The University of Maine DigitalCommons@UMaine

Fannie Hardy Eckstorm Papers

Wabanaki Collection

2018

Correspondence to Dr. Charles E. Banks 1930

Fannie Hardy Eckstorm

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/eckstorm_papers
Part of the <u>Anthropology Commons</u>, <u>History Commons</u>, and the <u>Linguistics Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Eckstorm, Fannie Hardy, "Correspondence to Dr. Charles E. Banks 1930" (2018). Fannie Hardy Eckstorm Papers. Submission 25. https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/eckstorm_papers/25

 $This Book is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @UMaine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fannie Hardy Eckstorm \\ Papers by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @UMaine. For more information, please contact um.library.technical.services@maine.edu.$

lov ber 10,1930

Dear Doctor manks:

In re Saco, your only error of importance seems to be in getting the root separated out. I think we can make it.

Tooker as leaning upon Trumbull, I should say, when he spoke of "Saco in vaine [as] another form of the word." I happen to have Trumbull's paper on geographical names (in Conn. Hist. Godls., vol. II) open upon my table so it mill be no thouble to quote the passage for you. Tooker and mrumbull both were at a great disadvantage in not knowing our Maine dialects, or languages. We had at least three in Maine, and the Michael Are another, and they all vary decidedly. It is indeed true that Vineyard Indian would have great difficulty in communicating with some of our eastern tribes. A menobalot has to learn Guoddy (Malisest), and can't talk with Michael According to Champlain and pascarbot, their Michael cold not talke with the gaco Tudians.

But, the case Indians were Souriganis Alcouchiquois, and much resembled the "assochusetts Indians. They were sedentary, agricultural, lived in stockaded villages and in general resembled the gay Indians. Therefore I think it permissible to try to explain some of their clace-names by the watick language or Roger Villiams' warragensett. (I mean not, the Indians of the coast; the upper Saco Indians seem to be to be Abnaki)

Now taking your long list of occurrences date! from 1614 to 1629, the forms are all very much alike. To me, accustomed to hearing the language spoken, the words are all good forms and very similar. There must have been a strong masal at the beginning so that Swackadock and a anckadocke and awacotock are near alike as they would be spoken.

The interchange of N and U is very common indeed and may eccount for some of the spellings, but I think that some one spe king with a stronger masal than usual would also produce the form.

Le do not need to rick and choose among the forms you give. Sacov is nothing more than one of them with the tail out off. Lengthen the a to a diphthong and you have the word, less the -tegu,k.

(And, by the way, I has forgotten pasle s definition of Tex8 as flot, but it is precisely that I save you, wave. I think Trumbull too recise in his insistence upon "tidal river"; that does not lie in the root; I don't know the application of it in his regions; but the must not force it upon us there, because up here it has no such meaning.)

How, granting that we may got to the watick in this case, I find we are fairly overcome with the duplications of this words; I can't begin to give a list of them.

Sackatucket, Har ich ,wass. Sangus, Lynn Sahnchecontuckout, Edgarton (1608) Sanohecantacket Sakesset, mer ehoboth (1 5) Sanckotuck Wentucket (1691) Sankrohomen , Nonotuck (t. Tom) Mag. 1391 S tucket saguatucket Saughtucket, Duxbury SaukreakS weature et R. Son a Eingston Sugathcket Saugatuck R., Westfort, Conn Soakatuck R Saukrohonk , Indian d ed, 1853, Sauk onk 1974 ,see as above, plus word for ladd Sanl ohoncum And so on. -he meaning almost invariably "at the outlet" The best exam les are the following: Sawahquatock, mouth of erring River, Harwich, Mass Sawkatucket, same Sawkatuckkett, prewater, .ass.

The third above is identical with your 1673 form!

very similar. I look the from houghts-vit so as Dictionary in a few minutes. The only one I noticed with a prefix was MASSAUGATUCKET, in Marshfleid, semina "great outlet tidal river".

There is nothing against your suprosition that a prefix

like Wasa- or wise-, perhaps shortened to W. did not origin lly
belong to our Sowacotuck, for renewably in taking do n indian from
an intelligent man of the remunciation, I have sometimes caught
and sometimes missed an initial W., so etimes important to the
sense, because I am sure he by no means invariably uttered the full
ord. The strongest argument against it is that in the southern
were England forms it seems to have been so rare.

On the other hand, there is this to be said of our Maine forms, which upholds the idea that very ps it had no prefix (unless the M') that they had a way of speaking of whatever was most important of its kind as the mountain (K tahdin), the island (Mt. Desert, Grand Menan), the lake (Moosehead, Sebago). Saco R. being the largest outlet slong that shore until you get to Piscataqua it is not impossible that they called it simply The Outlet, meaning the Grand Decharge, as the French put it.

Champlain's Chouaceit is a good form. It also shows no sign of a prefix. Probably he pronounced the word Show-a-co-it, which is he rer to your forms than the French usually came, good enough to be accepted althout challenge.

I do not find the southern form of outlet-names common in Taine. Doughas-Lithgow gives Sawacook as on north side of Pejerscot, To spam", but no date or authority. Sagadahock is Abraki, and forms east of there, like sunkheath, cankheat, Sunkhaze, Sahkkehagan are distinctly eastern and northern.

This summer I got from an old Quoddy Indian a story sing lary mixed up regarding the creat battle between the Michaels and the Saco Indians in 1607. There was no doubt at all of the location of the place, for we know it from both Champlain and Lencarbot. This Indian called the place both Sakdiankiak and Sakatiankiak. It seems to analyze

SAKAT(Sakd) -- I -- AMK-- IAK
Outlet his sand-bar place
AMP(F), or UMP(K) are about our easist roots to mick out. The
root is as gravel, sand, and often a sand-bar or gravel-bar.
There is no question about this scaning one "gravel-bar outlet".
But I find no trace of gravel in the old word, which is distinctly southern.

Again in relands Algonkin regends of wew England, a penouscot woman, show we knee very well, scenks of the caco (p. 123) as Sammadihawk. She is speaking Penobscot, and as beland was not very dependable in his Indian forms, I presume she said. Sanghediauk, or just "The Outlet", berhaps our ordinary name for the caco, certainly not referring to the Intervales where her leveld was located.

The trou la sith your anlysis lies in your mistaking the length of the first element. It is not Sa-manqua-tuck, but Sawak-i-tuck. The watick Dictionary gives no word for outlet and I can get at it only indirectly ,but in that book Trumbull gives

sagket, saket. saketog, sur ositive from sonkeu, s8hkeu, or suhkou, he nours out.

This clearly is our SAUK, SAUAK- elevent. If we did not have here a form-ittuckfor "liver", live the Delawares, I should wake the word

SAWAK--- I--- TUC---K Outlet- his- river-vl-ce

equivalent to "At the outlet of the river" .Still this does not complete ly satisfy. Every river has an outlet; by this in sec al?

If I could only find a form with a m in it, I should know I had it right. Sawa(n)catuck, Sawayan(n)tock, Swacka(m)dock would be perfect form for "Outlet-or vel-river-place", "At the river with a gravel bar at the outlet". What I have found in penopsect and walis et almost justifies the supposition that there was once a masel here which the early travellers and settlers discarded as unimportant. I could so easily pronounce the word to give it just that necessary missing root! And a masel is so hard for our English ears to hear and throats to utter!

and "gravel" in our northern speech. With our swift rivers, given to freshets, of course e get for gravels than sands in the shoul claces. I believe the root UMP, ONB, ONK, whatever it may be (for I am not storeing to work out such details, since I write at letter speed and not as a real "uttermore") rems bot with us. The watick form, as given Eliot is very different for sand, and has a question mark after rivel, high y judge was is own invention to fill a need in his ranslation; it looks like a manufactured word.

I am not entiafied with my report on Agamenticus. It was a guess only, perhaps a bad one, for I can't find that weashen, island, was used in composition. But I throw out the "over cross" idea though I would like headh root, if applicable; but I know it is not to York River. I susject the Agamenticus sland which bothered me on yeaness's map has Care "eddick! The bub le is not big enough. What I s in to you I have no copy of and can't remember; but it was not a slightly utterance. Better try again. And there are Cabot and canong, both much better men than I am, sho can not pretend to any great Indian acquirements; so follow my spiels with some caution! Just now I have taken up Symmes and Pipuacket again I think I have made out Pigwacket hard and faat.

Dear Doctor panks:

perlying to yours of the 15th regarding caco, you are correct in supposing that the Indian would not make as much of the article The as I did in trying to bring out a usage. we had no definite, (or indefinite) articles and when he was emphatic he had to use Missi, Massa, Keght, K't and the like prefixes. Usually also a personal prenoun was prefixed to his nouns, -- my, thy, his, etc -- where the sense permitted. The emphasis which I indicated by underscoring The even we English usually get by making the noun emphatic. were on the penobscot, we do not often use the river, s name, but we say "I am going across the River", I am going out to the Rivers, with just enough stress on the noun (which I have indicated by a capital) to make the hearer certain what river is meant. So of Moosehead, "I am going to the Lake tomorrow" always used to mean here, going to Moosehead, ake although it was sixty miles away and innumerable other lakes, many of them large, lay such nearer.

Saco meaning, the Outlet? A little vocal stress upon a word sometimes makes it enough more important to remove uit from the class of common nouns to that of proper nouns. Where the Indians lacked a definite article, I think they could "take up the slack" in this way, and that Saco, the Outlet, would be understood anywhere west of "agadahock, which is substantialy the same, and of Sunkheath (at eorge, s River) which I assume to be the same. (The Penobscot had no "outlet" below Bucksport and I know no use of the word on this river)

If you wished I could state the case and and duplicates to canong and cabot, asking them whether they assented. That would be safe, for if they agreed, we have all in _ew England whom I know, who would be interested. On the other hand, it would man more delay and perhaps they would not agree. But I am willing to do it, if you wish. I don't like to leave a thing half-way.

About -ittuck I can't say. probably it smight be hidden under -atuck, bjut I can't recall a parallel, and I dislike to pronounce upon a single case when no volabulary exists of the language of southwestern waine. It is quite probable, but not provable. S

Somewhere I have marked on my late topographical chart the points Champlain gave in his map, as printed in the definitive edition of Champlain, which Ganong edited for the northeast. From that chart I could tell just what he meant, but not just now.

Thank you for the post-morten on Symmes. I see that like most people he died for lack of breath. With your other decision I am not quite agreeable, because I can prove that it means the other thing. "The day after the fight, being the Sabbath and the 9th", means that the Fight was on the Sabbath, not that Jones was lost that day. I have too other cross-references which prove my opinion that "being" means "which was" and refers to the Fight. However, with nothing to stay my position, I should probably accept your decision.

Lady wene, s picture I should be most pleased to own.

Probably it would tell me nothing, but I'd like to see her. There area few points yet to be unearthed about the Vanes, after I am through with Thomas Symmes.

Mail about to leave. Thanks for your enclosure. I remember Dr. True coming to see my father many years ago. He passessed zeal without knowledge. cincerely,

Dear Doctor panks:

Your word <u>Wannametonname</u> is most interesting but ,although I have put in quite a bit of time on it, I have not found anything contributory to your own bit of surgery.

It does not look nor seem like our northern Abnaki. I should call it the southern language and one more evidence that the Indians of York were like those of assachusetts.

I have gone through the watick Dictionary and Lithgor's lists for the various states, and see several words which are a little like it. Wullamanick, Brookfield, Mass., deed of 1673, said to mean "vermilion, red paint", and applied to a hill there.

Woolummonuppoque, Dedham, Mass., no meaning assigned. Also R.Williams whom you quote, Wunnam, red-painting, but perhaps only equivalent to "handsome".

The change from "1" to "n" does not trouble me at all:

it was common among some of the tribes. Like yourself, I should

say that wannam would stand for wuhlem and that would shift into

wullum, or cole, without change of ger.

Our penobscot word for good, pleasant, handsome is ouls,

oole, probably also wulle, though I can't cite an instance. (Yes,

Woolasticook, St. John River, a good river, that is, one without
bad falls or rapids, good for the canceman.)

The second syllable makes the adn, or etn root for hill?

But I am puzzled by the ending. I should suppose it stood for one syllable, am; but I can't see what I am to do with the am

(Hif Hi 'ad some heggs, I could tell!) There is nothing about the word to denote a pond that I can see; apparently a hill-word.

Turning to our northern dialect and pasle, I do not find in any of my names listed anything like it. We have words for "red paint Olamon, the town, means just that; we promounce it O-lah-mon, when we do not say Old Lemon. We get it again at Katahdan ron Works in Oolammonongamook, also called Munolammonungun and Mummyrungin.

This comes from Rasless and the Changing the r to 1, as we usually have to do with his words, we get oolamon, back where we started. The whole word Munolammonungun seems to mean "red-paint works", where they dig it outby instruments.

I have looked through the three volumes of the State Scientift Survey of 1861,2,5 and through Dr. Jackson's Surveyy 1836,7,8 and find nothing relating to red haematite (iron ore) being found in York. That is the Red Paint of the Katahdin Iron Works. I have just tried to get Walter B. Smith, or archaeologist and "Red Paint Indian" man, a good geologist, to tell me if he knew of any red ore near York; but have failed to get his house. However, it would not take much of it to give the hill its name, and if you know of any bog iron ore near the ponds you speak of, then that is your sword. The red paint idea seems to me the most likely one of all. They valued the stuff so highly that even a small spring exuding iron deposits might be sufficient.

As to the scarcity of Indians, you see the big war with the Micmacs in 1607 took off some and then the pestilence in 1618 circa probably swept away most of them. Quite likely the Micmacs (the Tarrateens) of the early writers) came up again and finished the job and the people were exterminated by 1634. As f r burials, I could cite some instances about Saco if I wished to take the time. But probably those who did not die and lie above ground sent south to escape the Micmacs hen they sept the country.

I want very much to know about the moon on May 9,1725. Could you find it in an old almanac? now, full or old, time of setting, or rising.

Scant room for a name even. F.H.E.