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ABSTRACT. A procedure is presented for using a simple flowline model to calculate the fraction of the bed that is thawed beneath present-day ice sheets, and therefore for mapping thawed, frozen, melting and freezing basal thermal zones. The procedure is based on the proposition, easily demonstrated, that variations in surface slope along ice flowlines are due primarily to variations in bed topography and ice–bed coupling, where ice–bed coupling for sheet flow is represented by the basal thawed fraction. This procedure is then applied to the central flowlines of flow bands on the Antarctic ice sheet where accumulation rates, surface elevations and bed topography are mapped with sufficient accuracy, and where sheet flow rather than stream flow prevails.

In East Antarctica, the usual condition is a low thawed fraction in subglacial highlands, but a high thawed fraction in subglacial basins and where ice converges on ice streams. This is consistent with a greater depression of the basal melting temperature and a slower rate of conducting basal heat to the surface where ice is thick, and greater basal frictional heat production where ice flow is fast, as expected for steady-state flow. This correlation is reduced or even reversed where steady-state flow has been disrupted recently, notably where ice-stream surges produced the Bihéle and Dalton Iceberg Tongues, both of which are now stagnating.

In West Antarctica, for ice draining into the Pine Island Bay polynya of the Amundsen Sea, the basal thawed fraction is consistent with a prolonged and ongoing surge of Pine Island Glacier and with a recently initiated surge of Thwaites Glacier. For ice draining into the Ross Ice Shelf, long ice streams extend nearly to the West Antarctic ice divide. Over the rugged bed topography near the ice divide, no correlation consistent with steady-state sheet flow exists between ice thickness and the basal thawed fraction. The bed is wholly thawed beneath ice streams, even where stream flow is slow. This is consistent with ongoing gravitational collapse of ice entering the Ross Sea embayment and with unstable flow in the ice streams.

INTRODUCTION
It has long been recognized that rapid surge-like, partial or total gravitational, collapse in all or parts of the Antarctic ice sheet, with a concomitant rapid rise in global sea level, depends on the bed beneath the surging portions passing from a frozen to a thawed condition (e.g. Wilson, 1964; Weertman, 1966, 1969; McInnes and others, 1985, 1986; Jensen and others, 1985; Radok and others, 1986; MacAyeal, 1992; Verbitsky and Saltzman, 1997). Thermomechanical ice-sheet models, beginning with Budd and others (1970, 1971) and continuing in the past decade (e.g. Huybrechts, 1990; Hansen and Greve, 1996; Fastook, 1997; Verbitsky and Saltzman, 1997), aspire to map the thawed portions of the bed. All of these modeling studies show that the thawed fraction of the bed is highly sensitive to the basal geothermal heat flux and to past and present surface temperatures and accumulation rates.

It is unlikely that any of these model inputs will ever be known with the accuracy needed to map the thawed fraction of the bed using even the most sophisticated time-dependent three-dimensional thermomechanical models. Ubiquitous drilling into bedrock under the ice sheet could produce an adequate map of the geothermal flux, and ice cores recovered from the drill holes would yield data on past surface temperatures and accumulation rates, but that would be prohibitively expensive, and ice-core data could be compatible with a variety of surface conditions. Even present-day surface temperatures and accumulation rates are not known with the accuracy or uniform distribution required for these sophisticated models.

Apart from ice-core studies, Antarctic surface temperatures and accumulation rates over time could also be provided as output from climate models. For the output to be reliable, however, the climate models would need to accurately couple the dynamics of the atmosphere, oceans, biosphere, ice sheets and sea ice during the last glaciation cycle. Thus, attaining one modeling objective, mapping the basal thawed fraction under the Antarctic ice sheet, relies on even more problematic modeling enterprises. At least time-dependent three-dimensional ice-sheet models exist. No such climate models exist. The best atmospheric circulation models provide only steady-state simulations.

These difficulties might be sidestepped by an ice-sheet model that computes the thawed fraction of the bed under the Antarctic ice sheet from model input that is independent of the basal geothermal heat flux and is only weakly dependent on surface temperatures and accumulation rates. Such a model, and a map of the thawed fraction computed from the model, is presented here. The model uses ice thickness and ice-surface slope variations along flowlines of the Ant-
arctic ice sheet to determine ice–bed coupling for sheet flow, as measured by the thawed fraction of the bed. The thawed fraction is only weakly dependent on variations in surface temperatures and accumulation rates along the flowlines. The basal geothermal heat flux contributes to thawing the bed, but need not be known in order to map the thawed fraction using this model.

THE MODEL

The model is simple but not simplistic. It is based on the observation by Nye (1952) that ice-surface elevations along an ice-sheet flowline can be calculated if the bed topography and the basal shear stress, equated with the gravitational driving stress, are known. The driving stress $\tau_0$ for sheet flow was obtained from the force balance by BGS (1949):

$$\tau_0 = \rho g z h_i \alpha,$$

where $\rho$ is ice density, $g$ is gravity acceleration in the vertical $z$ direction, $h_i$ is ice thickness and $\alpha$ is ice-surface slope. This is the shallow-ice approximation for small bed slopes (Hutter, 1983). Let ice-surface elevation $h$ increase by increment $\Delta h$ in increment $\Delta x$ measured along horizontal distance $x$ from the ice-sheet margin, following a flowline, and let $h_R$ be the bedrock elevation above or below sea level at distance $x$ along the flowline. Then $h_i = h - h_R$ and $\alpha = \Delta h / \Delta x$, and a numerical solution of Equation (1) gives ice elevation $h$ at $\Delta x$ step $i + 1$ if $h_R$ and $\tau_0$ are known at step $i$:

$$h_{i+1} = h_i + \left( \frac{\tau_0}{h - h_R} \right) - \frac{\Delta x}{\rho g z},$$

(2)

where $\Delta h = h_{i+1} - h_i$ and $i = x / \Delta x$ is an integer that denotes the number of equal $\Delta x$ steps at distance $x$ along a flowline of length $L$ from the ice margin to the ice divide.

Equation (2) is also obtained from a general equation for the surface slope $\Delta h / \Delta x$ along a flow band which has variable width, variable surface accumulation or ablation rates and variable bed topography, and along which transitions from sheet flow to stream flow to shelf flow can occur as ice moves from the ice divide to the melting or calving margin of an ice sheet. The general equation is equation (3.25) in Hughes (1998), where it is derived on pp. 51–53. Ice-bed coupling in the general equation is controlled by two variables, the thawed fraction $f$ of the bed for sheet flow and the ratio $P_a / P_i$ of basal water pressure $P_a$ to ice-overburden pressure $P_i$ for stream flow. Both variables appear at each $\Delta x$ step along the flow band. For sheet flow, the gravitational driving stress is resisted primarily by basal shear stress $\tau_0$, which decreases when a frozen bed becomes thawed, with $f$ recording the decrease. This assumes that basal water exists primarily as a film, as in the Weertman (1957) theory of basal sliding, so that $P_a \ll P_i$. Hence, $P_a / P_i = 0$ for a frozen bed and $P_a / P_i \ll 1$ for a thawed bed during sheet flow, so that $f$ determines the surface slope. Equation (3.25) in Hughes (1998) reduces to Equation (2) in this case. For stream flow, not considered here, $f = 1$ and $P_a / P_i$ increases along the ice stream to $P_a / P_i = 1$ at the grounding line of a freely floating ice shelf. The gravitational driving stress in ice streams is resisted primarily by the longitudinal deviator stress $\sigma_{zz}$ and the side shear stress $\tau_s$.

Equation (2) requires knowing $h$ at an initial step $i = 0$, and knowing $h_R$ and $\tau_0$ at all steps. A value of $h = h_R$ for $i = 0$ at the ice-sheet margin is not allowed. Grounded margins of the Antarctic ice sheet are typically at calving ice walls or at the grounding lines of floating ice shelves, where $h > h_R$ at $i = 0$ in both cases. Equation (2) also requires knowing $\tau_0$ and $h_R$ at every step $i$ along ice flowlines. Values of both $h$ and $h_R$ along flowlines can be determined from maps of surface and bed topography contoured at 100 and 250 m intervals, respectively, in the glaciological and geophysical folio of Antarctica (Dreyer, 1983). Equation (2) can therefore be solved numerically to obtain the variation of $\tau_0$ along flowlines.

As illustrated in Figure 1 for a rolling subglacial landscape, transition zones between thawed (wet) and frozen (dry) zones begin as isolated frozen patches that become larger and more numerous until they coalesce, and end as isolated thawed patches that become smaller and less numerous until they vanish. This is a freezing zone when ice moves from a thawed zone to a frozen zone, and a melting zone when ice moves from a frozen zone to a thawed zone. In these transition zones, frozen and thawed regions would tend to correspond to the respective hills and dales in bed topography, because glacial erosion occurs where the bed is thawed. Topographic variations allowing frozen and thawed patches seen in the glacial geology produced by former ice sheets exist on scales ranging from several meters to several kilometers (Kleman and others, 1999), but they are not resolved on the map of Antarctic subglacial topography (Dreyer, 1983). Variations of thawed fraction $f$ across these transition zones can be calculated nonetheless, where $f$ is defined as the fraction of the bed that is thawed in an area of incremental length $\Delta x = 50$ km and mean width $w = 100$ km in a flow band.

Freezing or melting transition zones in this model must not be confused with freezing or melting rates when the bed is thawed in thermomechanical models. Only two values of $f$ exist in these models, $f = 0$ where the bed is frozen and $f = 1$ where the bed is thawed. A thawed bed has either a freezing rate or a melting rate, as was calculated in the first thermomechanical flowline model for melting rates (Budd and others, 1970, 1971) and then for freezing rates (Hughes, 1973; Sugden, 1977).

In Equation (2), if variations in bed topography represented by $h_R$ do not fully account for variations in surface elevation represented by $h$, then variations in $\tau_0$ must account for the remaining $h$ variations when sheet flow predominates. Variations in $\tau_0$ are caused by variations in accumulation rate $\bar{a}$, surface temperature $T_s$ and flow-band width $w$, but the major $\tau_0$ variations are caused by variations in ice–bed coupling as represented by the thawed fraction $f$ of the bed. If $f = 0$, the bed is frozen and ice motion is determined by the vertically averaged creep velocity $u$ of ice given by:

$$u = \frac{2h_i}{n + 2} \left( \frac{\tau_0}{A} \right)^n,$$

(3)

![Fig. 1. Basal thermal zones beneath an ice sheet. Frozen (dry) areas are white, and thawed (wet) areas are black. Ice flow from a frozen zone to a thawed zone crosses a melting zone. Ice flow from a thawed zone to a frozen zone crosses a freezing zone.](image-url)
where $\tau_D = \tau_0$ for a dry (frozen) bed, $n$ is a visco-plastic parameter for ice and $A$ is the temperature-and-fabric-dependent vertically averaged ice-hardness parameter, as determined from creep experiments (Nye, 1953; Glen, 1955). If $f = 1$, the bed is thawed and ice motion is dominated by the basal velocity $u_0$ of ice given by:

$$u_0 = \left( \frac{\tau_W}{B} \right)^{m},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\tau_W = \tau_0$ for a wet (thawed) bed, $m$ is a visco-plastic parameter that depends on how basal ice slides over bedrock or how sediment or till deforms between basal ice and bedrock, and $B$ depends on bedrock roughness for basal sliding or on the properties of a sediment or till layer. For basal sliding over bedrock, $m = (n + 1)/2$ in the Weertman (1957) theory, and for deformation of basal sediment or till, $m \approx 1$ for viscous flow (Boulon and Hindmarsh, 1967), and $m \approx 30$ for plastic flow (Kamb, 1991). Other formulations for $u_0$ have been proposed for basal sliding (e.g. Lliboutry, 1968; Ruddick and others, 1979) and bed deformation (e.g. Clarke, 1987; Raymond, 1996; Hooke, 1998). Until a general theory that combines these processes has been accepted, Equation (4) remains a purely empirical expression in which the pertinent physics are represented by $m$ and $B$ in an unknown way. Hughes (1998, p. 47) multiplies $B$ in Equation (4) by $(1 - P_W/P_I)^2$, where $P_W$ is the basal water pressure and $P_I$ is the ice-overburden pressure such that $P_W \ll P_I$ for sheet flow, and $P_W$ increases for stream flow from $P_W \ll P_I$ at the heads of ice streams to $P_W \approx P_I$ as ice streams become afloat. This gives ice streams their concave surface profile. Our study treats only sheet flow, using the Weertman (1957) theory.

The relationship between $\tau_0$, $\tau_D$, $\tau_W$ and $f$ for sheet flow is:

$$\tau_0 = (1 - f)\tau_D + f\tau_W.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Expressions giving $\tau_D$ and $\tau_W$ at distance $x$ from the end of a flow band of length $L$ for constant accumulation rate $\dot{a}$ and flow-band width $w$ are (Hughes, 1981, p. 243, 244):

$$\tau_D = \frac{(n + 2)\rho g A a^4}{4} \left[ \frac{(L - x)^{n+1}}{L^{n+2} - (L - x)^{n+2}} \right]^{1/(n+1)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6a)

$$\tau_W = \frac{(m + 1)\rho g a^2 B}{2n + 1} \left[ \frac{(L - x)^{n+1}}{L^{n+2} - (L - x)^{n+2}} \right]^{1/(2n + 1)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6b)

When $n = 3$ and $m = (n + 1)/2 = 2$, $\tau_D \propto \dot{a}^{1/4}$ and $\tau_W \propto \dot{a}^{2/5}$. This leads to $h \propto \dot{a}^{1/8}$ for a frozen bed and $h \propto \dot{a}^{1/5}$ for a thawed bed (Hughes, 1981, p. 249). Therefore, ice elevations calculated from Equation (2) are weakly dependent on variations in $\dot{a}$ along flowlines, compared to the variation of $f$ over the range $0 \leq f \leq 1$ for sheet flow in Equation (5).

Figure 2 is a plot of Equation (2) for $h_B = 0$, $\Delta x = 20$ km, $L = 1000$ km, $\dot{a} = 0.2$ ma $^{-1}$, $n = 5$, $m = 2$, $A = 4.0$ bar $a^{1.5}$ for ice at $-15^\circ$C in the Glen (1955) flow law, and $B = 0.02$ bar $a^{1/2}$ m $^{-1}$ for a bed roughness factor of 0.05 in the Weertman (1957) sliding law. Figure 2 shows variations of ice-surface elevation along a flowline over a flat horizontal bed that are due solely to variations in ice-bed coupling as ice flows over a succession of thawed (melted), freezing, frozen and melting zones on the bed. A thawed zone is wholly wet, a frozen zone is wholly dry, a freezing zone is a transition from a thawed zone to a frozen zone, and a melting zone is a transition from a frozen zone to a thawed zone, as depicted in Figure 1. As already noted, melting and freezing zones in our model are not to be confused with the melting and freezing rates that thermomechanical ice-sheet models compute when the bed is wholly thawed (wet). As shown in Figure 1, our melting and freezing zones are a mosaic of frozen and thawed patches, based on studies of deglaciated landscapes (e.g. Kleman and others, 1999). Basal melting and freezing rates are equal in a thawed patch during steady-state equilibrium, with basal melting in the back part of the patch and basal freezing in the front part (see Hughes, 1998, fig. 9.16).

When accumulation and ablation rates vary along flow bands of variable width, mass-balance continuity provides the following expressions for $\tau_D$ and $\tau_W$ (Fastook, 1984), which are obtained by combining the equations for the force balance, the flow and sliding laws and the mass balance, as shown by Hughes (1998, p. 146–157):

$$\tau_D = \frac{\rho g C_l}{4} \left( \frac{\Gamma(x)^{1/2}}{w(x)} \right)^{1/(1 + \frac{2n + 2}{m})},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7a)

$$\tau_W = \frac{\rho g C_l}{m} \left( \frac{\Gamma(x)^{1/2}}{w(x)} \right)^{1/(2n - 1)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7b)

where variable surface accumulation or ablation rates $\dot{a}(x)$.
along flow bands of variable widths \( w(x) \) and lengths \( L \) from \( x = 0 \) define \( \Gamma(x) \) for the mass balance:

\[
\Gamma(x) = \int_x^L \hat{a}(x) w(x) \, dx = \sum_{i=x}^{i=L/\Delta x} \hat{a}_i w_i \Delta x
\]

and

\[
C_t = \left( \frac{n + 2}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} A \rho g_z, \tag{9a}
\]

\[
C_t = \frac{B}{\rho g z}, \tag{9b}
\]

\[
\Pi_i = \int_0 \frac{\Gamma(x)}{w(x)} \, dx = \sum_{i=x}^{i=L/\Delta x} \left( \frac{\Gamma_i}{w_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta x, \tag{9c}
\]

\[
\Pi_i = \int_0 \frac{\Gamma(x)}{w(x)} \, dx = \sum_{i=x}^{i=L/\Delta x} \left( \frac{\Gamma_i}{w_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta x. \tag{9d}
\]

Equations (7a) and (7b) reduce to Equations (6a) and (6b) when \( \hat{a} \) and \( w \) are constant.

**Specifying surface and bed slopes**

Calculating \( \tau_0 \) from Equation (2) requires input values of ice-surface elevation and bed elevation at particular stepped distances \( \Delta x \) along each flowline. Because our data points are from where surface flowlines crossed surface- and bed-topographic contour lines and rarely coincided with these intervals, we had to fit continuous surface and bed profiles to the data and derive new sets of paired surface and bed data from the continuous profiles.

A weighted cubic spline was chosen to represent the surface and the bed between elevation contour lines on the Antarctic folio maps (Drewry, 1983) used in our study. The cubic spline, a third-degree polynomial program developed by Press and others (1986), is smooth and passes through all data points, but it can produce oscillations between data points. We included an exponential weighting function \( P \) that suppressed oscillations. A nearly linear connect-the-dots solution occurs when \( P \geq 3 \). We used \( P = 0.5 \) to fit bed-topographic contours, and, to prevent dips in the surface profiles that give negative driving stresses, we used \( P = 1.0 \) to fit surface-topographic contours. Figure 3 illustrates how different values of \( P \) affect the weighted cubic-spline curves connecting known bed elevations along a 500 km segment of flowline B4 in Figure 4. All surface and bed profiles along flowlines were generated with an interpolation interval of 1 km on the spline curves.

Calculation of basal shear stress using Equation (2) assumes that longitudinal stresses and their gradients are negligible. Budd (1968) maintained that effects of longitudinal stresses are negligible over distances \( >20 \) times the ice thickness for sheet flow. Mean ice thickness is about 2.5 km for the East Antarctic ice sheet and about 2 km for the West Antarctic ice sheet. Therefore, a step interval of 50 km was chosen. Calculations are made with respect to the midpoint of each step. (Hughes, 1981, p. 258–259). Bedrock slopes \( \beta \) along the spline curves are often greater than ice-surface slopes \( \alpha \). To check if bedrock slope \( \beta \) affects our results, we also calculated \( \tau_0 \) using the formula (Paterson, 1981; Hughes, 1998, p. 144–145):

\[
\tau_0 = \rho g_z h_t [\cos \alpha \tan (\alpha - \beta) + \sin \beta]. \tag{10}
\]

Our spline-fit program allowed \( \alpha = \Delta h/\Delta x \) and \( \beta = \Delta h_B/\Delta x \) to be calculated for \( \Delta x \) steps of 1–50 km. Equation (10) was then solved numerically using both Euler and Runge–Kutta methods to see what maximum \( \Delta x \) gave the same \( \tau_0 \) for both methods and also provided a negligible contribution from bed slopes, given the reliability imposed by the folio data. Steps of \( \Delta x = 50 \) km satisfied these criteria.

**Output generation**

At each \( \Delta x \) step along a specified flow-band center line, the bedrock elevation, flow-band width, accumulation rate and thawed-bed percentage are required as input to construct an ice-sheet surface elevational profile by the finite-difference model used to solve Equation (2) when \( \tau_0 \) is given by Equations (5), (7a) and (7b). In our application of Equations (2) and (5), constructed ice-sheet surface elevations are fitted to the actual ice surface as closely as possible by varying the fraction of the bed that is thawed in each \( \Delta x \) step for known accumulation rates and flow-band widths in Equations (7a) and (7b). Initially, the thawed-bed fraction is specified as zero along the length of each flow band.

Flow-band center lines used in this study are the flowlines labeled in Figure 4. Flowline profiles were calculated along the center line of flow bands for which bed topography is mapped well enough to justify our study. Near the ice-sheet margin, flow converges into bedrock troughs occupied by ice streams and diverges across bedrock headlands between ice streams. These flow variations may not always be apparent at the scale of the folio maps. We minimized this problem by beginning flow bands at the 200 m ice-elevation contour. Flow-band widths were obtained from initial estimates of the flow-band shape near the margins, but could be changed in successive reconstructions. Change of flow-band width is appropriate where a good match of the reconstructed surface to the existing surface cannot be obtained by varying the flow parameters, indicating flow that is more strongly convergent or divergent near ice-sheet margins than is indicated on the folio map. As seen on the folio maps of surface and bed topography, radio-echo flight-lines along which this topography was measured are particularly sparse near ice-sheet margins.

Accumulation rates were tabulated from the map of surface mass-balance rates by Giovinetto and others (1989). Their map was enlarged to the 1:6 000 000 scale of flow bands on the folio map. Accumulation rates, in \( \text{km}^2 \cdot \text{a}^{-1} \), were converted to \( \text{m} \cdot \text{a}^{-1} \) taking \( m_1 = 97 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \).

Initial values \( A = 4.0 \text{ bar} \cdot \text{a}^{-1/3} \) and \( B = 0.02 \text{ bar} \cdot \text{m}^{-1/2} \)
in Equations (7a) and (7b) were adjusted so that driving stresses $\tau_0$ calculated from Equation (2) lie on or between the theoretical $\tau_D$ and $\tau_W$ curves, following Figure 2. Then thawed fraction $f$ was calculated from Equation (5) for $\tau_0$ values lying between the $\tau_D$ and $\tau_W$ curves. At the same time, the reconstructed ice-sheet surface should match closely the actual ice-sheet surface. Where the two profiles failed to match for reasonable values of $A$ and $B$, flow-band width may be evaluated and changed, where that is more reasonable, such as near ice-sheet margins. However, large variations in flow-band width cause only minor changes in $\tau_0$, $\tau_D$, and $\tau_W$, so the mismatch with known surface slopes near the ice-sheet margin probably reflects transitions from sheet flow to stream flow. Equations (2) and (5) do not adequately express these transitions. Perhaps $P_W/P_D$ becomes a significant variable in transitions from sheet flow to stream flow. Even so, these transitions take place in only one or two 50 km $\Delta x$ steps. When a satisfactory profile was generated, the final dataset was run through the program again, and the screen display and dataset were printed. Final values of $A$ and $B$ for $n = 3$ and $m = 2$ are listed in Table 1 for East Antarctic flowlines and in Table 2 for West Antarctic flowlines. These values minimize the population standard deviations between calculated and measured surface elevations along flowlines (DIFF).

### Determining ice-hardness and basal-sliding parameters

The flow-band model has been applied to the Antarctic flow bands shown in Figure 4, with $f$ calculated along the central flowlines of these flow bands. Most flow bands shown in Figure 4 dovetail into ice streams. Central flow-

---

**Table 1. Best-fit constants in the flow law and the sliding law for East Antarctic flowlines (see Fig. 4 for list of abbreviations)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flowline</th>
<th>DIFF</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>Flowline</th>
<th>DIFF</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{m bar}^{-1/3}$</td>
<td>$\text{bar}^{-1/2}$</td>
<td>$\text{m}^{-1/2}$</td>
<td>$\text{m}$</td>
<td>$\text{bar}^{-1/3}$</td>
<td>$\text{bar}^{-1/2}$</td>
<td>$\text{m}^{-1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>W2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>W3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>W4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>W5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>W6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>W7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>W7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>W8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>W11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>W12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>W15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>W15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>W16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>W16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>W17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>W17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>W18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>W19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W19</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lines are identified by letters and numbers, as shown. Wide ice-drainage basins, such as the ice-drainage basin for Byrd Glacier, have been divided into several narrower flow bands in order to map \( f \) contours more accurately. The \( f \) variations are shown in Figures 5–9 for selected flow bands. In these figures, \( \tau_0 \) was computed from Equation (2) at 50 km steps, shown as triangles, to which a solid \( \tau_0 \) curve is fitted. The solid \( \tau_0 \) curve is bracketed by the upper \( \tau_0 \) and the lower \( \tau_7 \) dashed curves computed from Equations (7a) and (7b), respectively. Variations of \( f \) along the central flowline of each flow band are then calculated from Equation (5). In these calculations, \( n = 3 \) and \( m = 2 \) are used, \( A \) and \( B \) are obtained by bracketing the observed \( \tau_0 \) variation between the theoretical \( \tau_0 \) and \( \tau_7 \) variations, and \( f \) is assumed to vary along flowlines much more rapidly than do \( A \) and \( B \). In moving from the ice divide to the ice margin along flowlines, a frozen bed exists where \( \tau_0 = \tau_{10} \), a thawed bed exists where \( \tau_0 = \tau_{15} \), a melting bed exists where \( \tau_0 \) moves from \( \tau_0 \) toward \( \tau_{15} \), and a freezing bed exists where \( \tau_0 \) moves from \( \tau_0 \) toward \( \tau_{15} \). A rapid drop of \( \tau_0 \) near the ice margin occurs when sheet flow becomes stream flow.

Basal sliding over bedrock is likely for East Antarctic flowlines because East Antarctica is believed to be a crystalline shield from which sediments have been largely stripped away by glacial erosion over millions of years (Marchant and others, 1993). In contrast, bedrock in West Antarctica may still be carpeted in many places by marine sediments that are cemented by ice where the bed is frozen but become a deforming substrate where the bed is thawed. Therefore, taking \( m = 2 \) in Equation (7b) for ice sliding over bedrock in the Weertman (1957) sliding theory may apply generally in East Antarctica, but only when a deforming substrate has been stripped from bedrock in West Antarctica, or when \( m = 2 \) describes deformation of the substrate. However, the substrate under Ice Stream B, West Antarctica, deforms at \( m = 50 \) (Kamb, 1991). We assume that a deforming substrate will become concentrated beneath ice streams by converging flow, whereas basal sliding over bedrock is more common where sheet flow prevails, even in West Antarctica.

Flowlines are identified for separate ice-drainage systems in Figure 4. In East Antarctica, BY2–BY3 are for Byrd Glacier, MU2 and MU3 are for Mulock Glacier, DA2–DA4 are for David Glacier, OL1–OL5 are for Oates Land, GV1–GV8 are for the George V Coast and W1–W19 are for Wilkes Land. In West Antarctica, PI–P7 are for Pine Island Glacier, T1–T5 are for Thwaites Glacier and R1–R13 are for ice draining into the Ross Ice Shelf. Letters D locate minor domes, especially West Antarctic ice domes. Most flowlines end in ice streams. The effect of different \( A \) and \( B \) values on bracketing the observed \( \tau_0 \) variation along a flowline between the theoretical \( \tau_0 \) curves obtained from Equation (7a) for creep of ice and from Equation (7b) for basal sliding was determined for many flowlines (see Tables 1 and 2). Although Equations (7a) and (7b) do not permit \( A \) and \( B \) to vary along flowlines, a sense of the possible variations is obtained by seeing how \( A \) and \( B \) vary from flowline to flowline in Tables 1 and 2 when \( \text{DIFF} \) is minimized between observed and calculated surface elevations along flowlines. Since \( A = 50 \pm 1.0 \text{ bar}^{1/3} \) and \( B = 0.04 \pm 0.1 \text{ bar}^{1/2} \) minimize \( \text{DIFF} \) for nearly all flowlines, it is tempting to conclude that no drastic changes in \( A \) and \( B \) occur along flowlines. Drastic changes in \( A \) are unlikely because \( A \) reflects vertically averaged ice temperatures that vary slowly along flowlines (even though basal ice temperatures may vary irregularly). Drastic changes in \( B \) are unlikely because basal sliding for millions of years over bedrock (especially over the Precambrian Shield in East Antarctica) should produce a bed roughness that varies slowly along flowlines.

Hindmarsh (1990) notes that basal ice temperatures could reasonably change from –30°C to the melting point along an Antarctic ice flowline, which would make \( A \) vary by a factor of 100, with \( A^{1/2(n+2)} \) used for comparison with \( f \) variations. When \( A \) changes by a factor of 100, \( A^{1/8} \) for \( n = 3 \) changes by a factor of two. This is within the \( 0 \leq f \leq 1 \) range of \( f \) variations. However, vertically averaged variations of \( A \) along flowlines in our model are minor compared to variations of \( f \) because variations of \( A \) depend on both ice-temperature and fabric variations that interact to keep \( A \) relatively constant. The big variations in both temperature and fabric as a frozen bed thaws, and as a thawed bed freezes, occur near the bed. Simple shear in basal ice is favored when a frozen bed maximizes bed traction. Simple shear causes strain softening that lowers \( A \) to offset the higher \( A \) produced by cold basal ice. When the bed thaws, bed traction is reduced and the strain-softened ice produced by simple shear is replaced by strain-hardened ice produced by longitudinally extending flow for ice sliding over a wet melting bed and longitudinally compressive flow for ice sliding over a wet freezing bed. The increase of \( A \) caused by strain hardening tends to offset the decrease of \( A \) caused by the warmer ice over a thawed bed. These offsetting effects of ice temperature and ice fabric are discussed more detail by Hughes (1998, p. 119–131). The competing effects of thermal and strain hardening or softening of ice are not fully quantified, so they cannot be incorporated with confidence into ice-sheet models.

Variations of \( B \) along flowlines are much more problematic. Whether \( B \) is computed from Equation (4) or some other formulation, it produces a \( \tau_{15} \) curve that falls below the \( \tau_{10} \) curve. Therefore \( f \) becomes a fundamental measure.
of instability in ice sheets. Many ice-sheet models use the mass-balance continuity equation to compute the fraction of ice velocity that is due to basal sliding, as opposed to creep in ice and bed deformation (e.g., Fastook, 1984). The relationship between the thawed fraction and the sliding fraction, disregarding bed deformation, is highly non-linear (Hughes, 1998, p. 157–158). When 50% of the bed is thawed, only 10% of the velocity is by sliding, and when 90% of the bed is thawed only 50% of the velocity is by sliding. Therefore, only a few frozen patches are highly effective in retarding sliding, so that runaway sliding occurs only when the last frozen patches become thawed. Since ice-stream surges develop from runaway sliding, and ice streams discharge some 90% of Antarctic ice, calculating $f$ for sheet flow becomes a primary goal for assessing the stability of the Antarctic ice sheet, especially when $f = 1$ near ice-sheet margins where stream flow develops from sheet flow.

**DISCUSSION**

**Interpretation of basal thawed fraction variations**

For steady-state sheet flow, a thawed bed is likely when, in order of importance, (1) a high geothermal heat flux warms basal ice; (2) thick overlying ice depresses the basal pressure-melting temperature and slows conduction of basal geothermal and frictional heat to the surface; (3) warmer surface ice at lower elevations slows conduction of basal heat to the surface; (4) low surface-accumulation rates lower the basal temperature gradient; (5) ice flowing faster down a sloping bed increases basal frictional heat and decreases the basal pressure-melting temperature at bedrock bumps, and vice versa for ice flowing slower up a sloping bed; and (6) rapidly increasing ice velocities due to strongly converging flow at the heads of ice streams slow conduction of basal heat to the surface by advecting cold ice downstream. Therefore, a thawed bed ($f = 1$) can be expected wherever a preponderance of these conditions is present. Conversely, a frozen bed ($f = 0$) can be expected wherever a preponderance of these conditions is absent. Taking $x$ positive upslope along flowlines, as in Equation (2), basal melting increases when $\partial f/\partial x$ increases, and basal freezing increases when $\partial f/\partial x$ decreases.

Departures from steady-state flow may be indicated when a preponderance of the six conditions noted above favor a thawed bed but $f = 0$, or favor a frozen bed but $f = 1$. This indicates transient flow conditions that cause a positive gradient $\partial f/\partial x$ to migrate upslope as ice streams become more active and down-draw more interior ice, or a negative gradient $\partial f/\partial x$ to migrate downslope as ice streams become less active and down-draw less interior ice.

These criteria have been applied to the Antarctic flowlines shown in Figure 4 in order to assess the state of equilibrium for various Antarctic ice-drainage systems.

**Flow of East Antarctic ice toward the Ross Sea**

The Ross Sea embayment was created when the western sector of the West Antarctic ice sheet collapsed during the Holocene (Denton and others, 1989; Hall and Denton, 1996). Collapse would have steepened the surface slope of East Antarctic ice streams passing through the Transantarctic Mountains, thereby increasing their velocity and down-draw of their ice-drainage systems. The major ice streams affected in our study would have been Byrd, Mulock and David Glaciers. Of these, Byrd Glacier would have been affected most recently because it is the furthest south. It also is the fastest and has the largest ice-drainage system, which is comparable in size to the remaining West Antarctic ice sheet and extends to the ice divide between Dome Argus and Dome Circe (see Fig. 4). Being farther north, Mulock Glacier would have been destabilized somewhat earlier in the Holocene. It has a long narrow ice-drainage system that extends to Dome Circe. David Glacier is furthest north, so it would have been the first to destabilize. Unlike Byrd and Mulock Glaciers, David Glacier is not buttressed by the Ross Ice Shelf, so its instability may have persisted longer. Its ice-drainage system extends to the ice divide between Dome Circe and Talos Dome.

Figure 5 shows $\tau_0$ variations caused by $f$ variations along representative flowlines for the ice-drainage systems of Byrd, Mulock and David Glaciers, flowlines BY3, MU2 and DA2, respectively, in Figure 4. In general, high values of $f$ along these flowlines correlate with conditions of thick ice, low surface temperatures (high surface elevations), low accumulation rates, a down-sloping bed and convergent ice flow (decreasing flow-band widths), and low values of $f$ correlate with the opposite conditions, notably thin ice in the Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains beneath Dome Argus and above bedrock sills at the heads of ice streams. Departure from these general trends may reflect unknown variations in the basal geothermal heat flux. If so, we can conclude that all three ice-drainage systems are presently close to steady-state equilibrium.

**Flow of East Antarctic ice toward Oates Land**

Flowlines OL1–OL5 in Figure 4 bring interior sheet flow from Wilkes Subglacial Basin right to the coast, where the bed rises to sea level. The bed is smooth and mostly below sea level. Variations of $f$ along flowlines OL1–OL3 are entirely consistent with nearly steady-state flow, as shown for flowline OL2 in Figure 6. Flowlines OL4 and OL5 enter Cook Ice Shelf. In general, $f$ variations deduced from the $\tau_0$ variations are consistent with steady-state flow. However, the bed falls well below sea level near the ice-shelf grounding line, while $f$ remains relatively low. This is an indication that a large ice stream once entered Cook Ice Shelf, but when it shut down its thawed bed began to freeze. Today, sheet flow extends virtually to the grounding line. These features are shown in Figure 6 for flowline OL5 in Figure 4.

**Flow of East Antarctic ice toward George V Coast**

Flow toward the George V Coast is shown by flowlines GV1–GV8 in Figure 4. Flowline GV1 enters Cook Ice Shelf, and the $\tau_0$ variation requires a variation of $f$ similar to that for flowline OL5 in Figure 6. However, most of the ice flows into Ninnis and Mertz Glaciers. Flow begins in Vincennes Subglacial Basin beneath Dome Circe, and crosses a series of subglacial highlands and basins before entering subglacial trenches beneath these ice streams. Variations in $\tau_0$ reflecting variations in $f$ are shown in Figure 7 for flowline GV5 entering Ninnis Glacier and flowline GV7 entering Mertz Glacier. In general, the $f$ variations are consistent with nearly steady-state flow for all these flowlines.
Flowline BY3 (DIFF=5)

Flowline MU2 (DIFF=25)

Flowline DA2 (DIFF=30)

Flowline OL2 (DIFF=9)

Flowline OL5 (DIFF=14)

Fig. 6. The basal thermal regime along East Antarctic flowlines OL2 and OL5 towards Oates Land. See Figure 5 caption for explanation.

Flow of East Antarctic ice in Wilkes Land

Wilkes Land includes most of East Antarctica between the northern Transantarctic Mountains and the Amery Ice Shelf. Flowlines W1–W19 begin at Dome C and cross Aurora Subglacial Basin to the coast in Figure 4. Sheet flow extends nearly to the coast in this region, yet Dibble Iceberg Tongue, Porpoise Bay and Dalton Iceberg Tongue are features that could have been associated with major ice streams that are now small or absent. On the map of Antarctica produced by the American Geographical Society in 1970, Dibble Iceberg Tongue extends beyond Dibble Glacier, which is a minor ice stream, and Dalton Iceberg Tongue extends beyond Reynolds Trough, which is a surface depression that may have been down-drawn by a former ice stream. Flowlines W7, W12 and W16 enter Dibble Glacier, Porpoise Bay and Reynolds Trough, respectively, and their longitudinal profiles are shown in Figure 8. Variations of $f$ associated with $\tau_0$ variations are consistent with nearly steady-state flow, except near the coast, where the steady-state correlation between thick ice and high $f$ breaks down. This is consistent with Dibble Iceberg Tongue and possibly with Dalton Iceberg Tongue, which are both about 100 km long, having
Flowline GV5 (DIFF=4)

Flowline GV7 (DIFF=8)

Fig. 7. The basal thermal regime along East Antarctic flowlines GV3 and GV7 toward the George V Coast. See Figure 5 caption for explanation.

been produced by recent surges of large ice streams. Now only a small ice stream, Dibble Glacier, exists, and sheet flow with $f$ close to steady state extends across Reynolds Trough. Similarly, a major ice stream, now gone, probably entered Porpoise Bay. Another departure from steady-state conditions seems to exist near the end of flowline W19, as seen in Figure 8, even though this flowline ends in Totten Glacier, a major ice stream now active. These observations are compatible with a recent departure from steady-state flow due to termination of stream flow near the ends of flowlines W7, W12 and W16, making $\partial f/\partial x$ negative as basal freezing freezes a thawed bed, and due to a recent initiation of stream flow from near the end of flowline W19, making $\partial f/\partial x$ positive as basal melting thaws a frozen bed. Both departures would associate low $f$ with thick ice near the ends of these flowlines, as seen in Figure 8.

Flow of West Antarctic ice into Pine Island Bay

About one-third of the remaining West Antarctic ice sheet flows into Pine Island Bay through the two fastest Antarctic ice streams, Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers, as seen in Figures 4 and 10. Flowline P4 extends from the lowest saddle (1250 m high) on the West Antarctic ice divide where $f \approx 0$, to Pine Island Glacier where $f \approx 1$. Flowline P4 in Figure 9 has the concave surface of stream flow, with $P_W/P_I$ increasing to unity along the length of Pine Island Glacier. Across the saddle, ice flows into Rutford Ice Stream and the Ronne Ice Shelf. It is tempting to conclude that the saddle has been down-drawn by these two ice streams, with surface lowering causing the bed to freeze under the saddle. Flowlines P5–P7 reverse the steady-state correlation between a high $f$ for a largely thawed bed and thick ice, especially over Byrd Subglacial Basin where $f$ is low and ice is thick. Flowline P5 in Figure 9 illustrates these anomalies, which extend almost to the ice divide. It is therefore likely that nearly the entire ice drainage system of Pine Island Glacier is not in steady state. Flowline T3 begins in the Ellsworth Mountains, crosses Bentley Subglacial Trench and Byrd Subglacial Basin, and enters Thwaites Glacier. Thin ice leaving the Ellsworth Mountains flows over a frozen bed ($f = 0$), the bed becomes about half thawed ($f \approx 0.5$) as ice thickens, but then the bed becomes nearly frozen ($f \approx 0$) for thick ice over Byrd Subglacial Basin, before thawing ($f \approx 1$) as ice enters Thwaites Glacier. This indicates steady-state flow until lowering ice being down-drawn by Thwaites Glacier causes the bed to freeze in Byrd Subglacial Basin. Flowline T4 extends from the highest saddle (1750 m high) on the West Antarctic ice divide ($f \approx 0.8$) across Byrd Subglacial Basin ($f \approx 0.5$), to enter Thwaites Glacier ($f = 1$). Across this saddle, ice flows into Ice Stream D, which extends nearly to the ice divide and enters the Ross Ice Shelf. The high $f$ and the almost concave profile along most of flowline T4 are compatible with a departure from steady-state equilibrium that extends virtually to the ice divide, but not substantial as seen along flowlines entering Pine Island Glacier.

Flow of West Antarctic ice into the Ross Ice Shelf

Variations of $f$ were calculated for sheet-flow portions of flowlines R1–R13, which begin at the West Antarctic ice divide and enter the Ross Ice Shelf by way of Ice Streams E (R1 and R2), D (R3–R6), C (R7 and R8), B (R9–R11) and A (R12 and R13) in Figure 4. The concave surface of stream flow ($f = 1$, with $P_W/P_I$ increasing downstream) extends nearly to the ice divide along all of these flowlines. Variations of $f$ near the ice divide have no explanation that is consistent with steady-state flow. The most likely explanation is that stream flow has down-drawn so much ice that unstable flow extends clear to the ice divide. Alternatively, active Cenozoic volcanism along the ice divide may cause a highly variable geothermal heat flow, and this is what has destabilized the ice (Blankenship and others, 1993).

Linking basal thermal zones to ice-sheet stability

Figures 10, 12 and 13 present in map-plane format the model results that were computed and displayed in longitudinal profiles along flowlines, as represented by Figures 5–9. Figure 10 shows isopleths of basal thawed fraction $f$, expressed in percentage thawed at 25% intervals. Given the uncertainties associated with not being able to vary parameters $A$ and $B$ along flowlines, and with bed topography being interpolated between radio-echo flight-lines, not to mention the relationship between $A$ and basal temperature and the relationship between $B$ and basal sliding or bed deformation, Figure 10 gives an impression of detailed $f$ variations that is probably not justified. Nonetheless, in East Antarctica there is a broad correlation between a largely frozen bed (low $f$) and thin ice,
especially along ice divides, and a largely thawed bed (high $f$) and thick ice over subglacial basins. In addition, $f$ tends to be low where ice streams begin at high bedrock sills, notably in the Transantarctic Mountains, and $f$ tends to be high where ice streams begin in deep bedrock troughs. Also, there is a tendency for $f$ to increase on a down-sloping bed and to decrease on an up-sloping bed. This is consistent with largely steady-state flow. Departures from these generalities are seen in ice entering Cook Ice Shelf, Dibble Glacier, Porpoise Bay, Totten Glacier and perhaps Reynolds' Trough (which may be an ice surface that was down-drawn by a former ice-stream surge that produced Dalton Iceberg Tongue). In West Antarctica, virtually all flowlines have $f$ variations that are consistent with substantial departures from steady-state flow.

Uncertainties in $f$ are impossible to calculate, since $A$ and $B$ in the respective flow and sliding laws used in our model do not vary along flowlines, use of Equation (1) precludes a rugged bed (Hutter, 1983) and the map of bed topography is only marginally accurate on the scale of our calculations (Drewry, 1983). For these reasons, our confidence in $f$ is limited to first-order variations along ice flowlines. We ignore second-order variations. This is illustrated in Figure 11 for variations of $\gamma_0$ along flowline P5. Second-order variations lie between the two thin lines, which give the first-order variations. Using this criterion, the uncertainty in $f$ is about ±10%. This uncertainty can be used to delineate four broad regions of $f$ with some confidence. These regions are for $f \approx 0$ where the bed is largely frozen, $f \approx 1$ where the bed is largely thawed, $f$ increasing in the flow direction where ice is moving over an increasingly thawed bed (a melting basal thermal zone), and $f$ decreasing in the flow direction where ice is moving over an increasingly frozen bed (a freezing basal thermal zone). These four regions are shown in Figure 12. Figure 12 shows the flowlines in Figure 4, with thin dashed portions showing where $f$ is decreasing in the flow direction, thin solid portions showing where $f$ is increasing in the flow direction, areas marked by parallel horizontal lines showing where $f \approx 1$ in the interior and where stream flow replaces sheet flow near ice margins, and areas marked by parallel vertical lines showing where $f \approx 0$, usually because ice is thin. This information is used in Figure 11 to denote boundaries between melting zones (M) and freezing zones (F) by thick black lines.

Figure 12 reveals substantial symmetry in the distribution of basal thermal zones for the strongly divergent primary flow from Dome Circe and the strongly divergent secondary flow from the East Antarctic ice divide at approximately 135°E, 70°S. Dome Circe overlies Vincennes Subglacial Basin, and the bed is nearly wholly thawed ($f \approx 1$) beneath thick overlying ice. Primary divergent ice flow then passes over a freezing bed (decreasing $f$) followed by a melting bed (increasing $f$) in all directions (west, north and east) on the way to the East Antarctic coast, with the freezing bed crossing subglacial highlands and the melting bed crossing subglacial basins (Wilkes Subglacial Basin to the west, Astrolabe Subglacial Basin to the north and Aur-
ora Subglacial Basin to the east). This is all consistent with steady-state flow. Ice flowing northward from Dome C is becomes a center for secondary divergent flow from Astrolabe Subglacial Basin (~35° E, 70.5° S), where thick ice over a melting bed (increasing J) thins as it passes over a freezing bed (decreasing J) in the surrounding subglacial highlands, and thickens again as it passes over a melting bed (increasing J) in the subglacial lowlands along George V Coast and western Wilkes Land. This is also consistent with steady-state flow.

Figure 13 denotes dotted areas within thick black borders for the regions having substantial departures from steady-state flow. This map shows that, for the flowlines modeled in this study, the West Antarctic ice sheet has departed substantially from steady-state flow over a broad region between Pine Island Bay in the Amundsen Sea and the Ross Ice Shelf in the Ross Sea, whereas departures from steady-state flow in the East Antarctic ice sheet are restricted to ice entering Cook Ice Shelf, Dibble Glacier, Porpoise Bay, Totten Glacier and possibly Reynolds Trough. These are probable sites of present, in the case of Totten Glacier, or former ice-stream surges. The former surges that produced Dibble and Dalton Iceberg Tongues must have been quite recent, since iceberg tongues are transient features. It is noteworthy that these sites of unstable East Antarctic flow are for ice leaving Wilkes Subglacial Basin through deep troughs that underlie Cook Ice Shelf, for ice leaving Astrolabe Subglacial Basin through a shallower trough beneath Dibble Glacier and for ice leaving Aurora Subglacial Basin through troughs that underlie Porpoise Bay and Totten Glacier. However, no significant subglacial trough underlies Reynolds Trough on the surface, which therefore may have been down-drawn by a former ice stream that surged to produce Dalton Iceberg Tongue.

The question arises, could these unstable East Antarctic ice margins expand into their subglacial basins to produce widespread gravitational collapse, as has occurred in West Antarctica during the Holocene and may be continuing in Byrd Subglacial Basin? That such widespread collapse is less likely in East Antarctica for the flowlines modeled in this study is seen in Figures 5–9. These figures show, as dashed lines, the surface and bed topography that would exist for complete glacioisostatic rebound of the bed. In contrast to West Antarctica, the bed would rebound to elevations near or above sea level along nearly all East Antarctic flowlines, including the critical ice margins where the marine ice-sheet instability mechanism investigated by Weertman (1974) and Thomas (1977) would be most active. Therefore, gravitational collapse of East Antarctic ice that was triggered by the marine instability mechanism would slow or stop as the bed rebounded toward sea level along these ice-sheet margins. However, other mechanisms for ice-stream surges may be able to cause substantial gravitational collapse in this sector of East Antarctica. The surge that produced Dalton Iceberg Tongue and possibly Reynolds Trough on the surface was apparently unrelated to the marine instability mechanism. On a much larger scale of gravi-
tional collapse, a possible surge of Lambert Glacier has down-drawn >10% of East Antarctic ice to produce the Amery Ice Shelf, even though no broad subglacial basin exists in that sector of East Antarctica. The critical instability for these Antarctic ice streams may be the surface inflection point between sheet flow and stream flow, where the steep surface slope combines with the fast ice velocity to maximize production of basal meltwater, and thereby promote ice–bed uncoupling, that allows ice streams to reach farther into the ice sheet, as Hughes (1975) proposed.

CONCLUSIONS

A simple model that relates surface slope to ice thickness, bed topography and ice–bed coupling has been presented, and then applied to map basal thermal zones for sheet flow in the Antarctic ice sheet. Four basal thermal zones are deduced: thawed, melting, freezing and frozen. Ice–bed coupling is a measure of basal friction, which we interpret to reflect the thawed fraction of the bed directly. If the correlation is indeed direct, then the model can be used to assess the stability of various sectors of the Antarctic ice sheet. However, a direct correlation applies only if the flow and sliding laws of ice are correct, and if bed deformation can be ignored. In addition, ice-hardness parameter $A$ in the flow law and bed-coupling parameter $B$ in the sliding law, including their exponents, must vary slowly along ice flowlines compared to basal thawed fraction $f$ if our analysis is to hold.

Given the importance of $f$, what is needed to compute it accurately? As already discussed, values of $A$ and $B$ (including $n$ and $m$) must be known with confidence. The competing effects of ice creep, basal sliding and bed deformation must also be known. This requires an understanding of subglacial hydrology for both hard and soft beds. A deep-drilling program is therefore necessary. Bed topography and morphology must be mapped in detail by radio-echo sounding and seismic sounding to obtain the extent and thickness of soft beds. The Antarctic folio maps (Drewry, 1983) used in this study have inaccuracies in radio-echo flight-lines and reflections that allow errors of up to 50% in ice thickness. All of the required improvements must come from improved field data and improved thermomechanical models.

If $A$ and $B$ are not adequately represented by Equations (3) and (4), respectively, then $f$ in Equation (5) is not a measure of the thawed fraction of the bed. It includes the thawed-bed fraction, but it is contaminated by our incomplete knowledge of thermal and strain softening in ice, basal sliding over both hard and soft beds, and deformation of soft beds. Nonetheless, our model calculates $f$ values that are broadly consistent with the frozen ($f = 0$) and thawed ($f = 1$) basal regions in East and West Antarctica that are calculated by thermomechanical models, and that are shown to be in states of equilibrium similar to those deduced from our model (e.g. Huybrechts, 1990; Hansen and Greve, 1996; Eastwood, 1997; Verbistky and Saltzman, 1997). This gives us confidence that $f$ strongly represents the thawed-bed fraction, and that our model computes $f$ with more than superficial accuracy. It should be emphasized that only two values of $f$ really exist, $f = 0$ for a frozen bed and $f = 1$ for a thawed bed. Intermedi-
ate values of $f$ are calculated only where there is a mosaic of frozen ($f = 0$) and thawed ($f = 1$) patches in basal areas $w \Delta x$ in our model, within which statistical values $0 \leq f \leq 1$ can be calculated. The flatter the bed, the less likely these mosaics become. Logically, $f$ can be seen as a measure of basal friction that has two extremes, a high value for a frozen bed and a low value for a thawed bed. Our simple model is to thermomechanical models as a quarter horse is to a thoroughbred horse. It is good for a fast determination of basal conditions, but it lacks the physics (the breeding) to explain why these conditions are as they are.
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Fig. 13. Stability of the Antarctic ice sheet deduced from an assessment of steady-state flow along the flowlines in Figure 4. Departures from steady-state flow are greatest in the dotted areas enclosed by the thick black lines. Dashed portions of the lines are uncertain boundaries for unstable flow.


