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Abstract

Type 2 diabetes mellitus and higher total plasma homocysteine concentrations are each associated with an increased incidence of cardiovascular disease and with diminished cognitive performance. Relations between homocysteine concentrations and cardiovascular disease incidence are stronger in the presence of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Therefore, we hypothesized that relations between homocysteine concentrations and cognitive performance would be stronger in the presence of type 2 diabetes. We related homocysteine concentrations and cognitive performance on the Mini-Mental State Examination in 817 dementia- and stroke-free participants of the Maine-Syracuse Study, 90 of whom were classified with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Regardless of statistical adjustment for age, sex, gender, vitamin co-factors (folate, vitamin B6, vitamin B12), cardiovascular disease risk factors, and duration and type of treatment for type 2 diabetes mellitus, statistically significant inverse associations between homocysteine concentrations and cognitive performance were observed for diabetic individuals. The weaker inverse associations between homocysteine concentrations and cognitive performance obtained for non-diabetic individuals were not robust to statistical adjustment for some covariates. Interactions between homocysteine concentrations and type 2 diabetes mellitus are observed such that associations between homocysteine and cognitive performance are stronger in the presence of diabetes.
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Introduction

Increments in total homocysteine (tHcy) concentrations are independently associated with increasing risk for cerebrovascular and cardiovascular disease (CVD) (1–4). Similarly, diabetes mellitus is a known risk factor for atherosclerosis, peripheral vascular disease, and CVD (5). Moreover, there is evidence that high levels of tHcy contribute to the acceleration of CVD in diabetics (6). Levels of tHcy are sometimes, but not always, higher in diabetics (7).

There is substantial evidence that the presence of diabetes mellitus is related to lower cognitive performance (5, 8). Also, several studies have shown that tHcy levels are inversely related to cognitive performance within the normal range of cognitive ability (9–13). Consequently, we felt it important to examine interactions between diabetes mellitus and tHcy as they relate to cognitive performance. We did so by stratifying by presence and absence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) and examining relations between tHcy and cognitive performance within these groups.

When undertaking these studies it is important to statistically adjust associations between tHcy and cognitive performance for serum folate, vitamin B6 and vitamin B12 concentrations, CVD risk factors, history of CVD morbidity, and depressed mood (5, 10, 14).

The Maine-Syracuse Study (15) provides data with respect to tHcy, DM, and the covariates of interest. Consequently, we tested the following hypotheses using individuals from this study sample who were clinically stroke- and dementia-free: 1) tHcy and the presence of DM would interact such that relations between tHcy and cognitive performance would be of a higher magnitude for diabetics than for non-diabetics; and 2) relations between tHcy and cognitive performance, for diabetics and non-diabetics, would be attenuated by adjustment for vitamin cofactors and by adjustment for CVD risk factors, depressed mood, and CVD morbidity.

Materials and methods

Design

The Maine-Syracuse Study provided the community-dwelling study sample (15, 16). At the most recent longitudinal examination (April 2001 to January 2005), tHcy and vitamin cofactor (i.e., vitamins B6, B12 and folate) blood concentrations were obtained for 854 individuals. The vitamin cofactor data and data on blood pressure (BP), other CVD risk factors, depressed mood, and CVD morbidity constituted the set of covariates for the present study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The consent forms and pro-
tocot were approved by the institutional Review Boards for Human Research at the University of Maine and at the State University of New York Upstate Medical University.

Subjects
Hospital or physician records and records of a clinical BP examination and detailed medical information were available for all 854 study participants, of whom 37 were excluded for the following reasons: 1) probable dementia (n = 8) assessed by a committee of psychologists and a physician, using the criteria of the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (17); or 2) a confirmed history of clinical stroke (n = 29). The final sample consisted of the remaining 817 participants.

Procedure
Participants were admitted to the study center the morning following a fast from midnight. The visit began with a comprehensive medical history and a physical examination that included the drawing of a blood sample and BP measurement. Blood samples were collected in standard EDTA tubes for plasma tHcy and plasma B6 determinations and in serum separator tubes (gel and clot activator) for serum determinations.

The medical history was verified via diagnostic records and/or physician contact with permission of the participant. After a light breakfast, a test protocol that included the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) (18) and the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) (19) was administered by a psychometrician.

Blood samples were delivered, on ice, to Centrex Clinical Laboratories, Syracuse, New York, for processing and same-day assay of serum folate, serum vitamin B12, a lipid panel, triglycerides, glucose and creatinine. Serum folate and serum vitamin B12 concentrations were determined using a paramagnetic-particle chemiluminescent immunoassay (ADVIA Centaur, Bayer HealthCare, Tarrytown, NY, USA). Glucose was determined by a colorimetric test and serum creatinine was determined using a two-point rate test type (Vitros Chemistry System, Johnson and Johnson, New Brunswick, NJ, USA). Coefficients of variation for all these procedures were less than 5.0%.

Plasma samples used for determination of tHcy and vitamin B6 (plasma pyridoxal 5'-phosphate) were stored at -40°C until a batch of 100–150 samples was collected. Plasma tHcy concentrations were determined at the Department of Pharmacology, University of Oxford, using a fluorescence polarization immunoassay (Axis-Shield, Dundee, UK) on an Abbott IMX auto-analyzer (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL, USA) (20). The coefficient of variation for the tHcy assays was less than 3.5%.

Vitamin B6 concentrations were determined at the Nutritional Biochemistry Laboratory, Medical Research Council – Human Nutrition Research (Cambridge, UK) using a Waters Empower 2010-controlled high-performance liquid chromatography system (HPLC) (Wafford, UK) and a Waters 474 scanning fluorescence detector. The HPLC system was a Waters 2695 Alliance separation module (Waters Symmetry Shield RP8, 5 μm, 4.6 × 250 mm). Assay control was via a dual-level lyophilized standard from ChromSystems diagnostics by HPLC (Munich, Germany). Coefficients of variation for the plasma pyridoxal 5'-phosphate assays were 3.75% or less.

Cognitive test and predictor variables
The MMSE is widely used as a global screening measure of cognitive functioning (19, 21). Questions address such cognitive functions as orientation to time and place, registration and recall, attention and calculation, language, and visual construction. Scores can range from 0 to a maximum of 30.

Plasma tHcy (μmol/L) was the predictor variable in relation to cognitive performance. Most participants in the DM group were classified on the basis of treatment with insulin (n = 32) or oral antidiabetics (n = 57). One additional participant assigned to the DM group was classified on the basis of a fasting glucose level at the visit of > 200 mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L) with self-reported symptoms of polyuria and polydipsia (22). Results described below were the same when this participant, whose fasting glucose level was 11.4 mmol/L, was excluded from analyses. None of the participants was classified as type 1 diabetes. Enzyme cofactor covariates were serum folate (nmol/L), vitamin B6 (nmol/L), and vitamin B12 (pmol/L). Demographic and candidate CVD covariates were as follows: age (years); education (years); gender; systolic BP (mm Hg); cigarette smoking (number/week); total cholesterol (mmol/L); alcohol consumption (ounces/week); body mass index (BMI, kg/m2); coffee consumption (cups/day); mild renal dysfunction; history of CVD morbidity; and depressed mood. Mild renal dysfunction was defined as an estimated creatinine clearance using the Cockcroft-Gault formula (23) of < 60 mL/min (1 mL/s) (24). History of CVD morbidity was defined as a confirmed record of myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, angina pectoris, or transient ischemic attack. Depressed mood was defined as a CES-D score of 16 or greater (19).

Statistical analyses
The MMSE scores were skewed. We transformed MMSE scores to natural log values and compared results of analyses using log-transformed and raw MMSE scores. Because results were the same, we report results for the raw scores only. Raw scores were transformed to z-scores. Distributions of folate, vitamin B6, and vitamin B12 concentrations, and cigarettes smoked/week were also skewed. A natural log transformation was calculated for these covariates and used for analyses.

Associations of tHcy with MMSE scores (z-transformed) for the DM and non-DM groups were examined in a series of multivariable regression models. In separate analyses, tHcy × DM status interaction effects were tested to further validate the a priori stratification. The first (basic) model included age, education, and gender. Subsequent models added additional covariates to the basic model as follows: 1) folate; 2) vitamin B6; 3) vitamin B12; and 4) risk factor covariates chosen via a backward elimination regression procedure (described in Results below).

Results
Sample descriptives
Table 1 summarizes sample characteristics. Compared to the non-DM participants, participants with DM had significantly higher tHcy concentrations, lower MMSE scores, and exhibited a higher prevalence of obesity, CVD morbidity, and depressed mood. Furthermore, the DM group was older, had lower levels of education, lower levels of folate, higher systolic BP, and higher BMI.
Preliminary analyses

Tests of Cook’s distance indicated that no individual participant in either the DM or the non-DM group significantly (p < 0.95) influenced the regression coefficients presented below (25). Neither interactions of tHcy with age nor quadratic effects of tHcy were statistically significant in relation to MMSE scores for both the DM and non-DM groups. Furthermore, fasting status, as well as two of the candidate risk-factor covariates (alcohol consumption and body mass index), failed to meet backward elimination criteria for inclusion (p < 0.20) in the final risk-factor model. The covariates retained for the final risk-factor model were systolic BP, cigarette smoking, total cholesterol, coffee consumption, mild renal dysfunction, CVD morbidity, and depressed mood.

For each of the five models tested there was a statistically significant interaction of tHcy × DM status (p < 0.05). Thus, we proceeded to stratify by the presence or absence of DM for all further analyses as planned.

Major findings

Associations of tHcy and MMSE scores were stronger for the DM group than for the non-DM group (Table 2). Introduction of the covariates, particularly the risk factor covariates, attenuated the associations of tHcy and MMSE scores for both groups. Nevertheless, the associations of tHcy and MMSE scores remained stronger for the DM group than the non-DM group. For example, each 5 μmol/L increment in tHcy is associated with a one-third of a standard deviation decrement in MMSE scores for the DM group, but only a one-twentieth of a standard deviation decrement in MMSE scores for the non-DM group.

## Table 1 Sample characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Full sample (n=817)</th>
<th>Non-DM group (n=727)</th>
<th>DM group (n=90)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tHcy, μmol/L</td>
<td>10.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>11.8 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score</td>
<td>28.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>28.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>27.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>61.8 ± 12.6</td>
<td>61.5 ± 12.7</td>
<td>64.7 ± 11.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, years</td>
<td>14.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.7</td>
<td>13.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folate, nmol/L</td>
<td>38.5 ± 11.8</td>
<td>38.8 ± 11.6</td>
<td>36.2 ± 13.3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;, PLP&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, nmol/L</td>
<td>95.5 ± 93.2</td>
<td>97.3 ± 93.4</td>
<td>80.9 ± 91.3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;, pmol/L</td>
<td>390.6 ± 212.9</td>
<td>390.7 ± 213.4</td>
<td>389.6 ± 210.4</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>131.3 ± 21.9</td>
<td>130.3 ± 21.7</td>
<td>139.3 ± 21.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>70.7 ± 10.0</td>
<td>70.6 ± 10.1</td>
<td>71.9 ± 8.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>29.4 ± 6.1</td>
<td>28.8 ± 5.5</td>
<td>34.1 ± 8.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee, cups/day</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>1.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine clearance&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, mL/s</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female)</td>
<td>58.3 ± 58.6</td>
<td>56.2 ± 56.2</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (BMI≥29.9 kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>45.6 ± 42.0</td>
<td>75.3 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD morbidity&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.8 ± 16.1</td>
<td>22.5 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild renal dysfunction&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.4 ± 9.4</td>
<td>19.3 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate. <sup>b</sup> Estimated using the Cockcroft-Gault Formula (23). <sup>c</sup> Current antidiabetic medication treatment, or fasting glucose levels of 200 mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L) or greater. <sup>d</sup> CVD includes the following diagnostic categories: 1) myocardial infarction, n = 37 (4.5%); 2) coronary artery disease, n = 69 (8.4%); 3) congestive heart failure, n = 21 (2.6%); 4) angina pectoris, n = 52 (6.4%); and 5) transient ischemic attack, n = 31 (3.8%). <sup>e</sup> Estimated creatinine clearance <1 mL/s.

## Table 2 Regression coefficients (β) and standard errors (SEβ) showing the associations between tHcy (1-μmol/L increments) and MMSE test scores in SD units (z-scores) for the DM and non-DM groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>Non-DM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>-0.082**</td>
<td>-0.022*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEβ</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic + folate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>-0.078**</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEβ</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic + B&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>-0.071*</td>
<td>-0.020*</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEβ</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic + B&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>-0.082**</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEβ</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic + risk factors × CVD morbidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>-0.067*</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEβ</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01. Basic model: age, education, gender. Risk factors: cigarette smoking, systolic BP, mild renal dysfunction, total cholesterol, coffee consumption, depressed mood.
None of the vitamin cofactors or the risk factor covariates were related to MMSE scores for the DM group (all p > 0.07). However, several covariates showed significant associations with MMSE scores for the non-DM group: 1) folate (natural log) ($\beta = 0.226, SE\beta = 0.099, p < 0.05$); 2) cigarette smoking (natural log) ($\beta = 0.099, SE\beta = 0.026, p < 0.001$); and 3) total cholesterol ($\beta = 0.084, SE\beta = 0.032, p < 0.01$).

Additional analyses were conducted in order to assess whether adjustment for self-reported duration of diabetes or type of treatment attenuated associations of tHcy and MMSE scores for the DM group. Self-reported duration, which ranged from 0 to 43 years (M = 9.6, SD = 8.8), was positively correlated with tHcy (r = 0.46, p < 0.001), even with adjustment for age and gender, but unrelated to MMSE scores (p > 0.90). With respect to type of treatment, DM participants treated with insulin (n = 32) did not differ either in MMSE scores (p > 0.20) or in tHcy concentrations (p > 0.45) from DM participants treated with oral antidiabetic drugs (n = 57). Attenuation of regression coefficients relating tHcy and MMSE ranged from 0% to 14% for duration and 0% to 8% for treatment modality across the five models. However, the pattern of significant inverse relations for tHcy and MMSE scores for the DM group was unchanged.

Several previous studies of tHcy using the MMSE have screened out participants scoring under 24 (9, 12), the cut-off point below which further diagnostic evaluation for mild to more severe cognitive impairment or probable dementia is indicated (21). After screening out participants scoring under 24 on the MMSE (n = 25), we repeated the series of analyses detailed above. Results for the remaining non-DM group (n = 15 excluded) were attenuated such that significant associations of tHcy and MMSE z-scores were no longer observed (all p > 0.25). These exclusions (n = 10) also attenuated results for the remaining DM group, for which regression coefficients were reduced by a range from 5% to 29% in various models.

However, associations of tHcy and MMSE z-scores remained statistically significant for all models with one exception. With statistical adjustment for the demographic and risk factor covariates, the association of tHcy and MMSE z-scores was non-significant ($\beta = -0.044, SE\beta = 0.028, p = 0.12$).

In a final set of analyses, we stratified on the basis of tHcy concentrations in order to compare MMSE scores for DM and non-DM participants with lower or higher tHcy concentrations. A similar pattern of MMSE results was observed: 1) whether tHcy was stratified at the median (9.1 µmol/L), the mean (10 µmol/L), or a higher value (12.5 µmol/L); 2) no matter which covariates were included in the model; and 3) whether individuals with MMSE scores under 24 were excluded. The most discrepant MMSE means were shown by the group with DM and high tHcy. For instance, with tHcy stratified at 10 µmol/L and adjustment for the demographic and risk factor covariates, MMSE means were as follows: 1) non-DM, low tHcy, M = −0.014; 2) non-DM, high tHcy, M = −0.082; 3) DM, low tHcy, M = −0.027; and 4) DM, high tHcy, M = −0.730.

Discussion

Our results indicate that associations between tHcy and performance on a global screening test of cognitive performance are stronger for diabetic than for non-diabetic individuals. In this respect the present results parallel those indicating that tHcy is related to heightened risk of CVD for diabetic relative to non-diabetic individuals (6). Becker et al. (7) have suggested that these CVD data indicate that DM and tHcy have adverse synergistic effects. Our results are consistent with this interpretation in that the poorest mean performance levels were consistently observed for individuals with DM and high tHcy levels.

Results were mixed in the few previous studies that have investigated tHcy and cognitive function in relation to DM. A three-level glycemic status variable (normal fasting glucose vs. impaired fasting glucose vs. diabetes) did not interact with tHcy in relation to cognitive function in a sample of 1241 subjects aged 61–73 years, although an overall association of tHcy and cognitive function was reported (14). Inverse associations were reported between tHcy and MMSE scores in a clinical sample of 50 DM patients (26).

Although DM tends to cluster with other CVD risk factors, there is an adverse impact of DM on cognition that is independent of these other risk factors (5). Although broadly attributable to impaired metabolic control (i.e., hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia), specific bases for the association of DM with cognition are poorly understood (5). High tHcy does not always cluster with other CVD risk factors (27), and our results converge with those of recent studies (10, 14) indicating that tHcy associations with cognitive functioning cannot simply be attributed to the effects of these other risk factors.

Elevation in tHcy is seen in the presence of deficits in the vitamin cofactors, folate, vitamin B$_6$ and vitamin B$_12$ (28, 29). Since 1996, overall reductions in tHcy concentrations and a decreased prevalence of high homocysteine values have been observed in the United States due to fortification of enriched grain products with folic acid (30). Nevertheless, in this study, tHcy concentrations obtained between 2001 and 2005 were inversely associated with cognitive performance. Furthermore, these associations do not appear to be attributable to vitamin cofactor status because they were observed despite statistical control for concentrations of vitamin B$_6$ and vitamin B$_12$, as well as folate (10).

Exclusion of participants who scored under 24 on the MMSE resulted in attenuation of associations between tHcy and MMSE scores, regardless of which covariates were included in the model. However, for the DM group these associations remained statistically significant for all models except one. For the model that included risk factor and CVD covariates, the association between tHcy and MMSE scores was rendered statistically non-significant (p = 0.12). This result could be attributed to the reduction in sample size (n = 80) after these exclusions for the DM group, the reduced range of outcome (MMSE) scores, or the
fact that the poorest-performing participants were excluded. A recent study with over 2800 participants reported significant inverse associations between tHcy and MMSE scores, regardless of whether MMSE scores under 24 were excluded (31). Furthermore, these results were reported for a model that included many of the risk factor covariates that we used (31).

We hypothesized and found that statistical adjustment for the vitamin cofactors, as well as the CVD risk factors, CVD morbidity, and depressed mood, attenuated associations between tHcy and cognitive performance to varying degrees. For the DM group, however, significant inverse associations persisted. These results may be attributable to the neurotoxic effects of tHcy (32–34). The adverse effects of tHcy on endothelial function have been proposed as the basis for the association of tHcy with CVD risk (35), and the accelerated CVD risk for diabetics (7). Elevations in tHcy can also sensitize neurons to the adverse effects of oxidative stress (32). Oxidative stress is associated with DM due to hyperglycemia (5). Although speculative, this phenomenon might possibly serve as the basis by which tHcy and DM interact to impact cognitive function and promote neurodegenerative disorders (32).

Our participants with DM had higher tHcy levels than non-DM participants. We also found that increasing duration of diabetes was related to higher tHcy levels. However, neither duration of diabetes nor type of treatment (insulin vs. oral antidiabetic drugs) related to cognitive function or accounted for the associations of tHcy with cognitive function.

High tHcy has been associated with dementia (36–38), cerebrovascular disease (39–42), and brain atrophy (43, 44). This study adds to the literature that links high tHcy to poorer cognitive performance and further indicates that cognitive performance is poorest in the presence of both high tHcy and type 2 DM. These results suggest that intervention studies designed to test whether treatment for high tHcy is efficacious in maintaining cognitive functioning (45–47) may be particularly important for individuals with type 2 DM.
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