-way interactions with gender were significant.

In the conversational self-focus model, the main effect of Time 1 emotion regulation deficits was significant ($\beta = 0.38, p < .001$) over and above the main effect of depressive symptoms, which was not significant ($\beta = -0.11, p = .23$). Finally, in the model testing potential gender differences, none of the two-way interactions with gender were significant.

Taken together, results indicate that emotion regulation deficits were associated with each of the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours over and above the effect of depressive symptoms. Moreover, the impact of depressive symptoms on each interpersonal behaviour was not significant when emotion regulation deficits were included in each model. Gender did not further moderate any of these associations, suggesting that the associations were similar for those participants identifying as male and female.

**Interpersonal behaviour as a mediator of the emotion regulation-depression association**

Analyses next tested whether each interpersonal behaviour significantly mediated the association of Time 1 emotion regulation deficits with Time 2 depressive symptoms over four weeks. Separate mediation models were tested for excessive reassurance seeking, conversational self-focus, and negative feedback seeking. Building on the analyses above, in each model, Time 1 depressive symptoms and Time 1 emotion regulation deficits were included as predictor variables, the Time 1 interpersonal behaviour was included as the mediator, and Time 2 depressive symptoms was the outcome variable. By effectively controlling for Time 1 depressive symptoms in each mediation model, results could speak to short-term
changes in depressive symptoms over four weeks. Additional analyses were conducted to determine whether gender significantly moderated each potential mediated effect.

The first mediation model tested included excessive reassurance (see Figure 1, Panel A). Time 1 emotion regulation deficits were associated with Time 1 excessive reassurance seeking, over and above the impact of Time 1 depressive symptoms, which was not significant ($\beta = .11, p = .17$). Excessive reassurance was then associated with increased depressive symptoms over four weeks. The indirect effect (IE) of excessive reassurance seeking was significant (standardised IE = $.07; 95% CI: .004, .153), indicating that excessive reassurance seeking significantly mediated the association between initial emotion regulation deficits and increased depressive symptoms over time.

In the mediation model including negative feedback seeking, Time 1 emotion regulation deficits were associated with Time 1 negative feedback seeking over and above the impact of Time 1 depressive symptoms, which was not significant ($\beta = -.01, p = .95$). Negative feedback seeking was not significantly associated with increases in depressive symptoms, and the indirect effect of negative feedback seeking in the association of initial emotion regulation deficits and later depressive symptoms was not significant (standardised IE = .00; 95% CI: -.02, .02).

In the mediation model including conversational self-focus, emotion regulation deficits, but not Time 1 depressive symptoms ($\beta = -.11, p = .23$), were associated with Time 1 conversational self-focus. Conversational self-focus was not significantly associated with increases in depressive symptoms over time.

**Figure 1.** Effects of emotion regulation deficits on later depressive symptoms via maladaptive interpersonal behaviour. Notes. *$p < .05$. **$p < .01$. ***$p < .0001$. DERS = difficulties in emotion regulation. CES-D = depressive symptoms. ERS = excessive reassurance seeking. NFS = negative feedback seeking. CSF = conversational self-focus.
The indirect effect of conversational self-focus in the association between initial emotion regulation deficits and later depressive symptoms also was not significant (standardised IE = .01; 95% CI: −.02, .05).

Finally, analyses tested whether gender moderated any aspect of the mediation models. Specifically, gender was tested as a moderator of the path from Time 1 depressive symptoms to each interpersonal behaviour (mediator), from Time 1 emotion regulation deficits to the mediator, from the mediator to Time 2 depressive symptoms, and from Time 1 emotion regulation deficits to Time 2 depressive symptoms. Gender did not significantly moderate any paths tested in any of the three mediation models.

Discussion

Coyne’s interpersonal theory of depression describes that those with depressive symptoms engage close others in maladaptive interpersonal efforts to assuage distress (Coyne, 1976). Excessive reassurance seeking (e.g., Evraire & Dozois, 2011), negative feedback seeking (e.g., Borelli & Prinstein, 2006; Joiner & Metalsky, 1995), and conversational self-focus (e.g., Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2009, 2016) are three interpersonal behaviours characteristic of those with depressive symptoms. Apart from occurring among some with depressive symptoms, little is understood about correlates of these behaviours. The current project explored the role of intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits on these maladaptive interpersonal behaviours. Moreover, in line with the cyclical pattern described by Coyne (1976), research supports bidirectional associations between depressive symptoms and maladaptive interpersonal emotion regulation (e.g., Borelli & Prinstein, 2006; Haeffel et al., 2007). Considering the “chicken-or-the-egg” nature of these associations, the current project further considered the role of deficits in intrapersonal emotion regulation as a possible catalyst for these bidirectional associations.

The first aim of the study was to examine the unique impacts of depressive symptoms and emotion regulation deficits on each of the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours. Although initial depressive symptoms and emotion regulation deficits were each positively correlated with one another and with excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking, and conversational self-focus, only emotion regulation deficits were uniquely associated with each behaviour. That is, when both depressive symptoms and deficits in emotion regulation were entered into the same regression model as independent variables, only the effect of emotion regulation deficits was significant. These effects did not significantly differ according to participants’ gender identity. The regression model results underscore the importance of investigating underlying correlates of excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking, and conversational self-focus beyond depressive symptoms. This study was the first to examine the influence of intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits in the context of excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking, and conversational self-focus in older adolescents and supports initial findings from middle adolescence (Schwartz-Mette et al., 2021) that underlying intrapersonal emotion regulation deficits may be associated with interpersonal attempts to regulate distress.

As excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking, and conversational self-focus appear to be linked more strongly to emotion regulation deficits than with depressive symptoms, future research may explore these three behaviours as possible transdiagnostic factors. Emerging evidence may support this notion. Dixon-Gordon et al. (2018) have investigated excessive reassurance seeking as a potential transdiagnostic factor of personality and anxiety disorders. Interestingly, reassurance seeking was positively associated with borderline personality disorder and anxiety and was inversely associated with depressive symptoms. To date, associations of negative feedback seeking and conversational self-focus with regard to non-depressive disorders are understudied. Future research could investigate whether excessive reassurance seeking, negative feedback seeking, and conversational self-focus are indeed characteristic of multiple forms of distress and, perhaps, particularly those forms of psychopathology for which emotion regulation deficits are central.

In addition to exploring the relative contributions of deficits in emotion regulation and depressive symptoms to each of the interpersonal behaviours, we explored whether initial associations between emotion regulation deficits and the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours then led to short-term changes in depressive symptoms over a four-week interval. Prior research supports that depressive symptoms give rise to the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours (e.g., Prinstein et al., 2005) and that the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours give rise to increased depressive
symptoms (e.g., Davila, 2001). Our data builds on these findings to suggest that emotion regulation deficits are associated with the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours over and above depressive symptoms. Thus, it was important to then investigate how this association may impact depressive symptoms over time. Interestingly, excessive reassurance seeking mediated the association between initial deficits in emotion regulation and increased depressive symptoms over time, and this was the case for both males and females. This finding supports and extends Coyne’s assertions that distressed individuals may turn to close others for repeated assurances that they are truly liked and cared for and this then leads to increased depressive symptoms. The current data cannot speak to why excessive reassurance seeking leads to increased depressive symptoms, but the interpersonal emotion regulation literature, as well as research informed by interpersonal theories of depression, may hold some clues.

In particular, Dixon-Gordon et al. (2015) described that maladaptive behaviours like excessive reassurance seeking are potential attempts at interpersonal emotion regulation with consequences for psychopathology. This fits with existing research demonstrating that excessive reassurance seeking does predict depressive symptoms over time (Davila, 2001; Etraire & Dozois, 2011). This result also helps to contextualise the findings related to contributions of emotion regulation deficits to excessive reassurance seeking in the broader context of interpersonal theories of depression. That is, although regulation deficits were more predictive of excessive reassurance seeking than were depressive symptoms, reassurance seeking did in fact contribute to increased depressive symptoms over time. Future research should evaluate whether excessive reassurance seeking predicts increased difficulties in intrapersonal emotion regulation. It may be that the behaviour leads to depressive symptoms because it is ineffective in regulating distress and may even contribute to greater intrapersonal regulation problems over time.

Of note, neither negative feedback seeking nor conversational self-focus contributed to increased depressive symptoms in this way. Additional studies are needed to replicate these results and to further our understanding of why this might be the case. One possibility for further inquiry is to examine the utility of the assessment measures typically used to quantify these constructs. The measure used to assess negative feedback seeking (Joiner et al., 1997) prompts participants to indicate which positive questions (e.g., What is my best asset?) and/or which negative questions (e.g., What is my worst asset?) in six different domains (e.g., social competence, academic competence, physical attractiveness) they would like a friend to answer about them. It is possible that the measure captures only some relevant domains for negative feedback seeking and misses others. It also could be that the measure captures more of the cognitive aspects of negative feedback seeking (i.e., what one wants to know), as opposed to the behavioural components (i.e., what one actually asks about).

With respect to conversational self-focus, the self-report measure has been used in only two other published studies (Dueweke & Schwartz-Mette, 2018; Schwartz-Mette et al., 2021), and additional validity data is needed. The measure asks participants to indicate how they think they behave when speaking with friends about problems, but does not capture the degree of distress associated with the problems. Additionally, this level of perspective-taking may be difficult for those who engage in the behaviour, precluding accurate self-reports. For each of the maladaptive interpersonal behaviours assessed in the current study, observational methodologies and peer report may be helpful in obtaining objective measures of these behaviours in future research. Prior research investigating conversational self-focus, for example, has used observational data to measure the construct (e.g., Schwartz-Mette & Rose, 2016).

The current project is an initial investigation into the associations between emotion regulation deficits, maladaptive interpersonal behaviours, and depressive symptoms and has several limitations and associated directions for future research. With respect to study design, the sample reflected the racial and ethnic identities of the population from which it was drawn and, as such, included a relatively racially homogeneous group of older adolescents. Future research including greater diversity of racial, ethnic, and other underrepresented identities will shed important light on whether these findings are generalisable. Additionally, data were examined at two timepoints over a four-week interval. Although the present project helps to clarify the relative associations of depressive symptoms and emotion dysregulation for each of the three interpersonal behaviours, the four-week interval may not fully capture increases
in depressive symptoms. Future research could measure each variable at multiple timepoints over an expanded interval to investigate more complex models and extended longitudinal effects.

Additionally, although there exists emerging theoretical support to suggest these behaviours are attempts at interpersonal emotion regulation (Dixon-Gordon et al., 2015; Marroquín, 2011), there is scant empirical support for this association. Future research that more directly tests the motivations for engaging in these behaviours and the impacts of these behaviours on adolescents’ emotion regulation capabilities is needed. Relatedly, the current study only examined linkages with general emotion regulation deficits; future studies could examine associations with specific emotion regulation strategies (e.g., reappraisal, acceptance, distraction, rumination, etc.) to further clarify our understanding.

Moreover, although a range of depressive symptoms was observed in the current sample, this was not a clinical study. The level of depressive symptomatology may have important implications for study results. At very low levels of depressive symptoms, individuals may not be motivated to engage in these more maladaptive attempts and may instead engage in other, less aversive depression-related conversational processes such as co-rumination (Rose, 2002). As depression levels increase, individuals may have fewer social supports (Coyne, 1976), and thus fewer opportunities to engage in these behaviours with close others. It is not known what role the level of symptoms may play in these associations, and future research is needed to elucidate this.

Finally, only self-report measures were used in this study. Future studies employing multiple methods of assessment, such as observations, are needed. Observations of maladaptive interpersonal behaviour could address at least two potential issues. First, observations of problematic behaviour may be more objective than self-reports. Studies that incorporate both self-report and observations of behaviour could help to clarify any discrepancies between these sources of information and provide important direction for researchers designing new studies of these constructs. Second, the self-report measures of interpersonal behaviour pertain to general (and perhaps stable) behavioural tendencies. Again, using more objective indices (e.g., observations, ecological momentary assessment) when testing these associations in future studies would enhance confidence in these initial results.

**Clinical implications**

Despite the need for future research, the current study offers some potential clinical implications for individuals struggling with emotion regulation deficits. Results suggest that attending to underlying intra-personal emotion regulation deficits, as opposed to depressive symptoms, may help to prevent some of the negative consequences of maladaptive interpersonal behaviours. That is, individuals with regulation problems could be offered more adaptive regulation strategies to be enacted in the interpersonal context. What is more, this type of intervention may have downstream consequences for preventing increased depressive symptoms over time.
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